Evaluation Summary

Community Health Information Partnership Symposium
CHIPS
Jackson, Wyoming Oct. 11, 2005

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this symposium evaluation. Your comments will enable us to better plan and execute future events and tailor them to meet your needs.

Please complete <u>both</u> sides of the evaluation

(Note: 27 people handed in evaluations. 2 completed one side only; others did not answer all questions)

1. How did you learn about this symposium?
- ☐ Website **(0)**
- ☐ Referral **(2)**
- ☐ Invitation **(20)**
- ☐ E-mail/Newsletter **(2)**
- ☐ Other: **(4)**
    1. panelist, CBO director

2. Please specify your reasons for attending this symposium: (Check all that apply)
- ☐ Content **(19)**
- ☐ Networking **(17)**
- ☐ Personal growth & development **(14)**
- ☐ Speakers **(10)**
- ☐ Other: **(5)**
    1. To find out what libraries are doing in term of outreach
    2. Invitee

3. Which aspects of CHIPS interested you most? (Check all that apply)
- ☐ Speakers **(16)**
- ☐ Café to Go **(17)**
- ☐ Symposium venue **(8)**
- ☐ Partnership opportunities **(13)**
- ☐ Networking **(16)**
- ☐ Information about NN/LM programs **(6)**

4. **To what extent did each speaker demonstrate expertise and effective presentation skills?**
(Please circle appropriate number: 5= excellent, 4=good, 3=average, 2=fair, 1=poor)

Valda Boyd Ford	5 **(25)**	4 **(1)**	3 **(1)**	2 **(0)**	1 **(0)**
Betsy Kelly	5 **(23)**	4 **(6)**	3 **(1)**	2 **(0)**	1 **(0)**
Deborah Fleming	5 **(18)**	4 **(6)**	3 **(1)**	2 **(0)**	1 **(0)**
Wayne Peay	5 **(16)**	4 **(6)**	3 **(4)**	2 **(0)**	1 **(0)**
Claire Hamasu	5 **(15)**	4 **(8)**	3 **(2)**	2 **(0)**	1 **(0)**

5. Please rate the Café to Go process overall
(Please circle appropriate number: 5= excellent, 4=good, 3=average, 2=fair, 1=poor)

5 **(14)** 4 **(10)** 3 **(2)** 2 **(1)** 1 **(0)**

6. Additional comments on the Café to Go process

1. Very good experience.
2. Good to interact with each other
3. I appreciate learning the method—I'll use it at work.
4. Fun
5. Good tool to analyze issues
6. Timing
7. Really great experience
8. Summary needed to go faster at end of day
9. I just like more specific programs and" how tos"- A computer at each table with an example of a working partnership, bad partnership, nnlm grant examples, - real step by step concrete examples—
10. Innovative
11. What's next?
12. Very valuable way to network.
13. Important to move on from question to question. One facilitator spent too much time reviewing responses to Q1 instead of discussing Q2.
14. More time needed & more focus on specifics – But otherwise I thought it went even better than expected.

7. Did the symposium fulfill your reason for attending?

☐ Yes – Absolutely **(19)**
☐ Yes – But not to my full extent **(4)**
    1. Needed more CBO participants to represent that aspect
☐ No – Please elaborate

8. What was the most beneficial aspect of the symposium?

1. Panel speakers & all other speakers
2. Being able to take a day to focus on this one aspect.
3. Speakers – developing a vision
4. Speakers were great. Panel discussion was very informative.
5. Learning new skills, tools
6. Networking
7. I don't have a "most beneficial"—think that is good!
8. Meeting everyone & getting tips on how to improve my partnership
9. Networking
10. Networking
11. Whole thing
12. Valda was a great keynote
13. Café To Go
14. Networking, speakers
15. Benefiting from everyone's ideas
16. Networking
17. Networking; understanding how my organization can partner with our local libraries
18. I really liked the group discussions.
19. Helped to recharge my outreach batteries & open my eyes to new opportunities for partnership & projects.
20. -Networking- meetings others & hearing of their projects- successes & failures-
21. Networking
22. Evaluation-Betsy

9. Would you recommend this symposium to others?

☐ Yes **(23)**

☐ Maybe- please explain briefly **(2)**

1. Limited interest

☐ No- please explain briefly

10 Please indicate your overall satisfaction with this symposium:

Symposium content

☐ Very satisfied ☐ somewhat satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied ☐ very dissatisfied

(19) (7)

Registration Process

☐ Very satisfied ☐ somewhat satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied ☐ very dissatisfied

(18) (4) (2)

Venue

☐ Very satisfied ☐ somewhat satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied ☐ very dissatisfied

(21) (3)

Food & Beverage

☐ Very satisfied ☐ somewhat satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied ☐ very dissatisfied

(22) (3)

11. How could this event have been improved?

1. N/A- excellent
2. Nothing to improve. Very well done.
3. Could not improve on the place the meeting was held. Jackson was fantastic. Museum was fantastic.
4. Timekeeping
5. Meeting outdoors ☺ Kidding! It was all great!
6. See Café to go notes
7. More CBO participants
8. Don't know
9. It was great!
10. All best practice panelists should have been encouraged to do brief power points, not just Elaine. Any chance they can do this after the fact? And put on website?
11. -maybe 2 days- not long days though-
12. Morning was great. For Café To Go- would have liked someone to talk about their partnership experience, then have breakout sessions. Would have liked shorter breakout sessions.

12. Additional Comments:

1. Great job! Very Creative!
2. I'm enthused. I want to start partnering.
3. Speakers were exceptional. I enjoyed this conference; the people- and now am inspired to do CHI with a partner(s).
4. Appreciate staying on task throughout the day, appreciated the concept of partnering with high school students—service learning leadership. THANK YOU for the experience!!!
5. Great job! Very Creative!
6. Good job to those who worked so hard
7. Valda- excellent dynamic speaker. Betsy-would like handouts the day of symposium to make additional notes while listening
8. This was great! Thanks!
9. Thank You!
10. Please don't forget there have been other longstanding outreach efforts in the region and be generous about acknowledging them.