1. Objectives, Question 1: What specific consumer classes are planned or are typically requested? There does not appear to be any mention of PubMed classes. Are PubMed classes offered?

Typically we have offered the modules that were developed for the NN/LM, “From Snake Oil to Penicillin” and “Beyond an Apple a Day” Also popular in the region are “¿No Comprende?” and “Caring for the Mind.” Liaisons most often do an overview of NLM’s consumer health resources starting with MedlinePlus and including ClinicalTrials.gov, Genetics Home Reference, NIH Senior Health etc. The consumer health resources selected to be described depends on the amount of time available and the interest of the audience.

We rarely teach PubMed as a stand alone class. However, since PubMed is NLM’s premier database, we strongly promote it. During year 3 we conducted 259 outreach activities and all activities included PubMed as part of the content.

2. Objectives, Question 2: The NNO will be interested in the results of the study and what decision the MCR makes as a result, before the decision becomes final.

The NN/LM MidContinental Region will keep the NNO informed about its findings and discussion of whether or not to require EFTS participation for full membership.

3. Budget Justification, Question 6: Please update the Assessment & Evaluation Liaison information in this area, and throughout the budget.

All references to a new Assessment & Evaluation Liaison and travel associated with this individual have been removed from the budget.

National Exhibits
Under Outreach Program, Exhibits and Presentations at Meeting, the following National exhibits are identified in the Exhibits Database for Region 4:

Note: If the change in exhibit assignments requires changes in your NNLM forms and spreadsheets, please submit revised copies with current submission date.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>City, State</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Contract Year</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USPHS Commissioned Officers Association</td>
<td>Atlanta GA</td>
<td>June 2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Dietetic Association</td>
<td>Denver CO</td>
<td>10117/2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American School Health Association</td>
<td>Denver CO</td>
<td>10/28/2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assignment of our national exhibits has resulted in changes to the NN/LM forms, the travel form, and our budget justification. We have submitted revised copies.

**Business Questions**

1. Based on Utah’s reply, the unexpended funds from years 1 and 2 are considered additional funding for current year 3 for total of $1,681,464.33 This would appear to overstate year 3 actual funds, and would make it more difficult to reconcile prior year expenditures and funding.

   a) Please separate prior year unexpended amounts from year 3 funding category, and revise your spreadsheet to represent actual funding per contract year with corresponding contract balance. Also, please clarify why year 3 invoices have different grand totals for actual costs compared to your prior spreadsheet.

Year 2 and Year 3 spreadsheets have been revised to reflect the actual funding per contract year. In addition, Year 3’s Unexpended, Enhancement as well as Unexpended Balance summary are also reconciled separately for NLM’s reference.

The actual expense to date differs from the numbers provided in the prior spreadsheet due to the following:

1) Actual personnel expense of February amounts to $29,701.93, which is $3,442.91 less than originally projected amount of $33,144.84.

2) February telecommunication cost was updated to $662.81 from originally submitted $413.74 with a difference of $240.07.

3) April’s projection on competitive rewards was reduced by $32,331.42 from original projection of $85,243.42 to the actual reward amount of $52,912.
b) For years 2 and 3, please revise your spreadsheet to represent actual funding per contract year without combining prior year balances and/or unexpended funds.

Please refer to revised spreadsheet Year 2, Year 3 Projection of Costs.

c) No further issues to address.

d) No issues on this spreadsheet for year 1.

2. No further issues to address.

3. Please revise your spreadsheet to represent actual funding for year 3 without combining prior year balances and/or unexpended funds.

Please refer to revised spreadsheet Year 3 Projection of Costs.

4. It's unclear why amount of $219,803.17 for "Add Back Year 1 Expenses Paid in Year 2" is larger than year 1 unexpended amount. Please clarify.

The original spreadsheet was prepared based on the University of Utah management report. $219,903.17 is not Year 1 unexpended balance. It is Year 1’s actual expenses paid in Year 2 between May, 07 and July, 07 and recorded on the university management report as Year 2’s expenses. Year 2’s actual expenses would be Year 2’s total expense of $1,418,433.50, less Year 1’s expenses of $219,803.17 included in Year 2.

The updated spreadsheet has been revised to reflect the Year 2’s actual expenses.

a) Please revise your spreadsheet to represent actual funding per contract year without combining prior year balances and/or unexpended funds.

Please refer to revised spreadsheet Year 1, Year 2, Year 3 Projection of Costs.

b) Same as above.