

Subcontract Documentation

In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 44.202-2 and 52.244-2, the following information is provided to support the University of Utah's proposed subcontract with the University of Arizona under contract number NOI-LM-1-3514.

1. Description of the supplies or services to be subcontracted:  
The Arizona Health Sciences Library at the University of Arizona will manage this two year project.  
***The purpose of this project is to capture the lessons learned by the partners of the Tribal Connections Four Corners in their collaboration to improve health information access to the Native Americans in the Four Corners area of the United States. The process would include an assets inventory and mapping of the participants, a selection of pilot projects to be evaluated, and the creation of an effective practices website populated with information from the pilot projects.***
2. Technical justification:  
***The subcontract specifically applies to "B2 Consumer Health Services" of the Statement of Work.***
3. Identification of the type of subcontract to be used and explanation as to why that type was selected:  
***A cost reimbursement type subcontract is appropriate for this acquisition because the uncertainties involved in subcontract performance are great enough that costs cannot be estimated with sufficient accuracy to permit the use of a fixed price subcontract.***
4. Identification of the proposed subcontractor:  
***Arizona Health Sciences Library at the University of Arizona***
5. The proposed subcontract price:  
***\$125,613***
6. The subcontractor's Disclosure Statement or Certificate relating to Cost Accounting Standards when such data are required by other provisions of this contract.  
***This data is not required.***
7. Summary of Negotiations:
  - (A) Price Competition:  
***The subcontract was awarded as sole source. The University is situated in the Four Corners region of the country where the target population lives. Staff at the Health Sciences Library has the outreach experience with Native American Communities to manage the project and have the technological expertise to oversee the creation of the products listed as deliverables.***
  - (B) Adequate assessment and disposal of subcontractor's alternate proposals:  
***No alternate proposals were received.***
  - (C) Basis for selection and responsibility determination:  
***The University of Arizona was determined responsible and was selected based on their previous history in successfully carrying out funded projects.***
  - (D) Fair and reasonable price determination:  
***Experience with prior contracts and analysis of pricing information provided by the offeror.***
  - (E) The principal elements of the subcontract price negotiations.

***Personnel, Consultant, Travel, Workshop. The contractor developed the budget based on consultations with individuals knowledgeable with the deliverables. Prices proposed were acceptable.***

- (F) The most significant considerations controlling establishment of initial or revised prices.  
***Price quotes for time needed to produce the deliverables and experience with prior contracts.***
  - (G) The reason cost or pricing data were or were not required.  
***Cost and pricing data were not required because the value of the subcontract does not exceed \$550,000.***
  - (H) The subcontractor's current, complete, and accurate cost or pricing data and Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, if required by other contract provision.  
***Cost and pricing data were not required.***
  - (I) The extent, if any, to which the Contractor did not rely on the subcontractor's cost or pricing data in determining the price objective and in negotiating final price.  
***Experience with prior contracts was used to determine the price objective.***
  - (J) The extent to which it was recognized in the negotiation that the subcontractor's cost or pricing data were not accurate, complete, or current; the action taken by the Contractor and the subcontractor; and the effect of any such defective data on the total price negotiated.  
***The subcontractor did not submit any defective data.***
  - (K) The reasons for any significant difference between the Contractor's price objective and the price negotiated.  
***The price negotiated is consistent with the Contractor's price objective.***
  - (L) A complete explanation of the incentive fee or profit plan when incentives are used. The explanation shall identify each critical performance element, management decisions used to quantify each incentive element, reasons for the incentives, and a summary of all trade-off possibilities considered.  
***Incentive fees will not be used.***
8. Relevance to any approved make-or-buy program that is a part of the referenced contract:  
***This contract does not include a make-or-buy program plan.***
  9. Subcontract for special test equipment or facilities:  
***The proposed subcontract does not include any special test equipment or facilities.***
  10. Compliance with the prime contract requirements regarding small business subcontracting, including, if applicable, its plan for subcontracting with small, small disadvantaged and women-owned small business concerns:  
***No impact***
  11. Utilization of Government-furnished facilities:  
***The proposed subcontract will not utilize Government-furnished facilities.***
  12. Application of cost accounting standards for awarding a subcontract:  
***The prime contractor has complied with applicable cost accounting standards for awarding a subcontract.***
  13. Is the proposed subcontractor on the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs? (research on <http://epls.arnet.gov/>):

***A web site search at <http://epls.arnet.gov> did not identify the University of Arizona on the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.***

The subcontract does not obligate the Government contracting officer to deal directly with the subcontractor nor does it make the results of arbitration, judicial determination, or voluntary settlement between the prime contractor and subcontractor binding on the Government.

The following statements are accurate and correct.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name Amy Sikalis  
Title Acting Co-Director  
Contractor Name University of Utah

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Consent by the Contracting Officer to any subcontract does not constitute a determination of the acceptability of any subcontract terms or conditions, of the allowability of any cost under this contract, nor does consent relieve the Contractor of any responsibility for performing this contract.