FIRST BRITISH MESOZOIC SPIDER, FROM CRETACEOUS AMBER OF THE ISLE OF WIGHT, SOUTHERN ENGLAND

by PAUL A. SELDEN

ABSTRACT. Cretamygale chasei, a new genus and species of spider, is described from a single specimen preserved in amber of early Barremian age from the Isle of Wight. This is the oldest (and second Cretaceous) amber spider to be described, and the first record of a Mesozoic spider from Britain. It belongs to the group Bipectina of the infraorder Mygalomorphae, and is tentatively referred to the family Nemesiidae. It is the oldest bipectinate, extending the record by around 90 myr, the only known fossil nemesiid, and the second oldest fossil mygalomorph.
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MESOZOIC mygalomorph spiders were first described by Eskov and Zonshtein (1990) from localities in Lower Cretaceous strata of Transbaikalia and Mongolia. The oldest mygalomorph, Rosamygale grauvoglisi Selden and Gall, 1992 was described from Triassic rocks of north-east France. The supposed Palaeozoic mygalomorph Megarachne servinei Hünnicken (1980) is doubtfully a spider (Eskov and Zonshtein 1990; José Corronca, pers. comm. 2001); Cenozoic mygalomorphs are known (reviewed in Eskov and Zonshtein 1990). There are a few records of Cretaceous spiders: indeterminate Araneae from the ?Barremian–Aptian of Koonwarra, South Australia (Jell and Duncan 1986); orbicularian araneomorphs from the Berriasian–Valanginian of the Sierra de Montsech, Spain (Selden 1989, 1990, 1991); an unnamed lycosoid from Orapa, Botswana (‘early Upper Cretaceous’; Rayner and Dippenaar-Schoeman 1995), a poorly preserved specimen from the Albian of México (Feldmann et al. 1998), and many new spider specimens (including mygalomorphs) reported from the Aptian Crato Formation of Brazil, but only one (an araneomorph) has so far been described (Mesquita 1996). Spiders are known from Cretaceous amber from Canada (Campanian; McAlpine and Martin 1969), Siberia (Albian–Santonian; Eskov and Wunderlich 1994; Zherikhin and Eskov 1999), France (Cenomanian; Schlüter 1978), Lebanon (Aptian, possibly reworked Hauterivian; Schlee and Dietrich 1970; Azar 1998), Burma (reworked Cretaceous in Eocene sediments; Cockerell 1920; Rasnitsyn and Ross 2000; Zherikhin and Ross 2000), Caucasus (Cenomanian, Coniacian; Eskov and Wunderlich 1994), New Jersey (Turonian; Grimaldi et al. 2000), Alava, Spain (Albian–Aptian; Alonso et al. 2000) and Asturias, Spain (Arbizu et al. 1999) but only one specimen (Lagonomegops sukatchevae Eskov and Wunderlich, 1994) has been formally described from Cretaceous amber. Here, a new mygalomorph genus is described, from a single specimen preserved in amber of early Barremian age from the Isle of Wight. This is the oldest (and second Cretaceous) amber spider to be described, and the first record of a Mesozoic spider from Britain. The spider, described here as Cretamygale chasei gen. et sp. nov., has been figured in a popular book on amber (Ross 1998, fig. 30, and was also mentioned by Jarzemowski 1999). It belongs to the group Bipectina Goloboff, 1993 of the infraorder Mygalomorphae Pocock, 1892, and is tentatively referred to the family Nemesiidae. It is the oldest bipectinate, extending the record by around 90 Ma, the only known fossil nemesiid, and the second oldest fossil mygalomorph. It is suggested that the Mygalomorphae had a worldwide distribution before the break-up of the Pangaea supercontinent. The occurrence of another member of a Recent spider family in rocks of Mesozoic age is further evidence of the antiquity of modern spider families.
Stratigraphy. The specimen comes from lignitic marls between the Chilton Chine Sandstone and the Brighstone Sandstone, Wessex Formation, at beach level about 250 m south-east of the mouth of Chilton Chine, Brighstone Bay, Isle of Wight (Nicholas et al. 1993; Insole et al. 1998). Nicholas et al. (1993) described the locality and stratigraphy in detail. Amber occurs in two main lignite horizons, separated by about 10 cm of blue-grey clay and silt with large, lignitic logs. These horizons occur above a fining-upward sequence of marl conglomerate, the whole being within a sequence of grey or red marls. The age is Barremian (Hughes and McDougall 1990).

Palaeoecology. The plant debris amongst which the amber occurs is mostly fine twigs and smaller fragments, the whole being rather poorly sorted. Nicholas et al. (1993) concluded that the amber horizons represented forest floor litter that had been transported a short distance by river action. The presence of pyrite suggests that deposition occurred in an anoxic environment. The Wessex Formation consists of a series of fining-upwards sequences of conglomerates to mudstones, with an erosional base to each cycle, abundant plant debris, and considerable lateral variation. This suggests a geomorphological model of a perennial, moderately sized, high sinuosity river flowing over a low-relief alluvial plain (Insole and Hutt 1994). Infra-red absorption spectral analysis of the amber, together with evidence from the macroplant fossils, suggest the amber-producing tree belonged to the Coniferopsida: Araucariaceae, Cupressaceae, or Taxodiaceae, though these closely related, extant families may not have been distinguishable in the Early Cretaceous (Nicholas et al. 1993). The climate suggested for Early Cretaceous times in the Isle of Wight, based on palaeomagnetic and sedimentological evidence, was warm (mean annual temperature approximately 20–25°C) with low, seasonal rainfall, commonly occurring as flash floods (Insole and Hutt 1994). These authors suggested that the local vegetation may have resembled savannah or chapparal.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Preservation. The spider is preserved in clear, medium-coloured amber with a large number of tiny air bubbles and carbonized plant fragments. Adpressed against the spider, obscuring the anterior part of the sternum, is a piece of indeterminate arthropod cuticle. This is not part of the spider but could be the remains of prey. About half of the spider occurs in the amber, the remainder is missing (see below). The missing parts are: the frontal part of the left chelicera; all left legs and pedipalp, except the superior side of the pedipalp femur; the superior side of right leg 1 tarsus; the distalmost part of the tibia and all of the metatarsus and tarsus of right leg 2; the distal part of the tibia and all of the metatarsus and tarsus of right leg 3; most of the tibia and all of the metatarsus and tarsus, the inferior side of the coxa, trochanter, and proximal femur of right leg 4; the ventral part of the abdomen.

That part of the spider is missing may be due to erosion or preparation of the piece of amber, or that the spider was not entirely engulfed in amber and the missing parts decayed away. The abdomen and longer podomeres of the spider are deflated, as occurs rapidly in spiders after death due to dehydration. Since the spider is otherwise complete (apart from parts which are absent beyond the edge of the amber piece, see below), and the carapace is in place, presumably this is a dead spider rather than a moult. Furthermore, much of the specimen is covered, both internally and externally, with white or brown fuzz. The white fuzz was called ‘emulsion’ by Petrunkevitch (1942). The phenomenon is very common in Baltic amber. The emulsion is due to reaction of the amber-producing sap with moisture during early fossilization (Andrew Ross, pers. comm. 2001). However, in the Isle of Wight fossil it obscures some important details.

Methods. The specimen was studied, drawn and photographed under mineral oil, which enhances the clarity of the amber without chemical reaction. A Wild M7S stereomicroscope was used for the study, with a camera lucida tube for drawing and a Minolta Dynax 9 camera attached by means of a phototube for photomicrography. Because the specimen occurs at the corner of the piece of amber, better views of the spider cannot be obtained by grinding and polishing the specimen without also destroying much of it.
Terminology and abbreviations. Macrosetae refer to large setae which thicken just distal to the base before tapering, unlike ordinary setae which do not; bristles resemble ordinary setae in shape but are as large as macrosetae. All three types occur on the legs of the fossil described here. Trichobothria are very long (more than the width of the podomere) hair-like structures which do not taper. They are recognizable in the fossil not only by their great length and consistent width but also because they are commonly bent (so were presumably less stiff than setae).

Abbreviations and conventions used in the text-figures. 1, 2, 3, 4 walking leg numbers; car, carapace; ch, chelicera; cx, coxa; fe, femur; ms, macroseta; mt, metatarsus; o t, ocular tubercle; op, opisthosoma; pa, patella; pd, pedipalp; st, sternum; ta, tarsus; ti, tibia; tr, trochanter; trich, trichobothrium. Shading marks areas of white (brown where marked) emulsion.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Order ARANEAE Clerck, 1757
Suborder OPISTHOHELAE Pocock, 1892
Infraorder MYGALOMORPHAE Pocock, 1892

Remarks. The orthognath chelicerae of Cretamygale refer this spider to either Mygalomorphae or the suborder Mesothelae Pocock, 1892. Cretamygale cannot be a mesothele because it lacks the mesothele synapomorphies of invaginated fourth coxae, tibial spurs, and deep and narrow sternum, and shows no opisthosomal tergites characteristic of these spiders. Araneomorphae Smith, 1902, the other infraorder in Opisthothelae, have labidognath chelicerae, so Cretamygale can also be excluded from this group. Other mygalomorph characteristics of Cretamygale include: relatively robust podomeres (slender in most araneomorphs); highly setose legs, with tarsal scopulae (less so in most araneomorphs); deep foveal pit with a pair of large, erect setae (common in mygalomorphs); and eyes clustered on a tubercle in an anteromedial position on the carapace.

BIPECTINA Goloboff, 1993

Remarks. Goloboff (1993) proposed the name Bipectina for his clade 68, which comprises Crassitarsae Raven, 1985 (re-delimited to include Microstigmatidae Roewer, 1942) + Rastelloidina Raven, 1985 + Diplurinae Simon, 1889. Put another way, this is the higher mygalomorphs: all families except Atypoidina Raven, 1985, Mecicobothriidae Holmberg, 1882, Hexathelidae Simon, 1892 and all Dipluridae Simon, 1889 except Diplurinae. The sister group to Bipectina lies within non-diplurine Dipluridae. Cretamygale can be referred to the Bipectina on the basis of a number of characters. Indeed, it is possible to identify the fossil with one of two groups within Bipectina: Diplurinae Simon, 1889 and Nemesiidae Simon, 1889, but whose relationships to each other and the remainder of Bipectina are unresolved. The characters used in this discussion are: eyes grouped on a tubercle situated anteromedially on the carapace; saddled cephalic area of carapace (caput), not strongly raised; sloping thoracic part of carapace; deep, transverse fovea; pair of large, erect setae on anterior wall of fovea; symmetrically arranged tarsal/metatarsal scopulae on leg 1; tarsus of leg 1 cracked ventrally; no claw tufts on leg 1.

Raven (1985) was the first to subject the infraorder Mygalomorphae to an extensive cladistic analysis. Later, Goloboff (1993) presented a reanalysis of the group, using computer parsimony programs. Comparing the few characters known for the fossil spider with the information in these two papers, in particular, it is possible to narrow down the identification of the fossil with some success. The ocular tubercle is widespread in mygalomorphs but is absent from Fornicephalae Raven, 1985 (= Atypoidina + Rastelloidina). Goloboff’s (1993) reanalysis indicated that Fornicephalae was paraphyletic but confirmed Atypoidea and Rastelloidina (= Actinopodidae Simon, 1892; Ctenizidae Thorell, 1887; Cyrtarachediidae Simon, 1889; Idiopidae Simon, 1889; Migidae Simon, 1889) separately as monophyletic groups. The same groups without an ocular tubercle have a flat (i.e. not sloping) thoracic region and a raised caput (except for Migidae). On the basis of these three characters, it is unlikely that Cretamygale belongs in Atypoidea or Rastelloidina. The absence of claw tufts rules out the Theraphosoidina Raven, 1985 (= Theraphosidae
Thorell, 1869; Barychelidae Simon, 1889; and Paratropididae Simon, 1889) among remaining families, and the presence of scopulae on leg 1 enables Hexathelidae, Microstigmatidae, Mecicobothriidae and most Dipluridae to be rejected, whilst narrowing the choice down to Nemesiidae or the subfamily Diplurinae (Dipluridae). These two groups also share with Cretamygale the characters of equal-sized anterior and posterior legs; symmetrical scopulae; straight, transverse fovea (except Nemesia Audouin, 1826); and commonly show a pair of erect setae in the fovea as well as a row of erect setae behind the ocular tubercle. The inferiorly cracked tarsus occurs in females of a number of genera of nemesiids as well as diplurines (Raven 1985).

Goloboff (1993, p. 30) remarked that both Dipluridae and Nemesiidae are very likely to change in composition as more becomes known about these families; possibly Dipluridae could be restricted to Diplurinae, and Nemesiidae is in need of reassessment. Goloboff (1995) analysed the South American nemesiids and came to the conclusion that the family, as currently delimited, was paraphyletic. In Goloboff's (1993) analysis, diplurines were hypothesized as sister group to all other Bipectina (which includes nemesiids and most other mygalomorph families). Raven (1985, p. 51) discussed whether Dipluridae and Nemesiidae could be considered closely related but concluded that there were too many additional steps involved in this hypothesis; these steps involve characters which are not preserved in the fossil, so add little to this debate.

Family NEMESIIDAE Simon, 1889

Remarks. Cretamygale is tentatively referred to this family on the basis that nemesiids generally show a more raised, less hirsute, cephalic region of the carapace than diplurines, in which the thoracic region is commonly higher than the caput (Raven 1985).

Genus CRETAMYGALE gen. nov.

Derivation of name. After creta, Latin for chalk, and basis for the Cretaceous Period; and mygale, French (also obsolete genus name), a mygalomorph spider.

Type and only known species. Cretamygale chasei sp. nov.

Diagnosis. Bipectinate (nemesiid?) mygalomorph with ocular tubercle, caput not strongly raised, sloping thoracic region, pair of erect setae on anterior wall of fovea; on at least leg 1, tarsus and metatarsus with symmetrically arranged scopulae, tarsus cracked ventrally, no claw tufts.

Cretamygale chasei sp. nov.

Text-figures 1–6

1998 Ross, fig. 30

Derivation of name. After Nick Chase, the collector of the specimen.

Holotype and only known specimen. IWCMS.1994.101, female or juvenile specimen, in the collections of the Museum of Isle of Wight Geology, Sandown, Isle of Wight, UK.

Diagnosis. As for the genus.

Description. [NB: few lengths are given because it is impossible to orientate the specimen and still see the structure for accurate measurement]. Female or juvenile. Carapace with cephalic region (in side view) not strongly raised (Text-fig. 5), slightly higher than thoracic region only just anterior to fovea, slightly saddled, sharply bevelled down to fovea; sloping thoracic region with less raised posterolateral areas, excavated medioposterior area; deep, transverse foveal pit with steep anterior wall, behind bevelled edge of cephalic region, with pair of large, erect setae at base;
TEXT-FIG. 1. Camera lucida drawing of *Cretamygale chasei* sp. nov., holotype and only known specimen (IWCMS.1994.101). Anteroventral aspect, showing legs of right side of animal (anterior to the right). Note trichobothria and tarsal scopula (dense setation, diagrammatic).

single large, erect seta in posterior part of each posterolateral raised area of thoracic region; pair of erect setae situated anteromedially just in front of eye cluster, many other medium-sized, curved setae on carapace, especially behind eye cluster and in medioposterior excavated area of thoracic region, otherwise hirsute. Eyes clustered at anteromedial edge of carapace, on raised area (ocular tubercle). Only small part of posterior sternum visible, where produced between (at least) coxae 4 and coxae 3–4; surface covered with stout, curved setae; no sigilla in visible part of sternum.

All appendages covered with stout, curved setae. Chelicera not enlarged or porrect; medial face of chelicera flat; fang base anteroventral, fang horizontal; frontal part of chelicera with stout, downwardly curved setae. Pedipalp: coxae and trochanter not visible; femur with anterodistal macroseta; patella long, no macrosetae visible; tibia with at least four ventral macrosetae, three of which distal; tarsus thickened, scopulate ventrally and laterally, superior surface with numerous short, stiff macrosetae. Leg 1 tibia with at least four trichobothria in two rows on superior surface; metatarsus and tarsus slender, with scopulae of normal setae symmetrically arranged on inferior and lateral surfaces; metatarsus with at least three inferior macrosetae: one proximal and an apical pair; tarsus cut longitudinally by amber surface so that superior surface and terminal claws not preserved, no claw tufts, an inferior false articulation (‘crack’) occurs just distal to the half-way point of the tarsus. Leg 2 femur with at least two superior large bristles, also about three posteroventrally; tibia with at least one proximal and one medial ventral macrosetae, at least six trichobothria in two rows on superior surface, this podomere cut off at edge of amber piece. Leg 3 femur with at least three superior large bristles and about three posteroventrally; tibia mostly obscured by white emulsion and cut off at edge of amber. Leg 4 femur with superior and posteroventral large bristles; tibia cut off near base.

Opisthosoma: posterior half absent, anterior half deflated and mostly obscured with white and brown fuzz; no tergites visible; cuticle surface bears numerous stout, posteriorly curved setae.

DISCUSSION

The discovery of a member of the Bipectina in the Lower Cretaceous (Barremian, 121–127 Ma) pushes the age of this group back some 90 myr because the previously described earliest bipectinate mygalomorph is the diplurid *Clostes priscus* Menge, 1869 from Eocene (c. 35 Ma) Baltic amber (Petrunkevitch 1946). A
juvenile pycnotheline nemesiid reported from Dominican amber (Schawaller 1981) is considered to be a trichopelmatine (Wunderlich 1988), a subfamily in Theraphosidae (Raven 1994), and the referral of *Eodiipurina cockerelli* Petrunkevitch, 1922 from Tertiary strata of Florissant, Colorado to Nemesiidae
Eskov and Zonshtein (1990) is untenable because this genus has uniserially dentate tarsal claws; nemesiid claws are bipectinate. Therefore, *Cretamygale* is the only known fossil nemesiid. It is also the second oldest mygalomorph known, and only the fifth Mesozoic mygalomorph to be described; see Selden (1993, 1997) for fossil records of Mygalomorphae.

The oldest mygalomorph described is *Rosamygale grauvogeli* Selden and Gall (1992) from the Triassic (Anisian, 234–242 Ma) of France. This was placed in the modern family Hexathelidae, with some reservations. One character which showed little homoplasy in Goloboff’s (1993) analysis is the presence of silk-producing spigots on the basal article of the posterior lateral spinnerets (PLS), a synapomorphy for Orthopalpae Raven, 1985 as redefined by Goloboff. Non-mygalomorphs, atypoids and mecicobothriids lack such spigots, whilst they occur in nearly all other mygalomorphs, including the relatively basal Hexathelidae. In connection with this, Goloboff (1993, p. 25) remarked “Selden and Gall stated that the spigots “occur . . . distally and laterally along the length of the PLS” (Selden and Gall, 1992: 228). If that means that the spigots were absent from the basal article of the PLS, the genus should be excluded from the Hexathelidae (and possibly from the Orthopalpae, as redefined below).” Indeed, spigots do occur along the whole length of the PLS, including the basal article, as can be seen in plate 5, figure 5, and plate 6, figure 2 of Selden and Gall (1992), thus providing additional evidence to the placement of this genus in Hexathelidae.

Eskov and Zonshtein (1990) described two monotypic genera of Mecicobothriidae (sister taxon to Orthopalpae), one species of Atypidae Thorell, 1870, and one species of Antrodiaetidae Gertsch, 1940 (together = Atypoida, sister taxon to Mecicobothriidae + Orthopalpae) from the Cretaceous (?Albian, 99–112 Ma) of Siberia and Central Mongolia. The presence of a nemesiid in the Early Cretaceous suggests a pre-Cretaceous origin for Bipectina (e.g. Dipluridae should also occur in rocks of this age). Eskov and Zonshtein (1990) proposed an ‘Age of Mygalomorphs’ in the late Early Cretaceous, during which time araneomorph spiders are supposed to have almost disappeared from the fossil record and a diverse fauna of primitive mygalomorphs (Atypidae, Mecicobothriidae) replaced them. This theory was based on the
following observations. The occurrence of three Mesozoic mygalomorphs: one meccicobothrid from Transbaikalia and another, together with an antrodiaetid, from Mongolia. At the time of their publication, known Mesozoic araneomorphs comprised a few indeterminate and/or undescribed specimens from the Lower Cretaceous of Australia, Botswana and Spain, and two araneoids from the Jurassic of Asia (Eskov 1984, 1987); undescribed material from these Jurassic localities was also noted. As Eskov and Zonshtein (1990, p. 362) themselves pointed out, these data are scanty and their stratigraphical and geographical precision questionable. Nevertheless, they invoked the ‘Cretaceous biocoenotic crisis’ (Zherihkin 1978, and additional references in Eskov and Zonshtein 1990) to explain these data. Since 1990, the Mesozoic record of Araneae, particularly araneomorphs, has increased, and there are also many new specimens awaiting description from both amber and other sediments of Early Cretaceous age (see first paragraph of this paper). There were insufficient data in 1990 to draw any conclusions about Mesozoic spider diversity, and as more information becomes available the concept of an ‘Age of Mygalomorphs’ diminishes.

Mygalomorph spiders are mainly ground-dwellers, commonly living in burrows, or webs beneath logs or in litter. There is little evidence from the morphology of Cretamygale to suggest its mode of life. Mygalomorphs live mainly in tropical to subtropical climate regimes, from desert to rainforest habitats. Few occur in temperate or cooler climates (only one species, Artrys affinis Eichwald, 1830 occurs in Britain); thus the warm, dry climate suggested for the Wealden of the Isle of Wight would be eminently suitable for mygalomorphs. Nemesiids have a world-wide distribution between latitudes of about 45° North and South today. They commonly construct burrows with or without a trap-door, though it is likely that Cretamygale either did not or was a wandering immature spider.
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