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Chapter I

Introduction
The human nervous system is an incredibly complex network consisting of a myriad of axon tracts, pathways and connections. Proper structure and organization of the nervous system is vital, and many human diseases have been shown to result from improper wiring of the human nervous system, including L1 syndrome, Duane syndrome and corpus callosum agenesis (Engle 2010).

Proper functioning of the nervous system depends on the development of proper neuronal connections, which in turn depends on the proper pathfinding of neurites from their neuronal cells of origin to their eventual synaptic targets. It is the growth cone, which resides at the distal tip of the developing axon, which is able to sense, integrate and respond to guidance information and lead the axon to its destination (Mortimer et al. 2008; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman 1996). The task of the growth cone is immense, guiding the axon through a complex extracellular milieu, oftentimes over long distances, to reach its target.

The growth cone displays dynamic filopodial and lamellipodial protrusions, which are involved in axon outgrowth and guidance. The lamellipodium is an actin-based, sheet-like structure at the leading edge of the growth cone consisting of a meshwork of actin filaments, which provide the forward motive force of the developing neurite (Gallo and Letourneau 2004). Filopodia, which emanate from the growth cone lamellipodium, consist of bundled filamentous actin (f-actin). Filopodia are thought to be involved in the sensation of directional cues and subsequent changes in directional locomotion (Davenport et al. 1993).
Much work has been done to identify proteins required for axon guidance by studying the developed nervous system *in vivo* of whole organisms (Hedgecock et al. 1987), and by studying developing growth cones *in vitro* (Ming et al. 1997). There has been relatively little work bridging that gap by studying developing growth cones *in vivo* in live organisms. The work here attempts to do that by utilizing the free-living nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. For the studies presented here, *C. elegans* as a model system provides three major benefits: ease of genetic manipulation, allowing for the efficient introduction of transgenes as well as a wide variety of existing mutants with which to work; invariant cell lineage and wiring of a relatively simple nervous system, allowing for fully replicable experiments to be performed on the developing nervous system from various animals and with various genetic backgrounds; and a transparent cuticle, facilitating the study of developing neurons in live, intact organisms.

The major class of neurons we chose to focus on were the 13 VDs (Ventral D-type), which along with the 6 DDs (Dorsal D-type) make up 19 of the 26 major GABAergic neurons in *C. elegans*. The VD/DD neurons function to coordinate the characteristic sinusoidal body movement of *C. elegans* by coordinately relaxing the dorsal muscles during ventral muscle contraction, and vice versa. Both VD and DD neurons reside in the ventral nerve cord, and their axons first migrate anteriorly before turning 90 degrees and traveling commissurally until reaching the dorsal nerve cord, at which point they spread both anteriorly and posteriorly and establish their synaptic partners. While the DD
axons develop in embryogenesis, the VD axons develop during larval stages from late L1 to early L2, and are easily observable using a trasngene of unc-25 driving GFP to illuminate the D-type neurons (VDs and DDs) (Knobel et al. 1999). The development occurs in a wave, with the most anterior neuron (VD1) sending out growth cones at about 16 hours post-hatching, and the most posterior (VD13) growth cone having completed its commissural trajectory by 19 hours post-hatching. The commissural migration takes about 1 hour to complete in wild-type worms (Knobel et al. 1999). Thus the VD neurons were good candidates to study in vivo growth cone development, having a stereotyped and well-described developmental pattern, and being born post-embryonically and in a position easily discernable by fluorescent microscopy.

We also studied the HSNs (Hermaphrodite Specific Neuron) as another class of neurons whose axons migrate ventrally, in contrast to the VDs whose axons migrate dorsally. The HSNs are serotonergic neurons innervating the vulval muscles and facilitating proper egg-laying (Desai et al. 1988). The two bilaterally symmetrical HSN cell bodies are located laterally near the middle of the worm, above to the vulva. Within an hour post-hatching the HSNs begin to send out short protrusions, which continue throughout larval development until about 18 hours post-hatching, by which point the protrusions have consolidated into a robust growth cone. The HSNs continue to send out dynamic protrusions through the L4 stage, by which point the mature axon has finally formed (Adler et al. 2006).
There are a number of well-described genes that cause defects in axon pathfinding and nervous system development (Letourneau 1996; Lundquist 2006; Shakir et al. 2006). We wanted to use the system just described to determine the nature of those axon pathfinding errors and what the activity of the corresponding genes might be at the level of the developing growth cone. We divided known axon-pathfinding genes into three broad categories, and this dissertation will roughly address each of the three categories in three different chapters. First, there are the guidance cues and the receptors that sense those cues, such as UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 (Chapter III). Next there is the signal transduction machinery that interprets and transduces those cues, such as the Rac GTPases and their GEF (Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor) UNC-73/Trio (Chapter IV). Finally, there are the proteins that act directly on the growth cone cytoskeleton, such as the Arp2/3 complex and UNC-34/Enabled (Chapter II and revisited in Chapter IV).

We show here that along with their role in axon pathfinding, each of the genes presented here affects the morphology, dynamics and/or polarity of the developing growth cone. In Chapter II we show that the Arp2/3 complex is indeed involved in axon pathfinding, and that it stimulates filopodia and lamellipodia formation. We similarly show that UNC-115/abLIM is involved in both filopodia and lamellipodia formation. Finally, we show that UNC-34/Enabled is involved in filopodia formation in vivo. In Chapter III we show that UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 are involved in both orienting the polarity of protrusion and in modulating the extent of protrusion in the developing growth
cone. We show a link between repulsive signaling through UNC-5 and inhibition of protrusion, as well as a link between attractive signaling through UNC-40/DCC and stimulation of protrusion. In Chapter IV we show that UNC-73 and the Rac GTPases are required for preventing ectopic axon branching as well as excessive filopodia protrusion. We show that they are likely acting downstream of an UNC-6-repulsed UNC-5/UNC-40 signaling complex, and that they may be upstream of the cytoskeletal regulators UNC-33/CRMP and UNC-44/Ankyrin, which likewise are required for preventing axon branching and excessive filopodia protrusion. Finally, we propose a causal link between the excess filopodia protrusion and the ectopic axon branching phenotypes observed. These studies begin to bridge the gap in understanding between the development of the growth cone in vivo and the endpoint axon pathfinding phenotype.
Chapter II

The Arp2/3 complex, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled regulate axon guidance and growth cone filopodia formation in C. elegans
2.1 Abstract

While many molecules involved in axon guidance have been identified, the cellular and molecular mechanisms by which these molecules regulate growth cone morphology during axon outgrowth remain to be elucidated. The actin cytoskeleton of the growth cone underlies the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia that control growth cone outgrowth and guidance. The role of the Arp2/3 complex in growth cone filopodia formation has been controversial, and other mechanisms of growth cone filopodia formation remain to be described. Here we show that mutations in genes encoding the Arp2/3 complex (arx genes) caused defects in axon guidance. Analysis of developing growth cones in vivo showed that arx mutants displayed defects in filopodia and reduced growth cone size. Time-lapse analysis of growth cones in living animals indicated that arx mutants affected the rate of growth cone filopodia formation but not filopodia stability or length. Two other actin modulatory proteins, UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled, that had been shown previously to affect axon guidance had overlapping roles with Arp2/3 in axon guidance and also affected the rate of filopodia initiation but not stability or length. Our results indicate that the Arp2/3 complex is required cell-autonomously for axon guidance and growth cone filopodia initiation. Furthermore, they show that two other actin-binding proteins, UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled, also control growth cone filopodia formation, possibly in parallel to Arp2/3. These studies indicate that, in vivo, multiple actin modulatory pathways including the Arp2/3 complex contribute to growth cone filopodia formation during growth cone outgrowth.
2.2 Introduction

The growth cone of a developing axon senses and responds to extracellular cues resulting in the migration of the growth cone and thus axon to its correct target region in the nervous system (Mortimer et al. 2008; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman 1996). Growth cones display dynamic, actin-based lamellipodial protrusions ringed by filopodia, which together guide the growth cone to its target (Gallo and Letourneau 2004; Pak et al. 2008; Zhou and Cohan 2004). The Arp2/3 complex is a seven-member protein complex that nucleates actin filaments from the sides of pre-existing actin filaments. In cultured cells, the Arp2/3 complex is necessary to form the network of branched actin filaments underlying lamellipodia (Beltzner and Pollard 2007; Borisy and Svitkina 2000; Svitkina and Borisy 1999).

The Arp2/3 complex has been implicated in axon pathfinding in vivo. In Drosophila, the Arp2/3 complex and its regulators WAVE/Scar and Kette are required for proper axon pathfinding (Zallen et al. 2002), and in C. elegans, the Arp2/3 regulators WAVE-1/WAVE, WASP-1/WASP, GEX-2/Sra-1, and GEX-3/Kette are required for proper axon guidance (Shakir et al. 2008). However, in these studies, the effects of Arp2/3 on growth cone outgrowth were not described. The role of the Arp2/3 complex in growth cone morphology has been controversial. In two studies using cultured hippocampal neurons, inhibition of Arp2/3 activity resulted in increased axon length with little or no effect on growth cone filopodia or morphology (Pinyol et al. 2007; Strasser et al. 2004). Another recent study using cultured hippocampal neurons and neuroblastoma cells
showed that Arp2/3 knock-down resulted in defective actin organization and defective lamellipodia and filopodia formation in the growth cone (Korobova and Svitkina 2008). Studies reported here show that Arp2/3 is indeed required for growth cone morphology and filopodia formation in vivo, and that Arp2/3 is required specifically for the rate of filopodia initiation but not filopodial stability or length. Thus, our studies demonstrate that Arp2/3 is required for growth cone filopodia formation and extend these findings in vivo, explaining the axon pathfinding defects observed in Arp2/3 mutants. Furthermore, UNC-115/abLIM has been implicated in axon pathfinding in C. elegans (Lundquist et al. 1998; Shakir et al. 2006; Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003) and Drosophila (Garcia et al. 2007), but its role in growth cone dynamics and morphology during outgrowth is not understood. Our results here show that UNC-115/abLIM is also involved in the initiation of growth cone filopodia.

Experiments described here in C. elegans show that mutations in genes encoding subunits of the Arp2/3 complex (arx genes) cause defects in axon guidance, and that Arp2/3 is required cell-autonomously in neurons for proper axon guidance. Analysis of growth cones during outgrowth in vivo revealed that arx mutants displayed reduced numbers of growth cone filopodia and also reduced growth cone size. Time-lapse analysis of growth cones in living animals indicated that the Arp2/3 complex controlled the rate of growth cone filopodia initiation but not filopodia stability or length. These results indicate that in C elegans, the Arp2/3 complex is a key regulator of growth cone filopodia initiation during outgrowth.
The actin modulatory proteins UNC-34/Enabled and UNC-115/abLIM have been shown previously to affect axon guidance in *C. elegans* (Shakir et al. 2006; Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003; Withee et al. 2004). Enabled is a key regulator of filopodia formation in many systems including neurons and is thought to act by blocking actin-capping activity thus allowing for long filament growth in filopodia (Barzik et al. 2005; Lebrand et al. 2004), although recent studies indicate that Enabled might have anti-capping independent roles (Bear and Gertler 2009) that are involved in filopodia formation (Applewhite et al. 2007).

The actin binding protein UNC-115/abLIM controls axon pathfinding and lamellipodia and filopodia formation in cultured cells and in *C. elegans* neurons (Lundquist et al. 1998; Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003; Yang and Lundquist 2005). We show here that *unc-115* also caused defects in growth cone filopodia initiation and growth cone size, similar to *arx*.

The role of Enabled in growth cone filopodia formation has been described previously, and we found that UNC-34/Enabled affected growth cone filopodia initiation, but did not affect filopodial growth or stability once a filopodium formed. This is in contrast to previous studies that indicated that Enabled also affected filopodial elongation (Lebrand et al. 2004). In sum, our results indicate that three distinct actin modulatory molecules, Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled, control filopodia initiation in growth cones *in vivo* that are required for proper axon guidance.
2.3 Materials and Methods

Genetic Methods. All experiments were performed at 20°C using standard *C. elegans* techniques (Brenner 1974). The following mutations and transgenic constructs were used: X: *unc-115*(mn481 and ky275), *lqls2*[osm-6::gfp], *mig-2*(mu28); I: *arx-7*(ok1118), *hT2*[bli-4(e937) let-7(q782) *qls48*] (I;III), *lqls40*[gcy-32::gfp]; II: *juls76*[unc-25::gfp]; III: *arx-4*(ok1093), *sC1*[dpy-1(s2170)]; IV: *ced-10*(n1993), *lqls3*[osm-6::gfp], *nT1*[qls51] (IV;V); V: *arx-2*(ok1269), *unc-34*(e951).

The chromosomal locations of *lqls49*[gcy-32::gfp] and *lqls75*[gcy-32::arx-7::mCherry] were not determined. The *arx-2*(+), *arx-4*(+), and *arx-7*(+) whole-gene regions were maintained as extrachromosomal arrays. Extrachromosomal arrays were generated by germ line microinjection and integrated into the genome by standard techniques (Mello and Fire 1995).

*arx-2, arx-4, and arx-7* mutations were balanced by the rearrangements *nT1, sC1,* and *hT2,* respectively. *nT1* and *hT2* harbored transgenes that drove *gfp* expression in the pharynx, whereas *sC1* had no *gfp* marker. *arx-2* and *arx-7* homozygotes were identified by lack of pharyngeal GFP, whereas *arx-4* homozygotes were identified by the protruding vulva (Pvl) phenotype in young adults. *arx-4* L1 larvae were not imaged because they could not be unambiguously identified as could *arx-2* and *arx-7*. Double mutants with *ced-10* were confirmed by the gonad misrouting phenotype and by the persistent cell corpse phenotype (Reddien and Horvitz 2000), and doubles with *mig-2* were confirmed by the gonad misrouting phenotype (Zipkin et al. 1997). Double
mutants with *unc-34* and *unc-115* were confirmed by the uncoordinated phenotype of these mutants.

**arx transgene construction.** The *arx-2*, *arx-4*, and *arx-7* genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from wild-type N2 genomic DNA (see Figure 1). The coding regions and splice junctions were sequenced to ensure that no mutations were introduced by PCR. The sequences of all primers and amplified regions used in this work are available upon request.

For cell-specific rescue, the coding regions of *arx-4* and *arx-7* (from the initiator methionine to the stop codon) were amplified from N2 genomic DNA and placed behind the *osm-6* promoter to drive PDE expression, or the *gcy-32* promoter to drive PQR expression. For *arx-7*, the stop codon was not included, and the coding region was fused in frame to the coding region for green fluorescent protein.

**Analysis of PDE axon guidance defects.** As previously described, PDE axons were visualized with an *osm-6 promoter::gfp* transgene (Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003). *osm-6::gfp*, expressed in all ciliated sensory neurons including PDE, allows unambiguous identification of the PDE axon from other axons (Collet et al. 1998). A PDE axon was considered defective if the axon failed to reach the ventral nerve cord or if it reached the ventral nerve cord at a position greater than a 45° angle from the PDE cell body. Young adult animals were scored, although *arx* mutants were often scored as L3 or L4 larvae. Percentages of defective PDE
axon guidance in each strain was determined, and significance was judged by a two-sided t-test with unequal variance unless otherwise noted.

**Imaging and analysis of PQR dendrite development.** The PQR dendrite was visualized using *gcy-32::gfp* transgenes (Yu et al. 1997). Larvae were synchronized by washing adults and larvae from a plate with many eggs and allowing the eggs to hatch. Newly-hatched larvae were washed from the plate at 0.5h intervals and allowed to develop for the following times before imaging: 6h, 6.5h, 7h, 7.5h, and 8h. Animals were imaged using a Leica DMR microscope with a QImaging Retiga EXi camera. Z-stacks of each PQR were captured and were subject to nearest neighbor deconvolution using Openlab software.

Filopodia were defined as growth cone protrusions that were less than 0.5mm in width. The number of filopodial protrusions from the growth cone of PQR neurons at the 7-7.5h timepoint was determined by scrolling through each Z-stack of images. An average number and standard deviation of filopodial protrusions per PQR dendrite was determined, and significance of difference between genotypes was determined by a two-sided T-test with unequal variance unless otherwise noted.

The lamellipodial perimeter of the growth cone was used as an indicator of growth cone size. At the 7-7.5 h timepoint, the distal 3 microns of the tip of the PQR dendritic protrusion was traced (see Figure 6) using ImageJ software, and a value representing the perimeter of this region was derived. An average was derived from multiple tracings, and significance of difference between genotypes
was determined by a two-sided T-test with unequal variance unless otherwise noted.

**Time lapse imaging of VD growth cones.** VD growth cones were imaged using an *unc-25::gfp* transgene *juls76* (Jin et al. 1999). Early L2 stage larvae were isolated by washing hatched worms off of a plate and allowing eggs to hatch for one-half hour. Newly-hatched larvae were washed off and allowed to develop at 20°C for 16 hours. Larvae with visible VD growth cones were imaged. Animals were transferred to a 2% agarose pad with a drop of 10mM muscimol (Sigma) in M9 (Weinkove et al. 2008). The drop was allowed to evaporate for 4-5 minutes before a coverslip was placed over the animals. Growth cones that were just emerging from the ventral muscle quadrant were imaged with a Leica DMR microscope with a BD CARV II wide-field light source and qImaging Rolera mGi camera at intervals of 120s. Total duration of time-lapse imaging ranged from 20-60 min.

Dynamic projections emanating from the growth cone, less than 0.5µm in width, were scored as filopodia. Filopodia length was measured using ImageJ software. Growth cone translocation speed was determined by measuring the distance from the distal tip of the lamellipodial portion of the growth cone to a fixed reference point along the axon, often a point at which the axon has crossed a lateral axon tract, which can cause a slight bulge in the axon. Significance of difference was determined by a two-sided t-test with unequal variance.
2.4 Results

Mutations in arx-2, arx-4, and arx-7 cause PDE axon guidance defects. The Arp2/3 complex is composed of seven molecules, Arp2, Arp3, and ArpC1-ArpC5 (Beltzner and Pollard 2007). The C. elegans genome contains genes encoding each of these subunits. Deletion mutations in genes encoding three subunits were analyzed; arx-2 Arp2, arx-4 ArpC2, and arx-7 ArpC5 (Figure 1). arx-2(ok1269) was a 1330-bp deletion that removed part of exon 4 and all of exons 5 and 6. arx-4(ok1093) was a 772-bp deletion that removed the 3’ splice site of intron 4 and part of exon 5. arx-7(ok1118) was a 1352-bp deletion that removed most of exon 3 of arx-7 (almost half of the arx-7 coding region) and extended into the downstream gene Y54E10BR.1, removing exon 1 and most of intron 1.

While arx-2(ok1269) and arx-4(ok1093) are predicted to affect only arx-2 and arx-4 respectively, arx-7(ok1118) might affect both arx-7 and Y54E10BR.1.

From mothers heterozygous for each mutation (wild-type maternal contribution, M+), arx-2(ok1269M+), arx-4(ok1093M+), and arx-7(ok1118M+) homozygotes grew slowly and arrested as larvae or young adults. Animals that survived to adulthood were sterile and had protruding vulvae (Pvl phenotype). Most arx-2(ok1269M+) animals arrested before reaching adulthood in the L3 or L4 larval stage. arx-7(ok1118) animals arrested in L4 or early adulthood, and arx-4(ok1093) animals survived to adulthood but were sterile and Pvl. RNAi of
*arx*-2 and *arx*-7 caused embryonic lethality due to defects in gastrulation as previously described (Sawa et al. 2003), indicating that *arx*-2, *arx*-7, and likely *arx*-4 have strong maternal contributions that are sufficient for embryonic and larval development. The variation in arrest phenotype of *arx* mutants could be due to differences in maternal contribution and not distinct functions of each *arx* gene.

Axon morphology of the PDE neuron was scored in *arx* homozygous animals. The PDEs are bilateral sensory neurons in the posterior of the animal with single, unbranched axons that extend ventrally to the ventral nerve cord (VNC), where the axons bifurcate and extend anteriorly and posteriorly in the VNC (Figure 2A) (White et al. 1986). In each *arx* homozygous mutant, defects in axon guidance were observed (Figure 2B-D). *arx*-2(ok1269M+) displayed 15% PDE axon guidance defects, *arx*-4(ok1093M+) 34%, and *arx*-7(ok1118M+) 30% (Figure 2E). Defects included failure of the axon to extend straight ventrally with lateral wandering, often never reaching the VNC (Figure 2B). Mutant PDE axons sometimes bifurcated prematurely before reaching the VNC (Figure 2C). Furthermore, some axons appeared to initiate from the sides of the PDE cell body rather than the ventral surface (Figure 2D). This could reflect a defect in polarity of axon initiation or could be a consequence of growth cone misguidance. *arx* mutants did not display a high frequency of ectopic axon formation as do other mutations that also affect PDE axon guidance (e.g. *mig*-2, *ced*-10 and *unc*-115).
To ensure that the phenotype observed in *arx* mutants was due to disruption of the *arx* gene, the entire wild-type genomic region for each locus was amplified by PCR and used in transgenic rescue experiments (see Methods). *arx*-4 is the downstream gene in a *C. elegans* operon, and the entire operon region comprised of the genes *K02F3.1*, *K02F3.12*, and *Y6D11A.1* was included in the fragment. The *arx*-2(+) and *arx*-4(+) transgenes rescued the slow growth, sterility and pVul phenotype of *arx*-2(ok1269) and *arx*-4(ok1093), respectively, and transgenic animals were viable and fertile. Furthermore, PDE axon defects were completely rescued (Figure 2E) (15% to 3% for *arx*-2(ok1269M+) and 34% to 1% for *arx*-4(ok1093); p < 0.0001 for each). The *arx*-7(+) fragment rescued the slow growth and pVul components of the *arx*-7(ok1118) phenotype as well as PDE axon pathfinding defects (30% to 3%; p < 0.0001) (Figure 2E). However, *arx*-7(ok1118); Ex[*arx*-7(+)]) animals were still sterile. The *arx*-7(ok1118) deletion also affected the downstream gene *Y54E10BR.1*, which was not included in the rescuing fragment. The sterility of *arx*-7(ok118); Ex[*arx*-7(+)]) transgenic animals might be due to the effects of ok1118 on *Y54E10BR.1*. These data indicate that the PDE axon guidance defects observed in *arx* mutants are due to mutation of the *arx* genes.

**arx*-4 acts cell-autonomously in PDE axon guidance.** To determine if *arx*-4 acts in neurons to control axon pathfinding, a transgene was constructed consisting of the *osm*-6 promoter upstream of the *arx*-4 coding region. The *osm*-6 promoter is active exclusively in ciliated sensory neurons including PDE and
has no apparent activity in other tissues (Collet et al. 1998; Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003). *arx-4(ok1093M+)* animals harboring the *Posm-6::arx-4(+) transgene were slow-growing, Pvl, and sterile, similar to *arx-4(ok1093)* homozygotes alone, confirming that the *Posm-6::arx-4(+) transgene was not broadly active. However, PDE axon guidance defects were rescued in these animals (34% to 2%; $p < 0.0001$) (Figure 2E), suggesting that expression of *arx-4* in the PDE neurons rescued *arx-4* axon guidance defects. These results suggest that *arx-4* acts cell-autonomously in the PDE neurons in axon guidance.

**arx mutations enhance mutations in ced-10/Rac, mig-2/RhoG.** Previous results indicated that the Rac-like molecules CED-10/Rac (Reddien and Horvitz 2000) and MIG-2/RhoG (Zipkin et al. 1997) act redundantly in axon guidance (Lundquist et al. 2001) and that the Arp2/3 activators WVE-1/WAVE and WSP-1/WASP might act in the CED-10/Rac and MIG-2/RhoG pathways, respectively (Shakir et al. 2008). The loss-of-function alleles *ced-10(n1993)* and *mig-2(mu28)* enhanced the PDE axon pathfinding defects of *arx-2(ok1269M+), arx-4(ok1093M+),* and *arx-7(ok1118M+)* (Figure 2F). For example *ced-10(n1993); arx-4(ok1093M+)* displayed 77% PDE axon guidance defects compared to 34% for *arx-4(ok1093M+)* alone; and *mig-2(mu28); arx-7(ok1118M+)* displayed 68% compared to 30% for *arx-7(ok1118M+)* alone (for all comparisons, $p < 0.0001$). Double mutants did not exhibit ectopic axon formation as observed in *ced-10; mig-2* doubles (Lundquist et al. 2001). That each *arx* mutation was enhanced by both *ced-10(n1993)* and *mig-2(mu28)* suggests that the Arp2/3 complex might
act in both the CED-10 Rac and MIG-2 RhoG pathways in PDE axon guidance. Possibly, both WVE-1/WAVE and WSP-1/WASP pathways converge on regulation of Arp2/3 in axon guidance.

The actin-binding protein UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled have redundant function with Arp2/3 in axon guidance. Previous studies showed that the actin-binding protein UNC-115/abLIM acts downstream of Rac signaling in axon guidance (Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003), raising the possibility that Rac signaling employs multiple actin-modulating pathways in axon guidance (UNC-115/abLIM and Arp2/3). Indeed, unc-115 mutations enhanced PDE guidance defects of arx mutants (Figure 2G). For example, unc-115(ky275); arx-2(ok1269M+) displayed 83% PDE axon guidance defects compared to 15% for arx-2(ok1269M+) alone (p values for all differences < 0.0001). Double mutants did not display enhanced ectopic axon formation as observed in double mutants of unc-115 with ced-10 and mig-2. That unc-115 mutations enhanced PDE axon guidance defects of arx mutations indicates that UNC-115/abLIM acts in parallel to Arp2/3 in PDE axon guidance, a result consistent with the idea that Rac GTPases employ both Arp2/3 and UNC-115/abLIM.

Previous studies showed that UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled had redundant roles in PDE axon guidance (Shakir et al. 2006). As predicted by this result, UNC-34/Enabled and Arp2/3 also had parallel function in PDE axon guidance (Figure 2G). Alone, loss-of-function unc-34(e951) mutants displayed 8% PDE guidance defects. unc-34(e951); arx-4(ok1093) and unc-34(e951); arx-
7(ok1118M+) displayed 91% and 97% PDE guidance defects compared to 35% and 35% for the arx mutants alone (for each comparison, p < 0.0001). Together, these data indicate that Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled all act redundantly to control PDE axon guidance.

Ezrin/radixin/moesin (ERM) proteins are regulators of actin organization and interaction with the plasma membrane. The C. elegans genome encodes one molecule similar to ezrin, radixin, and moesin called ERM-1. erm-1(tm677) is an out-of-frame deletion of the locus (Figure 1) that causes maternal-effect lethality (i.e. homozygotes from a heterozygous mother survive until adulthood). RNAi of erm-1 results in disrupted morphogenesis of the gut lumen (Gobel et al. 2004; Van Furden et al. 2004). We found that erm-1(tm677M+) animals displayed weak but significant defects in PDE axon guidance (9%; Figure 3). Double mutants of erm-1(tm677M+) with unc-115(ky275) and unc-34(e951) displayed PDE axon guidance defects that were not significantly different from the singles alone. These results indicate that ERM-1 has a role in PDE axon guidance but does not act redundantly with UNC-115 and UNC-34. Thus, the synergistic enhancement of arx mutants by unc-34 and unc-115 is not likely due to general actin cytoskeletal disruption. Rather, these results suggest that the genetic interaction is specific and that Arp2/3, UNC-115, and UNC-34 might act in a common process in axon guidance not affected by ERM-1.

Development of the posterior PQR neurite involves a growth-cone like structure with filopodia. Mutations in arx genes caused axon guidance
defects, but the effect of arx mutations on the growth cone during neurite extension was unclear. Developing neurites in arx mutants were analyzed. Transgenes used to assay PDE axon morphology were not visibly expressed early in PDE development during axon extension, including osm-6::gfp (Collet et al. 1998) and cat-1::gfp (Duerr et al. 1999). Therefore, the development of a distinct neurite was examined. A gcy-32::gfp reporter (Yu et al. 1997) was used to analyze outgrowth of the posterior PQR neurite, which develops into a ciliated sensory dendrite (White et al. 1986).

The PQR neuron, a descendant of the QL neuroblast, is born in the posterior of the L1 larva and migrates to its final position in the tail near the phasmid ganglia (Sulston and Horvitz 1977). At 6.5 hours after hatching, the PQR cell body had reached its final position and differentiation began. At this time, gcy-32::gfp expression was evident, revealing a large, wedge-shaped cell body with multiple finger-like filopodial protrusions emanating from around the cell body (Figure 4A). From 6.5 to 7 h, posterior PQR protrusions were oriented to the dorsal-posterior and appeared as thickened, foot-like structures with smaller filopodial extensions (Figure 4B). From 7 to 7.5 h, posterior extensions thinned into neurite-like structures with distal growth cones and multiple filopodial protrusions (Figure 4C). Filopodia also protruded along the lengths of the neurites. Also at this time, the cell bodies of the PQR neurons began to resemble a typical neuronal cell body: they became smaller in size and oval-shaped with fewer filopodial extensions. From 7.5 to 8 h, the neurites were thin and the growth cones at their tips continued to extend (Figure 5D). Filopodial
extensions became mostly restricted to the distal growth cone at this time. By 8.5 h, the neurites had extended completely and the growth cone-like structures were no longer evident. In broad terms, PQR dendritic extension involved initial dorsal-posterior protrusion, consolidation of the protrusion into a neurite with a growth cone, and growth cone extension. This is similar to growth cone advance observed in cultured neurons, which includes a period of growth cone swelling (engorgement) followed by a narrowing and consolidation of the proximal growth cone to form the new axon shaft (Goldberg and Burmeister 1986).

The finger-like extensions on PQR growth cones resembled filopodia. In many systems, including cultured cells and neurons and C. elegans neurons (Chang et al. 2006; Drees and Gertler 2008), Enabled activity is required for the formation of filopodia. To confirm that the finger-like protrusions that emanated from the PQR dendrite were filopodia, we determined if they were affected by mutation in un-34/Enabled. Indeed, in un-34(e951) mutants at 7-8 h post-hatching, the average number of finger-like extensions on PQR dendrites (defined as having a thickness of 0.5mm or less) was reduced significantly compared to wild-type (Figure 4I and Figure 6; 4.89 compared to 1.48, p < 0.0001). That these finger-like protrusions were affected by un-34/Enabled suggests that they are bona fide filopodia.

**arx mutants affect PQR growth cone morphology and filopodia formation.**

Posterior PQR neurite extension was assessed in arx-2(ok1269M+) and arx-7(ok1118M+) living larvae expressing gcy-32::gfp. These larvae exhibited a
general growth delay, and timing of PQR dendritic events were slowed by approximately one-half hour. At 7 h, initial wedge-like cell shape with filopodia appeared normal in arx-2 and arx-7 mutants (Figure 4E). From 7 to 7.5 hours, arx-2 and arx-7 extended dorsal-posterior-foot-like structures. However, these protrusions displayed fewer filopodial extensions than wild-type (Figure 4F).

Neurite consolidation appeared normal in arx-2 and arx-7, but from 7.5 to 8.5 hours, the growth cones at the distal tip displayed fewer filopodia (Figure 4G and H). Furthermore, fewer filopodia were evident along the length of the neurites. The posterior protrusions and growth cones of arx-2 and arx-7 mutants often appeared thinner and less ramified than in wild-type, possibly indicating a growth cone lamellipodial defect as well (see Figure 5 for additional representative images of wild-type and arx-7(ok1118M+) growth cones).

The number of filopodia on the growth cones and neurites of wild-type (7-8 h), arx-2(ok1269M+), and arx-7(ok1118M+) (7.5-8.5 h) were counted (see Figure 6A and Methods). Wild-type displayed an average of 4.89 filopodia per neurite whereas arx-2(ok1269M+) displayed 2.27 and arx-7(ok1118M+) 1.78 (p < 0.0001 for each) (Figure 6B).

As mentioned previously, the growth cones of arx mutants often appeared less robust and smaller in size than wild-type. To quantify this defect, the perimeters of growth cones (excluding filopodia) from wild type and mutant backgrounds were traced (see Figure 6A and Methods). The growth cone was defined as the widened region at the tip of the neurite, starting at 3mm from the distal growth cone tip. Wild-type growth cones displayed an average perimeter
of 7.96±0.91mm (Figure 6C). arx-7 displayed a significantly reduced average growth perimeter (6.77±0.51mm; p < 0.001).

To determine if these growth cone defects resulted in neurite guidance defects, we scored the final morphology of the PQR dendrites after outgrowth. Initial posterior protrusion, axon consolidation, and outgrowth of the neurite to its normal position in the tail were generally normal in arx mutants. However, PQR dendrites did not extend directly to the posterior as in wild-type (Figure 7A). Rather, the dendrites displayed multiple turns, resulting in an undulating dendrite appearance (Figure 7B and C) (45% in arx-7 compared to 19% in wild-type). These data indicate that arx-7(ok1118M+) affects the guidance of the PQR dendrite.

**arx-7 acts cell-autonomously in PQR filopodia regulation.** A transgene consisting of the gcy-32 promoter driving arx-7 tagged with coding region for green fluorescent protein was constructed. This transgene was predicted to express full-length ARX-7 with GFP at the C terminus. Animals harboring this transgene showed ARX-7::GFP fluorescence in the URX, AQR, and PQR neurons and nowhere else (data not shown). arx-7(ok1118M+) animals harboring this transgene displayed the gross phenotype of arx-7(ok1118M+) alone (arrested larvae and sterile, Pvl adults) indicating that arx-7(+) activity was not widely provided by the Pgcy-32::arx-7::gfp transgene. However, this transgene rescued filopodia formation to wild-type levels in arx-7(ok1118M+) PQR neurites (Figure 6B): an average of 5.1 filopodia per neurite compared to
1.78 for \textit{arx-7(Ok1118M+)} alone (p < 0.0001). \textit{arx-7} rescue was not significantly different than wild-type (5.1 versus 4.89; p = 0.71). These data indicate that \textit{arx-7} acts cell-autonomously in the regulation of growth cone filopodia.

\textit{unc-115/abLIM} mutation enhanced PQR filopodia defects of \textit{arx-2} and \textit{arx-7}. As reported above, \textit{unc-115} mutations enhanced PDE axon guidance defects of \textit{arx-2}, \textit{arx-4}, and \textit{arx-7}, indicating redundancy of function in PDE axon guidance. The effect of \textit{unc-115} on PQR posterior neurite extension was examined. Alone, \textit{unc-115(ky275)}, a null allele, displayed no defects in PQR posterior neurite filopodia formation (e.g. 4.61 filopodia versus 4.89 for wild-type (p = 0.51)) (Figure 6B). However, \textit{unc-115(ky275)} enhanced filopodia defects of \textit{arx-2(Ok1269M+)} and \textit{arx-7(Ok1118M+)}. \textit{unc-115(ky275); arx-2(Ok1269M+)} displayed 1.22 filopodia per neurite compared to 2.27 for \textit{arx-2(Ok1269M+)} alone (p = 0.003), and \textit{unc-115(ky275); arx-7(Ok1118M+)} displayed 1.04 compared to 1.78 for \textit{arx-7(Ok1118M+)} alone (p = 0.007).

\textit{unc-115(ky275)} mutants also displayed a reduced growth cone perimeter (Figure 6C) (6.52±0.57mm compared to 7.96±0.91mm for wild-type; p < 0.001), indicating that UNC-115 might be involved in growth cone lamellipodium regulation. As opposed to \textit{arx} mutants, \textit{unc-115(ky275)} did not display PQR dendrite guidance defects (the undulating dendrite), and the dendrite reached its normal final position (Figure 7C). Alone, \textit{unc-115(ky275)} had no affect on filopodia, possibly explaining the lack of PQR dendritic guidance defects in this mutant.
unc-115; arx double mutants displayed a defect not seen in unc-115, arx-2, or arx-7 single mutants, a rudimentary or absent posterior PQR neurite (Figure 4J and K): 12/70 PQR neurons from unc-115(ky275); arx-2(ok1269M+) and 9/75 unc-115(ky275); arx-7(ok1118M+) animals lacked a significant posterior protrusion. Some animals showed a rudimentary posterior protrusion (Figure 4J) whereas other showed no posterior neurite (Figure 4K). These observations suggest that in addition to being required for filopodia formation, the Arp2/3 complex and UNC-115/abLIM might also redundantly control neurite initiation or the ability of a neurite to form and extend.

unc-34/Enabled affects filopodia but not growth cone perimeter. As previously observed in the HSN neuron (Chang et al. 2006), unc-34(e951) mutants displayed severely reduced filopodia-like protrusions on the PQR dendritic neurite (Figure 4I and Figure 6; 1.48, p < 0.0001). That unc-34 affected these thin, filopodia-like protrusions supports the notion that they are bona fide filopodia, as Enabled molecules have been shown to control filopodia formation in many systems, including C. elegans neurons (Chang et al. 2006; Drees and Gertler 2008). arx-7(ok1118M+); unc-34(e951) displayed significantly fewer filopodia than each single alone, but an additive effect of the two mutations combined could not be excluded (Figure 6B). Some arx-7(ok1118M+); unc-34(e951) double mutants lacked a strong posterior neurite from PQR (2/17), similar to arx; unc-115 double mutants, suggesting that UNC-34/Enabled and the Arp2/3 complex might control the ability of a neurite to form or to extend. unc-34
mutants did not display a reduction in PQR growth cone perimeter (7.78±0.9mm compared to 7.96±0.91mm for wild-type; p = 0.387) (Figure 6C).

These data indicate that Arp2/3 and UNC-115 affect both growth cone filopodia and growth cone perimeter, which might reflect lamellipodial protrusion. In contrast, unc-34 affected only growth cone filopodia but not growth cone perimeter. Thus, growth cone perimeter and number of filopodia are at least partially-independent processes. For example, unc-115 alone affected growth cone perimeter but not filopodia, and unc-34 affected filopodia but not growth cone size. These results indicate that the filopodial defects seen in arx mutants might be a specific defect in filopodia and are unlikely to simply be a secondary effect of reduced lamellipodial protrusion.

**ARX-7, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34 affect filopodia initiation but not duration or length.** The effects of Arp2/3, UNC-115, and UNC-34 on PQR growth cone filopodia formation could be due to decreased filopodial stability or half-life, or could be due to a decrease in the rate of filopodial initiation. We imaged growth cones from wild-type and mutants over time to assess the dynamic effects of these mutations of filopodia formation. The commissural growth cones of the VD motor axons have been used in previous time-lapse studies (Knobel et al. 1999; Weinkove et al. 2008). The VD axons begin extension during the L1/L2 larval molt and continue to extend early in the second larval stage. As the VD commissural growth cones emerge dorsally after transversing the ventral muscle quadrant, they display a spread appearance with
multiple dynamic filopodial extensions (Knobel et al. 1999). Until the growth cones reach the dorsal muscle quadrant, they generally retain a spread morphology with multiple filopodial extensions ((Knobel et al. 1999) and our observations).

To understand the roles of Arp2/3 and UNC-115 in filopodia formation, we imaged VD growth cones as they migrated between the ventral and dorsal muscle quadrants. In wild-type, the VD growth cones displayed dynamic extension and retraction of lamellipodia-like and filopodia-like projections (Figure 8A). In arx-7, unc-115 and unc-34 mutants, VD growth cone dynamics appeared generally reduced, and the growth cones displayed a less ramified appearance (Figure 8B-D). Notably, fewer filopodia were observed on the growth cones of mutants, consistent with results in PQR.

Reduced steady-state filopodia number could be due to a defect in filopodia initiation or filopodia stability or duration. We tracked the emergence and dynamics of multiple individual filopodial from multiple growth cones over time (Figure 9A). This analysis revealed that that in wild-type, filopodia had an initiation rate of 0.26 filopodia/minute (approximately one new filopodium initiated every four minutes) (Figure 9B). Filopodia initiation rate was significantly reduced in arx-7, unc-115, and unc-34 mutants (Figure 9B): arx-7: 0.16/minute, unc-115: 0.17/minute, and unc-34: 0.07/minute. Filopodia did occasionally form in these mutants, and we measured their duration time and maximal length. We found no significant difference between wild-type or any of these mutants in filopodial duration (4-5.5 minutes) (Figure 9C) or maximal filopodial length (0.8-1
mm) (Figure 9D). These data indicate that arx-7, unc-115, and unc-34 primarily affected the rate of filopodia initiation but did not affect the duration or maximal length of filopodia once they had formed. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that some filopodia initiate but abort before they are detectable in our assay.

We also determined the average translocation rates of wild-type and mutant growth cones (see Methods and Table 1). Wild-type had a translocation rate of 7.38 mm/h. This rate is consistent with what has been observed previously for VD growth cones in mounted and anaesthetized larvae (4-8.7 mm/h) (Knobel et al. 1999). arx-7 and unc-34 had significantly reduced growth cone translocation rate. arx-7 had a translocation rate of 4.08 mm/h and unc-34 had 3.84 mm/h (Table 1). unc-115 did not have a significantly reduced translocation rate (7.02 mm/h). Variability in translocation rate in each genotype including wild-type was very high, making it difficult to assign a direct effect on growth cone morphology to translocation rate. For example, unc-115 affected filopodia to the same extent as arx-7 but did not affect translocation rate. It is possible, however, that these mutants have distinct effects on growth cones aside from filopodia that were not assayed in these studies.

unc-115 and unc-34 have been shown previously to have VD/DD axon guidance defects (Lundquist et al. 1998; Shakir et al. 2006; Withee et al. 2004). arx-7 mutants also displayed VD/DD pathfinding defects (compare Figures 10A and B). In arx-7, VD and DD axons wandered laterally and crossed other commissural axons in 77% (n=40) of animals (Figure 10C). All arx-7 VD/DD
axons reached the dorsal nerve cord. Such crossings were occasionally observed in wild-type (38%, n=100), but occurred at a significantly higher rate in arx-7 (p < 0.0001). While the penetrance of axon guidance defects of arx-7, unc-115, and unc-34 were similar (Figure 10C), the misguided axons in arx-7 always reached the dorsal cord, whereas misguided unc-115 and unc-34 axons wandered laterally, terminated prematurely, and sometimes failed to reach the dorsal nerve cord (Lundquist et al. 1998; Shakir et al. 2006). The filopodia defects of arx-7 were as severe as those of unc-34 and unc-115, suggesting that unc-115 and unc-34 might have other, non-filopodial roles in axon guidance not shared by arx-7.
2.5 Discussion

The Arp2/3 complex controls growth cone size and filopodia formation *in vivo*. The results presented here show that the Arp2/3 complex is required for the initiation of growth cone filopodia of *C. elegans* neurons *in vivo*. The role of Arp2/3 in growth cone morphology has been controversial, based on studies in cultured neurons. Some studies concluded that Arp2/3 was not involved in growth cone filopodia formation (Pinyol et al. 2007; Strasser et al. 2004), while another demonstrated a role for Arp2/3 in growth cone filopodia formation (Korobova and Svitkina 2008). Our results presented here clearly demonstrate that Arp2/3 is required for growth cone filopodia formation *in vivo*. The previous study that found no role of Arp2/3 in cultured hippocampal growth cone filopodia formation used expression of a dominant negative WAVE construct to inhibit Arp2/3 (Strasser et al. 2004), whereas the study that found an effect of Arp2/3 in hippocampal growth cones used siRNA knockdown of the p34-Arc subunit of the Arp2/3 complex (Korobova and Svitkina 2008). Possibly, the dominant-negative WAVE construct did not inhibit Arp2/3 as well as siRNA. In any case, our studies of *C. elegans* growth cones *in vivo* demonstrate that Arp2/3 is a key regulator of growth cone filopodia formation.

A previous study using cultured hippocampal neurons (Korobova and Svitkina 2008) showed that Arp2/3 was present in the growth cone at branch points of actin filaments as observed in other cell types, and filaments with Arp2/3 at their base contributed to filopodial bundles, suggesting that Arp2/3 provides a source of actin filaments for filopodial formation (Korobova and
The nature of the growth cone cytoskeletal defects in arx mutant growth cones is difficult to discern, as the growth cones are very small compared to those of cultured hippocampal neurons (< 5mm in diameter compared to ~20mm for cultured hippocampal neurons). However, it is possible that there is reduced nucleation of lamellipodial actin filaments in arx-7 mutant growth cones resulting in less availability of lamellipodial actin filaments to contribute to filopodial bundles. This idea is consistent with the time-lapse analysis of growth cone filopodia, which showed that arx mutants had reduced filopodial initiation rates compared to wild-type (Figure 9). arx-7 mutant PQR growth cones also displayed a reduction in the size of the growth cone (Figures 4, 5, and 6), consistent with a role of Arp2/3 in the nucleation of growth cone lamellipodial actin filaments. Interestingly, once filopodia formed in arx-7 mutants, they had characteristics similar to wild-type, including time of persistence/stability and maximal length. Thus, the Arp2/3 complex might primarily affect the initiation of filopodia and have little role in filopodial stability of extension once a filopodium has initiated. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that filopodia initiate and collapse before they are visible as filopodia in our imaging assays.

**The Arp2/3 complex is required for axon guidance.** As a growth cone navigates its environment, filopodia are thought to act as sensors of extracellular cues and have a role in guiding the growth cone (Davenport et al. 1993; Dent et al. 2003). Consistent with this idea, we found that arx mutants have defects in the guidance of the PDE and VD/DD axons and of the PQR dendrite. Reduction
of filopodia on arx mutant growth cones might lead to growth cone guidance defects. However, arx axon guidance defects were incompletely penetrant (e.g. 15-34% for the PDEs). This could be due to the action of the remaining few filopodia on arx mutant growth cones or could be due to lamellipodial guidance. Indeed, unc-34/Enabled mutants lack most or all growth cone filopodia but have relatively weak effects on axon guidance (Chang et al. 2006; Shakir et al. 2006; Withee et al. 2004) (and this work).

Rac GTPases are thought to regulate Arp2/3 activity via the WAVE family of Arp2/3 activators: WAVE is present in a complex with Sra-1 and kette, and Rac-GTP binding to Sra-1 activates WAVE and thus Arp2/3 (Eden et al. 2002; Innocenti et al. 2004). Previous studies showed that in C. elegans PDE axon guidance, CED-10 Rac acts with WVE-1/WAVE, and that MIG-2/RhoG acts with the WSP-1/WASP molecule, a member of the WASP family of Arp2/3 activators (Shakir et al. 2008). Shown here is that PDE axon guidance defects of arx mutations were enhanced by both ced-10 and mig-2, consistent with a role of both CED-10/Rac and MIG-2/RhoG in the Arp2/3 pathway (Figure 11). CED-10/Rac might also control UNC-115/abLIM (Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003), explaining the enhancement of arx mutations by ced-10.

**UNC-115/abLIM controls growth cone size and filopodia formation.** As mentioned above, the weak axon guidance defects of arx mutants could be explained by the lamellipodial guidance or by the activity of the remaining few filopodia on mutant growth cones, presumably formed by Arp2/3-independent
mechanisms. UNC-115/abLIM has previously been implicated in axon pathfinding, but the role of the molecule in regulation of growth cone dynamics and morphology during outgrowth had not been demonstrated. We found that UNC-115/abLIM also affected the formation of growth cone filopodia. While unc-115 displayed no significant reduction of filopodia on PQR growth cones, it did enhance filopodia defects of arx-7. Furthermore, unc-115 displayed reduced filopodia initiation rate on VD/DD growth cones. These data indicate that UNC-115/abLIM is also a regulator of filopodia initiation similar to Arp2/3. That unc-115/abLIM mutants alone have defects in VD filopodia but not PQR filopodia suggests that different neurons have distinct requirements for UNC-115/abLIM in growth cone filopodia formation. This could be due to different guidance signals detected by these neurons that trigger distinct downstream signal transduction pathways, resulting in different requirements for UNC-115/abLIM.

UNC-115/abLIM binds to actin filaments via the C-terminal villin headpiece domain (VHD), and promotes the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia in C. elegans neurons and cultured fibroblasts (Struckhoff and Lundquist 2003; Yang and Lundquist 2005). UNC-115/abLIM might act as a bundling protein in filopodial bundles, or it might produce new actin filaments for use in filopodia, similar to Arp2/3. Indeed, the villin headpiece domain from human villin can nucleate actin polymerization (Friederich et al. 1992), but this activity has not been described for UNC-115/abLIM. Our results here suggest that UNC-115/abLIM might also have a role in lamellipodia organization similar to Arp2/3, as unc-115 mutants also displayed reduced PQR growth cone size.
**UNC-34/Enabled affects filopodia formation without affecting growth cone morphology.** Enabled has been extensively implicated in filopodia formation, including on growth cones, and our results that unc-34 mutant growth cones had reduced filopodia numbers confirm this role of Enabled. In time-lapse studies, we found that unc-34 affected the rate of filopodia formation, suggesting that Enabled controls filopodia initiation similar to Arp2/3 and UNC-115/abLIM. This is similar to previous results in cultured neurons in response to netrin (Lebrand et al. 2004). We found that unc-34 had no effect on filopodia stability or length once a rare filopodium formed, indicating that UNC-34 has no role in filopodia outgrowth or stability. This is in contrast to cultured neurons, where Ena/VASP inhibition also resulted in filopodia extension defects (Lebrand et al. 2004).

In contrast to arx-7 and unc-115 mutants, unc-34 mutant PQR growth cones, while nearly devoid of filopodia, displayed no significant reduction in size. While all three mutations caused reduced filopodia initiation, this difference might hint at distinct mechanisms of action of these molecules. Possibly, Arp2/3 and UNC-115/abLIM primarily affect lamellipodial actin organization such that filaments are less available for filopodia formation in mutants. In contrast, UNC-34/Enabled had a filopodia specific effect, consistent with the role of Ena/VASP molecules as actin anti-capping proteins. It is surprising that unc-34 had little or no effect on stability or length of the few filopodia that did form in these mutants. Possibly, the anti-capping activity of UNC-34/Enabled is required only during initiation and other proteins can serve its function during elongation. It is also
possible that filopodial initiation requires other actin-interacting properties of Enabled that have been described recently (e.g. filament nucleation or bundling) (Applewhite et al. 2007; Bear and Gertler 2009).

**Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled act together in axon guidance.** Our results indicate that Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled are required for growth cone filopodia formation. However, the effects of these mutations on PDE and VD/DD axon guidance and PQR dendritic guidance were incompletely penetrant. Strikingly, double mutants of *arx* with *unc-115* and *unc-34* displayed greatly enhanced PDE axon guidance defects, and previous studies showed that *unc-34* and *unc-115* had overlapping roles in PDE axon guidance. Possibly, perturbing multiple actin modulating molecules had general, nonspecific effects on the cytoskeleton resulting in increased defects. We think this is not the case as these interactions were specific. *erm-1* encodes the sole member of the ezrin/radixin/moesin family of actin-membrane linking proteins, *erm-1* mutation caused weak guidance defects on its own, indicating that ERM- is required for axon guidance. However, *erm-1* did not enhance *unc-115* or *unc-34*, suggesting that the enhancement of *arx, unc-115*, and *unc-34* is specific to these genes and is not the result of general cytoskeletal disruption.

Together, our data indicate that Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC34/Enabled might act in a common process in axon guidance, namely in the initiation of growth cone filopodia (Figure 11). Indeed, *unc-115* and *unc-34*
enhanced the PQR filopodia defects of arx-7, although the enhancement by unc-34 might simply be an additive effect because unc-34 mutants alone display severely reduced filopodia numbers. It is also possible that all three molecules affect filopodia formation and have redundant roles in other aspects of growth cone morphology. Indeed, this seems to be the case, as both unc-115; arx and unc-34; arx doubles displayed severe PQR neurite outgrowth and formation defects. This is surprising for unc-34/Enabled, as Ena/VASP molecules were traditionally considered to be anti-capping proteins with specific effects on filopodia. However, recent studies have suggested that Ena/VASP molecules have other effects on actin, including bundling and nucleation (Bear and Gertler 2009), have anti-capping-independent roles in filopodia formation (Applewhite et al. 2007) and control the initiation of neurites in the mammalian central nervous system (Dent et al. 2007; Kwiatkowski et al. 2007). Possibly these other roles of UNC-34/Enabled explain the severe defects in neurite formation in unc-34; arx double mutants.

Many molecules have been implicated in axon guidance through genetic, molecular, and biochemical studies. The effects of these molecules on the behavior and dynamics of the growth cone during axon guidance are unclear in many cases. Through a combination of genetic analysis and time-lapse imaging of growth cones during outgrowth, we show that the Arp2/3 complex acts cell autonomously in the initiation of new growth cone filopodia during growth cone outgrowth, possibly explaining the axon guidance defects observed in arx
mutants. We show that two other actin modulatory molecules, UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled, also affect growth cone filopodia initiation, which might explain the genetic redundancy of Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled in axon guidance. Arp2/3 and UNC-115/abLIM, but not UNC-34/Enabled, also affect the size of the growth cone, possibly reflecting a role in the formation of growth cone lamellipodium. Thus, multiple actin regulatory molecules have shared roles (filopodia initiation) and distinct roles (lamellipodia formation) in the growth cone during axon outgrowth.
Figure 2.1. Mutations in *arx-2, arx-4, arx-7,* and *erm-1.* The structures of the *arx-2, arx-4, arx-7,* and *erm-1* genes are shown. Filled boxes represent exons; arrows represent translational start sites in putative cDNAs; and 5' is to the left. The extent of deletions in each of the genes is shown below the structure. The *arx-7(ok1118)* deletion extends into the gene to the 3‘ of *arx-7.*
Figure 2.2
Figure 2.2. PDE axon pathfinding defects in arx mutants.  (A-D) Shown are fluorescent micrographs of larval or young adult animals with osm-6::gfp expression in the PDE neurons.  (A) A wild-type PDE neuron extended a single unbranched axon (arrow) straight ventrally to the ventral nerve cord (VNC), which is out of focus but represented by a dashed line.  (B-D) PDE axons were misguided in arx-2, arx-4, and arx-7 mutants. The axons failed to reach the VNC and wandered laterally (B and C) or wandered laterally before extending to the VNC (D).  In all micrographs, anterior is to the left and dorsal is up; dashed lines represent the location of the out-of-focus VNC, and scale bars = 10mm.  In genotypes, “M+” denotes that the animal had wild-type maternal arx contribution. (E-G) Quantitation of PDE axon guidance defects.  Genotypes are along the X axis, and proportion of misguided PDE axons is the Y axis.  The numbers of PDE axons scored for each genotype is listed above the graph.  In (E), Ex[arx(+)] indicates that the animals harbored a wild-type arx(+) transgene, and Ex[osm-6::arx-4] indicates that the animals harbored a transgene that expressed the arx-4(+) gene specifically in the PDEs. The differences between arx mutants alone and those harboring transgenes were significant in all cases (p ≤ 0.0001; indicated by an asterisk).
Figure 2.3
Figure 2.3. PDE axon guidance defects in *erm-1(ok677M+)* and double mutants. (A) A micrograph of a misguided PDE axon in *erm-1(tm677M+)*. The scale bar represents 10mm. (B) Quantitation of PDE axon guidance defects as described in Figure 2.
Figure 2.4
Figure 2.4. *arx* mutants display fewer PQR growth cone filopodia. Shown are fluorescent micrographs of living animals with *gcy-32::gfp* expression in the PQR neuron in the tail of the animal posterior to the anus. Below each micrograph is a tracing showing the shape of the PQR neuron. In all micrographs, anterior is to the left and dorsal is up, and the scale bars represent 2mm (*A* for *A*-*I* and *J* for *J*-*K*). (*A*-*D*) show a timecourse of wild-type posterior PQR neurite development (arrows). PQR neurons from different animals at particular timepoints are shown. A tracing of the cell and neurite is shown below each micrograph of animals at given timepoints after hatching (see Methods). (*E*-*H*) show a PQR posterior neurite development in a representative example of an *arx-2(ok1269M*) mutant. (*I*) The PQR posterior neurite from an *unc-34(e951)* mutant. (*J*-*K*) show PQR neurons from *unc-115(ky275); arx-2(ok1269M)* or *unc-115(ky275); arx-7(ok1118M)* mutants at 9 hours after hatching at a time when wild-type posterior protrusions had fully extended. The PQR in (*J*) showed a rudimentary posterior protrusion (arrow), and the PQR neuron in (*K*) showed no obvious posterior protrusion (arrow). The dashed arrows in (*J*) and (*K*) point to normal anterior axonal protrusions from these PQR neurons.
Figure 2.5
Figure 2.5. *arx-7(ok1118M+)* displayed fewer growth cone filopodia than wild-type. Shown are fluorescent micrographs of posterior PQR neurites in representative samples of wild-type (left column) and *arx-7(ok1118M+)* (right column) at 7-8 hours after hatching. Arrows point to growth cones. For all micrographs, anterior is left, dorsal is up, and the scale bar = 2mm.
Figure 2.6
Figure 2.6. Quantitation of PQR posterior neurite growth cone filopodia defects. (A) A micrograph demonstrating how filopodia number and growth cone perimeter was quantified. Asterisks mark filopodial protrusions (< 0.5mm in width). The red dashed line is a tracing of the distal 3mm of the growth cone perimeter. (B) The Y axis represents the average number of filopodia present on the PQR posterior neurite growth cone at 7-8 hours after hatching. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. \textit{arx-2} (ok1269M+) and \textit{arx-7} (ok1118M+) displayed significantly fewer filopodia than wild-type (p < 0.0001; indicated by a single asterisk). Cell-specific expression of the \textit{arx-7}(+) in PQR via the \textit{Pgcy}-32::\textit{arx-7}(+):: \textit{gfp} transgene rescued filopodia defects of \textit{arx-7} (ok1118M+) (p < 0.0001; two asterisks). \textit{unc-115} (ky275) was not significantly different from wild-type (p = 0.51), but did significantly enhance \textit{arx-2} (ok1269M+) and \textit{arx-7} (ok1118M+) (p = 0.003 and 0.007, respectively; three asterisks). \textit{unc-34} (e951) displayed significantly fewer filopodia than wild-type (p < 0.0001; four asterisks). (C) Growth cone perimeter (in microns) is the Y axis. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. \textit{arx-7} (ok1118M+) and \textit{unc-115} (ky275) had reduced growth cone perimeter compared to wild type (asterisks; p < 0.001) whereas \textit{unc-34} did not (NS = not significant).
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#### C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Percent with misguided dendrite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild-type</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arx-7(ok1118M+)</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-115(ky275)</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-34(e951)</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.7. PQR dendrite guidance defects. (A) and (B) are micrographs of young adult PQR neurons expressing gcy-32::gfp. Anterior is to the left, and dorsal is up. In wild-type, the PQR dendrite extends directly to the posterior. In arx-7( ok118M+), the PQR dendrite extends posteriorly but has multiple turns. The scale bar in (A) represents 5mm. (C) Quantitation of PQR dendritic guidance defects.
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Figure 2.8. Time lapse images of VD growth cone outgrowth. Series of time-lapse images of VD growth cones in early L2 larvae, as they migrate between muscle quadrants. The time in minutes after initiation of the experiment are shown in the lower left corner of each image. The scale bar represents 5 mm. (A) A wild-type VD growth cone displays multiple protrusions. The arrow points to the initiation (at 14 minutes) and retraction (at 18 minutes) of a growth cone filopodium. (B) An arx-7(ok1118M+) growth cone displayed fewer filopodial protrusions and generally less dynamics than wild type. (C) An unc-115(ky275) growth cone displayed few filopodia and generally reduced dynamics. (D) An unc-34(e951) growth cone as it spread along a nerve tract as described in (Knobel et al. 1999). The growth cone displayed few filopodial protrusions.
Figure 2.9
Figure 2.9. Quantitation of VD/DD growth cone dynamics. (A) A time lapse series of a wild-type VD growth cone showing the emergence and retraction of an individual filopodium (arrows). The scale bar represents 5mm, and the time after the start of the time lapse experiment is indicated in the lower left of each panel. B-D) Quantitation of filopodia initiation rate, stability, and maximal length. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Asterisks indicate significant differences between wild type and the mutant (p < 0.0006). NS = not significantly different. The number of growth cones analyzed and the total number of filopodia (in parentheses) is indicated in the bars in (D). (B) Initiation rates of filopodia (average initiation events per minute); (C) average filopodial duration (in minutes); and (D) average filopodial maximal length.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype (n)</th>
<th>Percent of animals with misguided VD/DD axons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild-type (&gt;100)</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arx-7(ok1118M+) (40)</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-115(ky275) (&gt;100)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-34(e951) (&gt;100)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.10. VD/DD axon guidance defects. (A) and (B) are micrographs of young adult animals with *unc-25::gfp* expression in the VD/DD motor axons. In wild type, the commissural axons generally extend directly from ventral to dorsal. In *arx-7(ok1118M+)*, axons often wandered laterally and crossed other commissures before reaching the dorsal cord (arrow). (C) Percentage of animals with misguided VD/DD commissural axons.
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Figure 2.11. A model of Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34 in growth cone filopodia formation. Arp2/3 might act downstream of both CED-10/Rac and MIG-2/RhoG and in parallel to UNC-115/abLIM and UNC-34/Enabled. CED-10/Rac might also regulate UNC-115/abLIM.
Chapter III

UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 modulate extent and polarity of growth cone protrusion
4.1 Abstract

A great deal is known about the roles of UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 in axon pathfinding, but little is known about how they directly affect the growth cone in vivo in developing organisms. The lamellipodia and filopodia of the growth cone are actin-based structures that sense the extracellular environment and power the forward motion of the growth cone. We show here that in UNC-6-repelled VD growth cones and in UNC-6-attracted HSN growth cones, mutations in unc-6, unc-40 and unc-5 affected lamellipodia and filopodia, both in the extent of lamellipodial and filopodial protrusion and in the polarity of protrusion. unc-6 mutants exhibited a loss of polarity in both VD and HSN filopodia protrusions and decreased the extent of protrusion in the HSNs. unc-40 mutants exhibited a loss of polarity and decreased the extent of protrusion in the HSNs, while activated unc-40 caused decreased extent of protrusion in the VDs and increased extent of protrusion in the HSNs. Finally, unc-5 mutants exhibited a loss of polarity and increased extent of protrusion in the VDs. The loss of polarity extended to the actin cytoskeleton, as filamentous actin, normally polarized to the leading edge of the growth cone, lost its polarity in unc-5 and unc-6 mutants in VD growth cones. The findings presented here suggest that UNC-6-mediated repulsion through UNC-5 corresponds to a decreased extent of growth cone protrusion, while UNC-6-mediated attraction through UNC-40 corresponds to an increase in growth cone protrusion. These studies show that UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 are
required \textit{in vivo} to correctly orient and modulate the extent of protrusions in the developing growth cone.
4.2 Introduction

Developing axons are tipped with growth cones, dynamic actin-based structures that sense and respond to extracellular cues, driving the forward motion of the axon (Mortimer et al. 2008; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman 1996). Growth cones consist of lamellipodial protrusions of a branched actin meshwork and filopodial protrusions of bundled actin filaments, which are both involved in the proper growth of an axon to its target destination (Gallo and Letourneau 2004; Pak et al. 2008; Zhou and Cohan 2004).

The laminin-like UNC-6/Netrin guidance cue and its receptors UNC-40/DCC (Deleted in Colorectal Carcinoma) and UNC-5 have been strongly implicated in axon pathfinding in mouse, Drosophila, C. elegans and other organisms (Chan et al. 1996; Hong et al. 1999; Leonardo 1997; Montell 1999; Pan et al.; Shekarabi and Kennedy 2002). In C. elegans, UNC-6 is secreted by neurons in the ventral nerve cord and is necessary for guidance of circumferential neurons (Ishii et al. 1992). The receptor UNC-40 is expressed in growth cones and mediates an attractive response to UNC-6, driving the ventral guidance of circumferential axons, while the receptor UNC-5 is expressed in dorsally-guided growth cones and mediates a repulsive response to UNC-6, driving the dorsal guidance of circumferential axons (Hedgecock et al. 1990; Hong et al. 1999).

UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 both respond to UNC-6/Netrin by the formation of receptor dimers. In neurons expressing only UNC-40/DCC, UNC-6/Netrin binding causes the formation of an UNC-40/DCC homodimer, leading to an
attractive response by the growth cone. In neurons expressing both UNC-5 and UNC-40/DCC, UNC-6/Netrin binding leads primarily to the formation of UNC-5 homodimers or UNC-5-UNC-40/DCC heterodimers, both of which mediate a repulsive response (Hong et al. 1999; MacNeil et al. 2009).

While the role of UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors have been studied extensively in axon pathfinding endpoint analyses and in *in vitro* growth cone experiments, little is known about how they affect the growth cone *in vivo* during the developmental process. Previous studies have shown that UNC-6/Netrin can affect the polarity of growth cone protrusions in *C. elegans* (Adler et al. 2006), and the morphology of the growth cone in neurons *in vitro* (*Shekarabi and Kennedy 2002; Shekarabi et al. 2005*). This led us to the hypothesis that UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors may play an important role in modulating the extent and polarity of protrusion in *C. elegans* growth cones, and that this may affect the final axon pathfinding outcomes.

We endeavored to understand how UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 affect axon pathfinding, growth cone morphology and dynamics in circumferential neurons in *C. elegans*, using methods we have previously developed which allow *in vivo* analysis of *C. elegans* growth cones. We show here that UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 are involved in polarity of growth cone protrusiveness and in modulating the extent of protrusion.
4.3 Materials and Methods

Genetic methods

Experiments performed at 20°C using standard C. elegans techniques. These mutations and transgenics were used: X: unc-6(ev400 and e78), lqIs170 [rgef-1::vab-10ABD::gfp]; I: unc-40(n324 and e1430), unc-73(rh40); II: juls76 [unc-25::gfp]; IV: kyls179 [unc-86::gfp], unc-5(e53 and e152). Chromosomal locations for the following transgenes were not determined: lqIs128 [unc-25::myr::unc-40], lqls129 [unc-25::myr::unc-40], lqls164 [myo-3::cfp], lqls182 [unc-86::myr::gfp], and lhls6 [unc-25::mCherry]. Extrachromosomal arrays were attained by injection into the germline, and then integrated into the genome via standard techniques (Mello and Fire 1995). Double mutants with unc-6, unc-5 and unc-40 were confirmed by the uncoordinated movement phenotype, axon pathfinding phenotype, and PCR genotyping.

Imaging of axon guidance defects

VD neurons were visualized with an unc-25::gfp (Jin et al. 1999) or unc-25::mCherry transgene (gift of Brian Ackley), which is expressed in all GABAergic neurons, including the 16 VDs. VD axons were considered defective if the axon failed to reach the dorsal nerve cord or if it branched or turned at an angle greater than 45° before reaching the dorsal nerve cord. To determine severity of defects, a myo-3::cfp transgene was introduced to mark the ventral and dorsal muscle quadrants. Using an unc-25::mCherry construct we could then
determine whether an axon’s trajectory went awry before or after reaching the dorsal edge of the ventral muscle quadrant.

HSNs were visualized with an *unc-86::gfp* transgene (Shen and Bargmann 2003), which is expressed in numerous neurons, including the two HSNs. HSN axons were considered defective if the axon failed to reach the ventral nerve cord, or if it branched or turned at an angle greater than 45° before reaching the ventral nerve cord.

**Growth cone time-lapse imaging**

VD growth cones were imaged as previously described (Norris et al. 2009). Briefly, animals harboring the *juls76 [unc-25::gfp]* transgene were selected 16 h post-hatching at 20°C and placed on a 2% agarose pad with a drop of 10mM muscimol (Sigm-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO USA) in M9 (Weinkove et al. 2008), which was allowed to evaporate for 3 to 4 minutes before placing coverslip. Growth cones were imaged with a Qimaging Rolera mGi camera on a Leica DMR microscope with BD CARV II wide-field light source. Images were acquired at intervals of 120 s, with total duration of time lapse ranging from 20 to 60 minutes. HSN growth cone time-lapse imaging was performed identically, except that animals were selected at 19 h post hatching.

Dynamic projections less than 0.5 μm in width emanating from the growth cone were scored as filopodia. Filopodia length and growth cone area were measured using ImageJ software. Polarity of filopodia protrusion was determined in ImageJ by dividing the growth cone into four equally-sized quadrants (dorsal-
anterior, dorsal-posterior, ventral-anterior and ventral-posterior) and determining from which quadrant each filopodium emanated. Significance of difference was determined in all cases by a two-sided $t$-test with unequal variance or a fisher’s exact test.
4.4 Results

**UNC-5, UNC-6 and UNC-40 control dorsal-ventral axon pathfinding.**

The secreted laminin-like molecule UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 define an evolutionarily-conserved dorsal-ventral axon and cell migration system (Moore et al. 2007). In *C. elegans*, UNC-6 is secreted by ventral cells, creating an asymmetry of UNC-6 from the ventral to dorsal. Circumferential axons respond differentially to UNC-6 (Hedgecock et al. 1990; Ishii et al. 1992). Those that are attracted to UNC-6 grow ventrally toward UNC-6, and those that are repelled from UNC-6 grow dorsally away from UNC-6. The UNC-6/Netrin receptors mediate attraction versus repulsion. UNC-40 is required for both attractive ventral growth and repulsive dorsal growth, and UNC-5 is required specifically for dorsal repulsive growth (Hedgecock et al. 1990). Studies in vertebrate systems indicate that growth cones with active UNC-40/DCC homodimers are attracted to UNC-6, and growth cones with active UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimers are repelled from UNC-6 (Hong et al. 1999). In *C. elegans*, unc-6 and unc-40 mutants display guidance defects in both ventrally-directed and dorsally-directed circumferential axons, and unc-5 mutants display defective pathfinding in dorsally-directed circumferential axons (Hedgecock et al. 1990). We confirmed and quantified defects in *unc-5*, *unc-6*, and *unc-40* mutants in the dorsally-directed VD motor neurons that are repelled from UNC-6, and in the ventrally-directed HSN axons that are attracted to UNC-6. Consistent with previous results, *unc-5* and *unc-6* mutants displayed a nearly complete failure of
VD axons to reach the dorsal nerve cord, whereas *unc-40* mutants had a weaker effect on VD axon pathfinding (Figure 1A-D). In contrast, *unc-6* and *unc-40* mutants had strong HSN axon guidance defects whereas *unc-5* mutants did not (Figure 1E-G). Thus, UNC-6 is required for both repulsion (VDs) and attraction (HSNs), UNC-40 is required for attraction and weakly for repulsion, and UNC-5 is required for repulsion. In support of this model, the *unc-6(e78)* allele, which results in a cysteine to tyrosine substitution in domain V-3 that disrupts interaction with UNC-5 but not UNC-40/DCC (Lim and Wadsworth 2002), more strongly affected dorsal repulsive growth than ventral attractive growth as previously reported (Figure 1A and E). These results are consistent with previous findings that UNC-6/Netrin and UNC-40/DCC, but not UNC-5, are required for ventral migration (Hedgecock et al. 1990).

**UNC-5 and UNC-6 inhibit growth cone protrusion**

While it is established that UNC-6/Netrin signaling affects circumferential axon guidance, the effects of these molecules on the growth cone during outgrowth *in vivo* are less well understood. We started by timelapse imaging of the growth cones of the VD motor neurons that are repelled from UNC-6/Netrin. VD growth cones were imaged in animals at 16 hours post-hatching at a time when the VD growth cones have begun their commissural migrations (see Materials and Methods for imaging methods). We measured growth cone area as well as the dynamic parameters of filopodia initiation rate, filopodia duration, and maximal filopodial length (see Material and Methods for parameter measurement). Wild-
type VD growth cones had an average area of $6.7 \, \mu m^2$. Wild-type growth cones also displayed multiple dynamic filopodia that formed at an average rate of 0.26 per minute (one initiation event every 3.8 minutes). The average wild-type filopodium had a duration of 4.9 minutes (between the time it was first noticeable to the time it was no longer apparent) and had an average maximal length of 0.96 $\mu m$. These parameters are similar to those previously observed for wild-type VD growth cones.

 unc-5 caused an increase in growth cone protrusiveness in VD growth cones. Growth cone area was significantly increased ($6.8 \, \mu m^2$ in WT compared to $8.7 \, \mu m^2$ in unc-5(e152) and unc-5(e53); $p < 0.01$) (Figure 2E), as was filopodia duration (4.9 minutes in wild type versus 7.1 and 7.3 minutes in unc-5(e152) and unc-5(e53); $p < 0.01$) (Figure 2A). Average maximal filopodial length was also increased significantly. However, filopodial length appeared biphasic in unc-5 mutants, with many filopodia that were comparable to wild-type length and some that grew very long (greater than two standard deviations from average wild-type length; 12% and 15% in unc-5(e152) and unc-5(e53)) (Figure 2D; Figure S1). Some of these long filopodia were observed to endure throughout the growth cone imaging period, suggesting that they are very stable growth cone protrusions not observed in wild-type. These data indicate that UNC-5 is normally required to limit the extent of protrusion of growth cones that are repelled from UNC-6. However, unc-5 mutants did not affect the rate of filopodia formation (Figure 2B) suggesting that UNC-5 might affect filopodial dynamics after a filopodium has been formed.
Surprisingly, the *unc-6(ev400)* null mutation had no significant effect on any of the VD growth cone parameters we measured. However, the *unc-6(e78)* mutation that specifically disrupts the repulsive, UNC-5-dependent role of UNC-6 (Lim and Wadsworth 2002), resembled *unc-5* mutants in all parameters measured (increased growth cone area, increased filopodial duration, and unusually long filopodia with no effect on filopodia initiation rate). Thus, UNC-6 also normally inhibits filopodial dynamics and growth cone area. That these effects are reduced in the *unc-6* null suggests that they are due to a non-UNC-5 mediated role of UNC-6, possibly interaction with UNC-40. Indeed, as we show below, loss of UNC-40 suppressed the increased filopodial dynamics in *unc-5* mutants and in *unc-6(e78)*, indicating that UNC-40 is required for increased filopodial dynamics in these mutants.

**Constitutively-active MYR::UNC-40 inhibits VD growth cone protrusion.**

Two putative null mutations in *unc-40, e1430* and *n324*, had very little effect on VD growth cone dynamics and morphology, with the only significant effect being a reduction in maximal filopodial length compared to wild-type (Figure 2C). This is surprising given that UNC-40 is thought to cooperate with UNC-5 in repulsive axon guidance. To probe the role of UNC-40 in VD growth cone dynamics in more detail, we constructed a constitutively-active version of UNC-40, based upon Gitai et al., 2003, by adding an N-terminal myristoylation signal to the cytoplasmic domain of UNC-40. MYR::UNC-40 lacks the transmembrane domain and the extracellular domains, which function to inhibit
dimerization in the absence of UNC-6/Netrin, and is targeted to membranes by the myristoylation sequence. MYR::UNC-40 is thought to dimerize and be constitutively active even in the absence of UNC-6/Netrin. myr::unc-40 was then expressed in the VD neurons using the unc-25 promoter. In a wild-type background, MYR::UNC-40 caused a marked decrease in VD growth cone protrusiveness. MYR::UNC-40 growth cones exhibited a decrease in growth cone area and filopodial formation rate (Fig. 2). When filopodia did form, they had reduced maximal length (0.96 µm in WT compared to 0.76 µm in lqIs128; p < 0.01) and reduced duration (4.9 minutes in WT compared to 3.9 minutes in lqIs128; p < 0.01). MYR::UNC-40 growth cones appeared very small (6.8 µm² in WT compared to 4.6 µm² in lqIs128; p < 0.01) with few filopodial protrusions, and exhibited a “treadmilling” behavior in which there was some movement around the edges of the growth cone but very little growth cone advance (Figure S2). Thus, constitutively-active MYR::UNC-40 inhibited growth cone protrusion suggesting that UNC-40 normally has a role in inhibition of protrusion. In contrast to loss of function of unc-5 and unc-6(e78), myr::unc-40 also affected filopodia initiation rate (0.26 per minute in WT compared to 0.16 per minute in lqIs128; p < 0.01) (Figure 2B). Thus UNC-40 might also be involved in inhibition of filopodial initiation. Alternatively, filopodia might form at a normal rate in myr::unc-40 but are inhibited before they are visible in our imaging conditions, which might not be able to distinguish short or transient filopodia. Consistent with these defects in growth cone morphology, myr::unc-40 caused defects in VD
and DD dorsal axon pathfinding as determined by end point analysis (55% defective axons in lqIs128) (Figure 1A).

**Constitutively-active MYR::UNC-40 requires UNC-5 to inhibit VD protrusion**

We next tested if the MYR::UNC-40-mediated reduction in growth cone protrusion was dependent on the presence of other Netrin signaling components. We found that the MYR::UNC-40 phenotype was not dependent on UNC-6, as unc-6(ev400); myr::unc-40 double mutant VD growth cones resembled myr::unc-40 alone (Figure 3). This result is consistent with the idea that MYR::UNC-40 is an UNC-6-independent constitutively-active molecule. MYR::UNC-40 was also not dependent on endogenous UNC-40 (Figure 3). However, MYR::UNC-40 inhibition of protrusion was dependent upon function UNC-5 (Fig. 3, Fig. S3).

Growth cone area (4.6 µm² in lqIs128 compared to 7.2 µm² in unc-5(e53); lqIs128; p < 0.01) and filopodia initiation rate (0.16 per minute in lqIs128 compared to 0.21 per minute in unc-5(e53); lqIs128; p < 0.01), maximal length (0.76 µm in lqIs128 compared to 1.1 µm in unc-5(e53); lqIs128; p < 0.01), and duration (3.9 minutes in lqIs128 compared to 7.4 minutes in unc-5(e53); lqIs128; p < 0.01) were restored in unc-5(e53); myr::unc-40 mutants to levels comparable to or greater than that of wild-type and in fact resembled the excessive protrusion seen in unc-5 mutants alone.

These results suggest that MYR::UNC-40 acts in a complex with UNC-5 to inhibit growth cone protrusion, consistent with previous results suggesting that an UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimer mediates growth away from UNC-6/Netrin. These
results also suggest that formation of a putative MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimer is independent of UNC-6/Netrin as would be expected of a ligand-independent constitutively-active molecule.

**VD growth cone over-protrusive phenotypes of unc-5 and unc-6(e78) are suppressed by unc-40 mutation**

*unc-5* null and *unc-6(e78)* mutants but not *unc-6(ev400)* null mutants displayed increased growth cone protrusion, suggesting a role of UNC-6 in stimulating VD growth cone protrusion in the absence of UNC-5. We speculated that this might involve UNC-40. We constructed *unc-5; unc-40* double mutants and found that they did not exhibit the over-protrusive phenotypes seen in the *unc-5* single mutants: growth cone size (8.7 µm² in *unc-5(e53)* compared to 6.5 µm² in *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53); p < 0.01), filopodia length (1.1 µm in *unc-5(e53)* compared to 0.92 µm in *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53); p < 0.01) and duration (7.3 minutes in *unc-5(e53)* compared to 5.0 minutes in *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53); p < 0.01) were all returned to near-wild-type levels in the double mutants using two distinct null alleles of *unc-40* (Fig. 4). Likewise, *unc-40(n324)* was required for the over-protrusive growth cones of *unc-6(e78)* mutants, as *unc-6(e78); unc-40(n324)* double mutants had near-wild-type levels of protrusion (for example, 8.5 µm² growth cone size in *unc-6(e78)* compared to 6.6 µm² in *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53); p < 0.01) (Figure 4). These results suggest that UNC-40 and UNC-6 might have roles in stimulating VD growth cone protrusion in addition to roles in inhibition of protrusion along with UNC-5, as demonstrated by the MYR::UNC-40
results above. This also might explain why *unc-6* null mutants and *unc-40* mutants display less severe growth cone protrusion defects than *unc-5* mutants (i.e. UNC-6 and UNC-40 are required both to stimulate and to inhibit protrusion, and when they are gone an equilibrium between the two is maintained).

**Axon pathfinding defects of *unc-5* mutants are reduced by *unc-40* mutation**

Previous studies indicated that UNC-5 homodimers mediate repulsion while close to the UNC-6/Netrin source, whereas UNC-5/UNC-40 heterodimers mediate repulsion at locations more distant from the UNC-6/Netrin source (MacNeil et al. 2009). We labeled the ventral and dorsal muscle quadrants with CFP and the VD axons with mCherry, allowing us to quantify the number of axon trajectories that go awry before passing the ventral muscle quadrant, close to the normal source of UNC-6/Netrin (Fig. 5) (Ishii et al. 1992). Indeed, most VD axon pathfinding defects in *unc-40* mutants occurred after the axon passed the ventral muscle quadrant (90% in *unc-40(e1430)*, whereas *unc-5(e53)* null and *unc-6(e78)* defects occurred in or before the ventral muscle quadrant, with some axons apparently not emerging from the ventral nerve cord. *unc-5(e53)* and *unc-6(e78)* null also had more severe defects than the *unc-6(ev400)* null (67% in *unc-5(e53)* and 63% in *unc-6(e78)*, compared to 33% in *unc-6(ev400)*). *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53)* double mutants were less severe than *unc-5(e53)* alone, and had guidance defects of comparable severity to *unc-6(ev400)* alone (67% for *unc-5(e53)* alone compared to 41% for *unc-40(n324); unc-5(e53)* and 33% for *unc-6(ev400)*; p < 0.01). These data indicate that in *unc-5* mutant VD growth
cones, UNC-40/DCC may be mediating an attractive response to UNC-6/Netrin, causing these axons to become attracted to rather than repelled from UNC-6/Netrin and thus misguided sooner than in the complete absence of UNC-6/Netrin.

**Netrin signaling component mutants modulate extent of protrusion of HSN growth cones**

Axons that contain UNC-40 but not UNC-5 are normally attracted to UNC-6/Netrin and thus navigate ventrally (MacNeil et al. 2009). To study the roles of UNC-6 and UNC-40 in growth cones that are attracted to UNC-6/Netrin, we turned to the HSN neurons. The bilateral HSN cell bodies reside laterally just behind the hermaphrodite vulva in the midsection of the animal. The HSN axons extend ventrally to the ventral nerve cord and then anteriorly to innervate the vulva. As previously reported, the axons of the HSN neurons require UNC-6 and UNC-40 for their ventral-ward migration (Figure 1E-G) (Adler et al. 2006). In unc-6 and unc-40 mutants, the HSN axons often extend laterally instead of ventrally. The HSN displays a prominent growth cone at 18-19 h post-hatching in the late L1 stage. At this time, the growth cone resembles a thickened protrusion from the ventral region of the cell body with multiple filopodial protrusions, as previously reported (Adler et al. 2006). Over the next 12 hours into the mid L4 larval stage, the HSN growth cone remains dynamic and migrates ventrally to the ventral nerve cord. We analyzed HSN growth cones in time lapse at 19 hours
post-hatching. At this time the wild-type HSN growth cone is on average 11.2 µm² in size, with filopodia that form at an average rate of one every 4.4 minutes and endure for 5.2 minutes, with a maximal filopodial length of 0.9 µm (Fig. 6, Fig. S4). The HSN growth cone is larger, but all dynamic filopodial characteristics are similar to those of the VD growth cones.

unc-40 and unc-6 null mutant HSN growth cones exhibited a decrease in protrusiveness, with a decrease in growth cone size (11.2 µm² in WT compared to 8.2 µm² in unc-6(ev400) and unc-40(n324); p < 0.01), filopodia length (0.9 µm in WT compared to 0.73 and 0.78 µm in unc-6(ev400) and unc-40(n324); p < 0.01), and duration (5.2 minutes in WT compared to 3.2 and 3.6 minutes in unc-6(ev400) and unc-40(n324); p < 0.01). unc-6 mutants but not unc-40 mutants exhibited a decrease in filopodia formation rate as well (0.23 per minute in WT compared to 0.14 per minute in unc-40(n324); p < 0.01). These results indicate that UNC-6/Netrin and UNC-40/DCC are required for robust HSN growth cone protrusion.

We drove the expression of MYR::UNC-40 in the HSNs using the unc-86 promoter. In contrast to VDs, MYR::UNC-40 caused an increase in protrusiveness in the HSNs, with an increase in growth cone size (11.2 µm² in WT compared to 15.1 µm² in myr::unc-40; p < 0.01), filopodia duration (5.2 minutes in WT compared to 7.8 minutes in myr::unc-40; p < 0.01) and length (0.9 µm in WT compared to 1.3 µm in myr::unc-40; p < 0.01) (Fig. 6). This is the opposite effect seen with MYR::UNC-40 in the VDs, and suggests that in axons
that do not express UNC-5, the MYR::UNC-40 molecules form an attractive complex that stimulates growth cone protrusion.

Considered with the results in the VD growth cones, these data suggest that UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 control the extent of growth cone protrusion, including growth cone size and the maximal length and duration of growth cone filopodia. However, in the VD growth cones, unc-6 and unc-40 mutants have only weak effects on growth cone protrusiveness but very strong VD axon guidance defects, indicating that regulation of growth cone protrusion is not the only role of these molecules in growth cones.

**UNC-5, UNC-6, and UNC-40 affect polarity of growth cone filopodia protrusion**

Wild type VD and HSN growth cones display highly polarized filopodial protrusion: in VD growth cones, most filopodia protrude dorsally away from UNC-6; and in HSN growth cones, most filopodia protrude ventrally toward UNC-6. We next determined if orientation of filopodial protrusion was altered in unc-5, unc-6 and unc-40 mutants. To quantify polarity of filopodial protrusion, we divided the growth cones into four quadrants along the A-P and D-V axes, based upon the orientation of the animal, regardless of the direction that the growth cone is navigating (some growth in mutants were misguided and were migrating laterally or even ventrally). In wild type VD neurons growing along naked epidermis, the majority of filopodia (70%) extended from the dorsal half of the growth cone, toward their target in the DNC. unc-5 and unc-6 null mutations
abolished this polarity such that filopodia protruded nearly equally in the dorsal and ventral directions (54% and 45% of filopodia directed ventrally in unc-5(e53) and unc-6(ev400) mutants) (Figure 7). Anterior-posterior filopodial protrusion was not significantly different in wild-type growth cones or in unc-5 and unc-6 mutants (Figure 6G). unc-40 null mutations had no significant effect on dorsal ventral polarity of VD growth cone filopodial protrusion, nor did MYR::UNC-40.

In wild-type HSN growth cones, the majority of filopodia extended from the ventral half of the growth cone, toward their target in the VNC. Loss of UNC-5 did not affect this polarity, which is consistent with the observation that UNC-5 is not expressed in the HSN. However, loss of UNC-40/DCC or UNC-6/Netrin abolished the polarity of filopodia extension (Fig. 7E-H), and the growth cone often extended laterally instead of ventrally (Figure G). These results are consistent with previous results showing that UNC-6 localizes UNC-40 to the ventral surface of the HSN, and in an unc-6 mutant, UNC-40 localizes uniformly around the cell body and protrusions extend from around the cell body (Xu et al. 2009). These results indicate that UNC-5, UNC-6, and UNC-40 control the polarity of filopodial protrusion from growth cones that are repelled (VDs) or attracted (HSNs) to UNC-6/Netrin.

**UNC-5 and UNC-6 control polarity of growth cone filamentous actin**

Growth cone protrusion, including the lamellipodial growth cone body and filopodial extensions, involves dynamic regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (Quinn and Wadsworth 2008). In the VDs and the PQR dendrite growth cones,
the actin regulators Arp2/3, UNC-115/abLIM, and UNC-34/Enabled are required for robust filopodial extensions (Norris et al. 2009). We assayed filamentous actin (F-actin) in the VD growth cones, using a construct in which the F-actin binding domain (Kim et al.) of the spectraplakin VAB-10 is fused to GFP that has been used to monitor F-actin in other cells in living C. elegans. In wild-type VD growth cones, this VAB-10ABD::GFP construct localized preferentially to the dorsal leading edge of the lamellipodia (Fig. 8A-C). In unc-6 and unc-5 null mutants, VAB-10ABD::GFP no longer showed a preferential dorsal leading edge (Fig. 8D-F). Rather, VAB-10ABD::GFP distribution was randomized across the growth cone and sometimes showed a ventral bias. No obvious differences in overall levels of f-actin were detected, but slight changes would not be distinguished using this assay. unc-40 null mutations had no effect on VAB-10ABD::GFP distribution. These results suggest UNC-5 and UNC-6 control the distribution of f-actin in the VD growth cone away from the UNC-6/Netrin source and toward the protrusive dorsal edge of the growth cones.
4.5 Discussion

**UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors modulate extent of growth cone protrusion**

Migrating growth cones navigate to their destinations employing a balance of cytoskeletal-based protrusion toward attractive cues and inhibition of protrusion toward repulsive cues. Thus it is necessary to correctly interpret the extracellular signal and transmit the information into the appropriate cytoskeletal response (Gallo and Letourneau 2004; Pak et al. 2008).

We show that in UNC-6-repulsed VD neurons, which express both UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5, loss of *unc-5* causes an increase in protrusive activity. We show that this is likely due to an increase in protrusion-stimulating binding of UNC-6/Netrin to UNC-40/DCC homodimers in the absence of the protrusion-limiting UNC-5.

In the HSNs we also show that loss of UNC-6/Netrin or UNC-40/DCC causes a reduction in the extent of growth cone protrusion, likely due to a loss of attractive signaling.

**Relationship between growth cone attraction and protrusion**

Our findings suggest that there is a link between an attractive cue and stimulation of protrusion, and between a repulsive cue and inhibition of protrusion in the growth cone. When the repulsive receptor UNC-5 is removed in VDs, the result is an overly-protrusive phenotype. When the attractive UNC-40/DCC
receptor, or its ligand UNC-6/Netrin, are removed in HSNs, the result is reduced protrusiveness.

Similarly, when an activated version of the attractive receptor UNC-40/DCC is expressed in HSNs, it causes an increase in protrusiveness. However, when it is expressed in VDs containing UNC-5, it causes excess inhibition of protrusion, likely through the formation of repulsive, protrusion-limiting UNC-5/UNC-40/DCC heterodimers.

These findings suggest a broader theme in which sensation of an attractive cue causes stimulation of protrusion, while a repulsive cue causes inhibition of protrusion. These in vivo morphological results are supported by previous in vitro studies as well (Shekarabi and Kennedy 2002; Shekarabi et al. 2005).

**UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors affect polarity of protrusion**

Previous studies have shown that UNC-6/Netrin is involved in establishment of initial protrusion polarity in the growth cone (Adler et al. 2006). We expand upon these findings and show that UNC-6/Netrin, UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 are all involved in controlling the polarity of protrusion in the growth cone.

We show here that UNC-6/Netrin is required for appropriately polarizing the protrusions of VD and HSN growth cones. In unc-6 mutants, both VD and HSN growth cones lose filopodia and F-actin polarization. We also show that in VDs UNC-5 is required for appropriate polarization of filopodia and F-actin, and
that in the HSNs UNC-40/DCC is required for appropriate polarization of filopodia.

**Activation of UNC-40/DCC causes differential context-dependent effects**

We created an activated form of UNC-40/DCC by removing the extracellular domain and adding a myristoylation sequence, thus driving it to membranes, including the cell membrane. Removal of the extracellular domain has been shown to constitutively activate the receptor, as it removes the dimerization-inhibiting extracellular domain, allowing the receptor to constitutively dimerize even in the absence of ligand (Gitai et al. 2003). A similar construct has previously been shown to lead to increased protrusion in adult HSNs (Gitai et al. 2003).

We confirm these results in the HSN growth cones, which express only UNC-40/DCC, but we observe the opposite effect in VDs, which express both UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 and exhibit a marked decrease in protrusion upon introduction of MYR::UNC-40. We suspect that the differences between the two phenotypes are dependent on the formation of attractive, protrusion-stimulating dimers of UNC-40/DCC and MYR::UNC-40 in the HSNs, while repulsive, protrusion-inhibiting dimers of UNC-5 and MYR::UNC-40 are formed in the VDs. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the decreased protrusion phenotype seen in MYR::UNC-40 in the VDs is suppressed by unc-5(e53).

Thus we have shown that UNC-6/Netrin, UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 are not only required for axon pathfinding from an endpoint analysis standpoint, but that
they are also necessary developmentally for orienting and modulating the extent of growth cone protrusions.
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Figure 3.1 Netrin signaling mutants cause axon pathfinding defects. (A) Percentage of VD axons with pathfinding defects. (B-D) Fluorescent micrograph L4 VD axons; (B) normal pathfinding in WT axons; (C) misguided and prematurely stopped axons in unc-6(ev400); and (D) prematurely stopped and improperly branched axon in unc-5(e53). (E) Percentage of HSN axons with pathfinding defects. (F-G) Fluorescent micrographs of WT and unc-6(ev400) HSN axons; (F) normal pathfinding in WT; and (G) failure to migrate ventrally in unc-6(ev400). Arrowheads delineate dorsal nerve cord, arrows point to axons. Asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (p < 0.01); n > 100; scale bar represents 5 µm.
Figure 3.2
Figure 3.2 Netrin signaling mutants cause VD growth cone morphology and dynamic defects. (A-D) Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia once formed, in minutes; (B) Filopodia formation rate, in initiation events per minute; (C) Maximum filopodia length, in μm; and (D) Percentage of filopodia greater than the WT average + 2 standard deviations in length. (E) Growth cone area in μm². (F-G) Timelapse series of live growth cones, taken at 2 minutes per frame. (F) WT VD growth cone; and (G) unc-5(e53) growth cone. Arrows point to representative filopodia. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (p < 0.01); n > 50; scale bar represents 5 μm.
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Figure 3.3 Under-protrusive MYR::UNC-40 VD phenotypes are rescued by loss of UNC-5. (A-C) Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia once formed, in minutes; (B) Filopodia formation rate, in initiation events per minute; (C) Maximum filopodia length, in µm. (D) Growth cone area in µm² (E-F) Fluorescent micrographs of mutant VD growth cones, showing inhibition of myr::unc-40 phenotype in an unc-5(e53) mutant background. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between lqls128 and the mutant (p < 0.01); n ≥ 50; scale bar represents 5 µm.
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Figure 3.4 Over-protrusive *unc-5* VD phenotypes are mediated by UNC-40/DCC. Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Filopodia duration once formed, in minutes; and (B) Percentage of filopodia greater than WT length + 2 standard deviations. (C) Growth cone area, in µm$^2$. (D-E) Fluorescent micrographs showing reduction of over-protrusive phenotypes between *unc-5(e53)* single mutant and *unc-5(e53); unc-40(n324)* double mutant. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (P < 0.01); plus sign denotes significant difference between double mutant and its respective protrusion-stimulating mutant (p < 0.01); n > 50; scale bar represents 5 µm.
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Figure 3.5 Severity of *unc-5(e53)* axon pathfinding defects is mitigated by loss of *unc-40*. (A) Percentage of VD axons that have pathfinding errors by the time they reach the dorsal edge of the ventral muscle quadrant. (B-C) Representative images showing VD axons in red and muscles in blue, demonstrating more severe axon pathfinding defects in the *unc-5(e53)* single mutant than in *unc-5(e53); unc-40(n324)* double mutant. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (P < 0.01); plus sign denotes significant difference between double mutant and its respective protrusion-stimulating mutant (p < 0.01); n > 50; scale bar represents 5 µm.
Figure 3.6
Figure 3.6 Netrin signaling mutants cause HSN growth cone morphology and dynamic defects. (A-D) Quantification of filopodia dynamics in HSN growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia once formed, in minutes; (B) Filopodia formation rate, in initiation events per minute; (C) Maximum filopodia length, in µm; and (D) Percentage of filopodia greater than the WT average + 2 standard deviations in length. (E) Growth cone area in µm². (F) WT HSN growth cone. (G) unc-40(n324) HSN growth cone. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (p < 0.01); n ≥ 50; scale bar represents 5 µm.
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Figure 3.7 Netrin signaling mutants cause growth cone polarity defects. (A) Percentage of filopodia protruding dorsally from VD growth cones. (B-C) Representative images of WT and unc-6(ev400) growth cones, with arrowheads marking filopodia. (D) Percentage of filopodia protruding dorsally from HSN growth cones. (E-F) Representative images of WT and unc-40(n324) growth cones, with arrowheads marking filopodia. (Leung-Hagesteijn) Graphical representation of percentage of filopodia protruding from each quadrant of the growth cone, dorsal is up and anterior to the left; (G) VD growth cones; and (H) HSN growth cones. Asterisk denotes significant difference between wild type and the mutant (p < 0.01); n ≥ 50; scale bar represents 5 µm.
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Figure 3.8 Netrin signaling mutants cause loss of growth cone F-actin polarity. Representative images of WT growth cones; cytoplasmic mCherry in red, F-actin binding domain fused to GFP in green. (C) Representative line-plot of WT growth cone; X-axis represents distance (in pixels) from the dorsal edge of the growth cone of a line drawn vertically from the dorsal growth cone membrane to the ventral growth cone membrane, Y-axis represents average ABD::GFP pixel intensity (n=5 lines drawn through growth cone). (D-E) Representative images of unc-6(ev400) growth cones, as in (Gatlin et al.). (F) Representative line-plot of unc-6(ev400) growth cone, as in (C). Scale bar represents 5 µm.
Chapter IV

UNC-73/Trio, the Rac GTPases, UNC-33/CRMP and UNC-44/Ankyrin are required for limiting the extent of filopodia protrusion and ectopic axon branching in C. elegans
4.1 Abstract

The growth cone consists of actin-based lamellipodial and filopodial protrusions which provide both propulsive force and the sensation of extracellular guidance cues. While many molecules involved in axon pathfinding have been identified, little is known about their role in the developing growth cone. Here we show that UNC-73/Trio, the Rac GTPases, UNC-33/CRMP and UNC-44/Ankyrin, which are all known axon guidance genes, were required for the inhibition of excessive axon branching and the inhibition of filopodia protrusion in developing growth cones. The unc-73 mutant phenotype was rescued by an activated mig-2 construct, indicating that MIG-2 likely acts downstream of UNC-73. The ectopic axon defects seen in each of these mutants appeared to be a direct consequence of the filopodia protrusive defects. The genes studied here may be downstream of a repulsive UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimer, as they suppressed an ectopically-expressed UNC-5-dependent activated UNC-40 phenotype in the VD growth cones. Thus we have described a developmental role for a series of genes known to be important for proper axon guidance, arriving at a developmental explanation for the defects seen in the endpoint analysis of the nervous system.
4.2 Introduction

The growth cone, located at the distal tip of a developing axon, senses and responds to guidance cues, directing the axon to its target destination (Mortimer et al. 2008; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman 1996). The growth cone consists of a dynamic lamellipodium, a sheet-like structure consisting of an actin meshwork, and filopodia, spike-like protrusions consisting of bundled actin filaments (Pak et al. 2008; Zhou and Cohan 2004). Filopodia are thought to be involved in the sensation of directional cues and subsequent changes in directional locomotion (Gallo and Letourneau 2004).

The Rac GTPases are involved in axon pathfinding, through modulation or the actin cytoskeleton and stimulation of growth cone lamellipodia (de Curtis 2008; Hall and Lalli 2010). In C. elegans the Rac GTPases MIG-2 and CED-10 act redundantly to control axon outgrowth and guidance (Lundquist et al. 2001; Lundquist 2006). The Rho/Rac GEF UNC-73/Trio has been shown to act upstream of the Rac GTPases in Drosophila and C. elegans to control cytoskeletal rearrangements necessary for proper axon guidance (Bateman et al. 2000; Blangy et al. 2000; Kishore and Sundaram 2002; Lundquist et al. 2001; Steven et al. 1998).

The collapsin response mediator (CRMP) orthologue UNC-33 and the Ankyrin orthologue UNC-44 are both cytoskeletal regulators that are necessary for proper axon guidance, and physically interact with each other in C. elegans (Colavita and Culotti 1998; Fukata et al. 2002; Li 1992; A. J. Otsuka, Franco, R.,
Yang, B., Shim, K.-H., Tang, L.Z., Zhang, Y.Y., Boontrakulpoontawee, P., Jeyaprakash, A., Hedgecock, E., and Wheaton, V.I. 1995; Tsuboi et al. 2005; Zallen et al. 1999). Both proteins have been shown to act directly on the cytoskeleton, and thus are good candidates for genes that could be downstream effectors of axon pathfinding signal transduction pathways.

While much is known about the requirements of UNC-33, UNC-44, UNC-73 and the Rac GTPases from endpoint axon-pathfinding analysis, little is known about their effects on the developing growth cone. We thus set out to examine how these genes affect the dynamics and morphology of the developing growth cone, and how these growth cone effects impinge upon axon pathfinding. We show that unc-73, unc-33, unc-44 and mig-2; ced-10 mutants have strong increases in filopodia length and longevity, perhaps leading to the excessive ectopic axon branches observed in endpoint analyses. In contrast, constitutively active mig-2 causes significant reductions in filopodia length and longevity. We also provide evidence that these genes may act in a linear pathway downstream of an UNC-40/UNC-5 Netrin-repulsed signaling complex.
4.3 Materials and Methods

Genetic methods

Experiments performed at 20°C using standard *C. elegans* techniques (Brenner 1974). These mutations and transgenics were used: X: *mig-2(mu28)*; I: *unc-73(rh40)*; II: *juls76 [unc-25::gfp]*; IV: *unc-5(e53), unc-33(e204), unc-44(e362), ced-10(n1993)*. Chromosomal locations for the following transgenes were not determined: *lqIs128 [unc-25::myr::unc-40]*, and *lqIs182 [unc-25::mig-2(G16V)]*. Extrachromosomal arrays were attained by injection into the germline, and then integrated into the genome via standard techniques (Mello and Fire 1995). Double mutants were confirmed by uncoordinated movement phenotype, axon pathfinding phenotype, and PCR genotyping. The *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)* strain was balanced with the nT1 balancer.

Imaging of axon guidance defects

VD neurons were visualized with an *unc-25::gfp* transgene (Jin et al. 1999), which is expressed in all GABAergic neurons, including the 16 VDs. VD axons were considered defective if the axon failed to reach the dorsal nerve cord or if it branched or turned at an angle greater than 45° before reaching the dorsal nerve cord.
**Growth cone time-lapse imaging**

VD growth cones were imaged as previously described (Norris et al. 2009). Briefly, animals harboring the julS76 [unc-25::gfp] transgene were selected 16 h post-hatching at 20°C and placed on a 2% agarose pad with a drop of 10mM muscimol (Sigm-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO USA) in M9 (Weinkove et al. 2008), which was allowed to evaporate for 3 to 4 minutes before placing coverslip. Growth cones were imaged with a Qimaging Rolera mGi camera on a Leica DMR microscope with BD CARV II wide-field light source. Images were acquired at intervals of 120 s, with total duration of time lapse ranging from 20 to 60 minutes. HSN growth cone time-lapse imaging was performed identically, except that animals were selected at 19 h post hatching.

Dynamic projections less than 0.5 µm in width emanating from the growth cone were scored as filopodia. Filopodia length and growth cone area were measured using ImageJ software. Significance of difference was determined in all cases by a two-sided *t*-test with unequal variance or a fisher’s exact test.
4.4 Results

Mutations in *unc-73* and *mig-2; ced-10* cause defects in axon pathfinding.

UNC-73/Trio acts as a GEF for Rac and Rho GTPases and to be required for proper neuronal migration and axon guidance (de Curtis 2008). In *C. elegans* we can distinguish the Rac and Rho GEF activity of UNC-73 by utilizing the *unc-73(rh40)* mutation, which causes a Ser-1216 to Phe substitution, disrupting the Rac-GEF domain of UNC-73 but leaving the Rho-GEF domain intact (Lundquist et al. 2001; Steven et al. 1998). We scored axon pathfinding defects in the VD/DD neurons in an *unc-73(rh40)* mutant background and found significant axon pathfinding errors, including ectopic axon branching. UNC-73 is a GEF for the Rac GTPases MIG-2 and CED-10 in *C. elegans* (Lundquist et al. 2001; Steven et al. 1998). We also scored axon pathfinding defects in these mutants, and found that in either single mutant there were little to no axon pathfinding defects, but in the *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)* double mutants there was a significant increase in pathfinding defects, including ectopic branches (Figure 1). The pathfinding defects were highly similar to *unc-73(rh40)* mutants (0% ectopic branches in wild-type, compared to 48% and 51% ectopic branches in *unc-73(rh40)* and *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)*; p < 0.01) (Figure 1).

Mutations in *unc-73* and *mig-2; ced-10* cause defects in growth cone dynamics.

To further probe the nature of the axon pathfinding errors we observed in *unc-73(rh40)* and *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)*, we turned to live growth cone
analysis of the VDs as they developed beginning at 16 hours post-hatching. We found that both *unc-73(rh40)* and *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)* growth cones had significant increases in filopodia protrusiveness, exhibiting longer filopodia (0.96 µm in wild-type compared to 1.44 and 1.30 µm in *unc-73(rh40)* and *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)*; p < 0.01) and longer duration of the filopodia once formed (4.9 minutes in wild-type compared to 10.5 and 8.0 minutes in *unc-73(rh40)* and *mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+)*; p < 0.01) (Figure 2). Indeed, some filopodia endured throughout the length of the experiment (greater than 20 minutes), and in some cases the growth cone appeared to disintegrate, and the exceptionally long filopodia seemed to consolidate into the appearance of a prematurely terminated axon with ectopic branches, similar to the previously-described ectopic axon branches seen in adults.

**Ectopically expressed constitutively-activated mig-2 causes growth cone defects and rescues the unc-73 phenotype.**

We next asked what effect a gain-of-function mutation in the Rac GTPase MIG-2 would have on the dynamics of the growth cone. The Gly-16 to Val substitution results in a constitutively GTP-bound, active version of MIG-2 (Lundquist et al. 2001). We expressed this construct in VD/DD motoneurons and found that growth cone filopodia protrusiveness was inhibited, with a reduction in filopodia duration (4.9 minutes in wild-type compared to 3.6 minutes in *mig-2(G16V)*; p < 0.01) and length (0.96 µm in wild-type compared to 0.68 µm in *mig-2(G16V)*; p < 0.01) (Figure 3). This phenotype is the opposite of the
phenotype observed in unc-73 and mig-2; ced-10 mutants. This prompted us to ask whether or not the active mig-2(G16V) could rescue the defects seen in the unc-73(rh40) background. Indeed, in unc-73(rh40); mig-2(G16V) double mutants we observed a significant reduction in filopodia protrusiveness when compared to the unc-73(rh40) single mutant, with a decrease in filopodia duration (10.5 minutes in unc-73(rh40) compared to 3.9 minutes in unc-73(rh40); mig-2(G16V); p < 0.01) as well as filopodia length (1.44 µm in unc-73(rh40) compared to 0.79 µm in unc-73(rh40); mig-2(G16V); p < 0.01) (Figure 3). All of these data are consistent with the idea that MIG-2, and perhaps CED-10 as well, work downstream of and are activated by UNC-73.

The cytoskeletal-binding mutants unc-33 and unc-44 have similar increases in ectopic axon branches and excessive filopodia protrusion to unc-73 and mig-2; ced-10 mutants.

The cytoskeletal regulators UNC-33/CRMP and UNC-44/Ankyrin are involved in tubulin and actin dynamics, and necessary for proper axon outgrowth and guidance (Colavita and Culotti 1998; Fukata et al. 2002; Li 1992; A. J. Otsuka, Franco, R., Yang, B., Shim, K.-H., Tang, L.Z., Zhang, Y.Y., Boontrakulpoontawee, P., Jeyaprakash, A., Hedgecock, E., and Wheaton, V.I. 1995; Tsuboi et al. 2005; Zallen et al. 1999). We observed that both mutants had similar endpoint axon pathfinding defects to those seen in unc-73 and mig-2; ced-10 mutants (48% ectopic branches in unc-73(rh40) compared to 48% and 56% in unc-33(e204) and unc-44(e362)) (Figure 1). They also had similar growth
cone filopodia protrusion phenotypes, with increases in filopodia duration (10.5 minutes in $unc-73(rh40)$ compared to 9.3 minutes in $unc-33(e204)$ and $unc-44(e362)$; $p < 0.01$) and length (1.44 μm in $unc-73(rh40)$ compared to 1.30 μm in $unc-33(e204)$ and $unc-44(e204)$; $p < 0.01$) (Figure 4). These findings raised the possibility that $unc-33$ and $unc-44$ could be functioning in the same pathway as $unc-73$, $ced-10$ and $mig-2$. Further evidence for this hypothesis was provided by the fact that both $unc-33$ and $unc-73$ suppressed an activated UNC-40 and thus may be downstream effectors (see below).

$unc-73$, $unc-33$ and $unc-44$ rescue the morphological phenotypes in a constitutively active MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 repulsive signaling complex in VD growth cones

We had previously constructed a constitutively active form of UNC-40/DCC based on (Gitai et al. 2003), by adding an N-terminal myristoylation signal to the cytoplasmic domain of UNC-40 lacking the transmembrane and extracellular domains, which normally function to inhibit receptor dimerization in the absence of UNC-6/Netrin. Introduction of this construct into VD growth cones caused MYR::UNC-40 to constitutively dimerize with UNC-5 and mediate a repulsive response, which resulted in a morphological phenotype similar to the $mig-2(G16V)$ construct described here, and opposite of the $unc-73$, $mig-2$; $ced-10$, $unc-33$ and $unc-44$ mutants (Norris et al. 2009). We thus tested whether the genes described here might be downstream of this active MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 signaling complex. Indeed, both of the mutants tested suppressed the filopodia
dynamics defects observed in myr::unc-40. Filopodia duration (for example, 3.9 minutes in myr::unc-40 compared to 8.2 minutes in unc-73(rh40); p < 0.01) and length (for example, 0.76 µm in myr::unc-40 compared to 1.52 µm in unc-73(rh40); myr::unc-40); p < 0.01) (Figure 5) were restored to levels comparable to or greater than wild-type in the double mutants.

These results suggest that unc-73, unc-33 and unc-44 are required for the repulsive, anti-protrusive phenotypes observed in a myr::unc-40 growth cone.

*mig-2(G16V)* fails to suppress the unc-5 gain-of-protrusion phenotype in VD growth cones

We had also previously shown that loss of unc-5 in VD growth cones caused an over-protrusive phenotype that was dependent on UNC-40, probably mediated by an active, attractive, pro-protrusive UNC-40 homodimer (Norris et al. 2009). The observation that UNC-73 was in the same pathway as the anti-protrusive MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimer led us to test whether UNC-73, and its effector MIG-2, might also be in the same pathway as the pro-protrusive UNC-40/UNC-40 homodimer. Interestingly, unc-5(e53); mig-2(G16V) double mutants failed to rescue any of the morphological defects seen in unc-5(e53) mutants alone (for example, filopodia duration of 7.26 minutes in unc-5(e53) compared to 7.06 minutes in unc-5(e53); mig-2(G16V); p > 0.05) (Figure 3).

These findings suggest that UNC-73 and the Rac GTPases are acting downstream of MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimers which act to inhibit growth cone protrusion, but not downstream of UNC-40/UNC-40 homodimers which act
to stimulate growth cone protrusion.
4.5 Discussion

**UNC-73 signals through MIG-2 to limit axon branching and limit the extent of filopodia protrusion**

The results presented here show that UNC-73/Trio and the Rac GTPases are required to limit the extent of filopodia protrusion. Loss of unc-73 or mig-2 and ced-10 led to a significant increase in filopodia length and longevity, while a constitutively active mig-2 led to a significant decrease in filopodia length and longevity. This activated mig-2 rescues defects observed in the unc-73 background, suggesting that unc-73 may regularly be functioning to activate mig-2 in VD growth cones. UNC-73/Trio has previously been shown to regulate the Racs in axon pathfinding (Kishore and Sundaram 2002; Lundquist et al. 2001; Steven et al. 1998), and here we show that their role may be in limiting the extent of filopodia protrusion in the growth cone. This is somewhat unexpected given the fact that the Rac GTPases are usually thought to be involved in the stimulation of lamellipodial protrusion (Hall and Nobes 2000; Hall 2005; Hall and Lalli 2010), while our studies here show that the Rac GTPases are involved in the inhibition of filopodia and have no apparent defects on the lamellipodium in vivo in C. elegans VD growth cones.

**UNC-33 and UNC-44 are required to limit the extent of filopodia protrusion**

UNC-33/CRMP and UNC-44/Ankyrin are both cytoskeletal-binding proteins (Fukata et al. 2002; A. J. Otsuka et al. 2002). It has previously been
shown that UNC-33/CRMP binds tubulin and is required for proper axonal migration, and that UNC-44/Ankyrin binds actin (via spectrin) and is likewise required for proper axonal migration (Fukata et al. 2002; Hopitzan et al. 2006). It has furthermore been shown that UNC-33 and UNC-44 interact physically, and that their individual mutant phenotypes resemble each other in endpoint axon pathfinding analyses (Li 1992; A. J. Otsuka, Franco, R., Yang, B., Shim, K.-H., Tang, L.Z., Zhang, Y.Y., Boontrakulpoontawee, P., Jeyaprakash, A., Hedgecock, E., and Wheaton, V.I. 1995). We confirmed that unc-33 and unc-44 mutants have similar axon pathfinding phenotypes in the VD/DD motoneurons, exhibiting a high degree of ectopic axon branching, at levels similar to unc-73 or mig-2; ced-10 mutants. We also showed that they have similar growth cone morphology phenotypes, exhibiting an increase in filopodia length and duration, similar to the unc-73 and mig-2; ced-10 phenotypes. We have thus elucidated the roles of two cytoskeletal regulators in growth cone morphology and dynamics.

**UNC-73, the Rac GTPases, UNC-33 and UNC-44 may function downstream of an UNC-40/UNC-5 repulsive guidance response**

We demonstrate that mutations in either unc-73, unc-33 or unc-44 were able to suppress a constitutively active myr::unc-40 construct expressed in the VDs. We previously demonstrated that this construct acts in an unc-5-dependent manner to limit protrusion, probably through the formation of MYR::UNC-40/UNC-5 heterodimers that act as a constitutively repulsive signaling complex in VD growth cones (Norris et al. 2009). Based on the observation that both of the
mutants tested suppressed the under-protrusive phenotypes observed in the myr::unc-40 background, we hypothesize that unc-73 and unc-33 are likely required for an UNC-40/UNC-5 repulsive growth cone response to limit the extent of filopodia protrusion in VD neurons.

On the other hand, loss of unc-5 in the VDs resulted in an over-protrusive phenotype, including greater filopodia protrusion. We previously showed that this phenotype is likely due to increased UNC-40 homodimerization in the growth cone, leading to over-stimulation of growth cone protrusion (Norris et al. 2009). We showed here that while an ectopically expressed mig-2(G16V) construct rescued the over-protrusive phenotypes observed in an unc-73 loss of function, it failed to rescue the over-protrusive phenotypes observed in an unc-5 loss of function, suggesting that the Rac GTPases may not be required for an UNC-40/UNC-40 attractive growth cone response. Taken together, these results suggest that unc-73, the Rac GTPases and unc-33 may act downstream of an UNC-40/UNC-5 repulsive signal, but not downstream of an UNC-40/UNC-40 attractive signal.

**Relationship between the extent of filopodia protrusion and ectopic axon branching**

We have shown here that for each case in which a mutant displayed excessive filopodia length and duration in the growth cone, it also displayed excessive ectopic branches in axons of the developed nervous system. We interpret this to mean that the ectopic axon branches observed in the adults may
be a direct result of the growth cone defects observed in the developing larvae. This idea is strengthened by the observation that at times the developing mutant growth cones with excessively long filopodia appeared to disintegrate and leave stable protrusions, similar in appearance to axon branches, where the dynamic filopodia once were. Thus these studies provide a link between the dynamics of growth cone protrusion and the endpoint analysis of axon pathfinding.
4.6 Future Directions

There remain a number of interesting questions to be asked in this project. One of the most direct questions is whether or not \textit{unc-33} and \textit{unc-44} suppress the \textit{mig-2(G16V)} construct. If they do, it would further support our model of \textit{unc-33} and \textit{unc-44} acting downstream of \textit{unc-73} and the Rac GTPases as effectors of filopodia protrusion inhibition.

Similarly, having already implicated \textit{unc-33} as being downstream of the MYR::UNC-40 inhibition-of-protrusion phenotype, it will be instructive to know whether or not \textit{unc-44} acts similarly.

Furthermore, it would be interesting to know whether or not CED-10 acts similarly to MIG-2 to limit filopodial protrusions, and whether or not it suppresses \textit{unc-73(rh40)}. Unfortunately, the necessary construct has yet to be successfully transformed into worms.

The \textit{unc-73(rh40); mig-2(G16V)} strain has yet to be scored for axon pathfinding and branching phenotypes. If the \textit{mig-2(G16V)} were to rescue the branching phenotype seen in the \textit{unc-73(rh40)} single-mutant, it would support the idea that excessive filopodia protrusion directly leads to ectopic axon branching.

Finally, it would be useful to observe growth cones with excessively long filopodia, rescue the worms onto plates, then re-image the worms in adulthood to see if in fact whether or not the seemingly stable filopodia have consolidated into...
mature axons with ectopic branches. This would be a final piece of information needed to confirm the hypothesis that the filopodia dynamic defects observed in these mutants directly leads to the axon pathfinding defects.
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Figure 4.1 Mutations in Rac GTPases and in the Rac GEF UNC-73/Trio cause defects in VD/DD axon pathfinding (A) Quantification of VD/DD axon pathfinding defects and ectopic axon branches. (B-D) Representative fluorescent micrographs of VD/DD axons demonstrating axon pathfinding and branching errors. (B) Wild-type; (C) mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+); and (D) unc-44(e362) VD/DD axons. Arrows point to ectopic axon branches.
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Figure 4.2 Mutations in Rac GTPases and in the Rac GEF UNC-73/Trio cause defects in VD growth cone filopodia dynamics. Quantification of filopodia dynamic defects in VD growth cones. (A) Filopodia duration (in minutes); and (B) Maximum filopodia length (in \( \mu m \)). (C-E) Timelapse series of live growth cones, taken at 2 minutes per frame. (C) Wild-type growth cone; and (D) \textit{unc}-73(rh40) growth cone. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild-type and the mutant (\( p < 0.01 \)); \( n \geq 100 \); scale bar represents 5 \( \mu m \).
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Figure 4.3 Expressing constitutively active MIG-2 in VD growth cones causes a reduction in extent of filopodia protrusion, and rescues filopodia dynamic defects observed in mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993+) and unc-73(rh40) mutants. Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia (in minutes); and (B) Maximum length of filopodia (in µm). (C-D) Timelapse series of live growth cones, taken at 2 minutes per frame. (C) mig-2(G16V) growth cones; and (D) unc-73(rh40); mig-2(G16V) growth cones. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild-type and the mutant (p < 0.01), + denotes significant difference between mig-2(G16V) and double-mutant (p < 0.01); n ≥ 100; scale bar represents 5 µm.
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Figure 4.4 Mutations in unc-33 or unc-44 cause growth cone defects similar to those seen in mig-2(mu28); ced-10(n1993M+) and unc-73(rh40).

Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia (in minutes); and (B) maximum length of filopodia (in µm). (C) Timelapse series of live unc-44(e362) growth cones, taken at 2 minutes per frame. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild-type and the mutant (p < 0.01); n ≥ 100; scale bar represents 5 µm. Arrowhead shows excessively long, stable filopodium
Figure 4.5 Mutations in *unc-73, unc-33* and *unc-44* rescue the under-protrusive phenotype observed in *myr::unc-40* VD neurons. Quantification of filopodia dynamics in VD growth cones. (A) Duration of filopodia (in minutes); and (B) maximum length of filopodia (in µm). (C) Timelapse series of live *unc-73(rh40); myr::unc-40* growth cones, taken at 2 minutes per frame. Error bars represent standard error of the mean; asterisk denotes significant difference between wild-type and the mutant (*p* < 0.01), + denotes significant difference between *myr::unc-40* and the double-mutant (*p* < 0.01); *n* ≥ 100; scale bar represents 5 µm. Arrowhead shows unusually long, stable filopodium.
Chapter V

Discussion
The state of the axon pathfinding field is such that the vast majority of experiments are performed either on developed nervous systems at an endpoint-stage analysis, or on growth cones of dissociated neurons growing in vitro. The limitation of the former method is that conclusions about the developmental process are necessarily derived inferentially based on the endpoint phenotype. While such studies are highly useful and have advanced the field enormously, they lack the ability to give specific details about the cellular and molecular mechanisms controlling axon pathfinding during development. The latter method does provide such detail, but its main limitation is that the experiments are performed in artificial, \textit{in vitro} conditions that are significantly different than the environment that a growth encounters \textit{in vivo} in an organism. Thus, while \textit{in vitro} growth cone studies are able to give a wealth of detailed information about the cellular and molecular aspects of axon pathfinding from a developmental standpoint, there is still the possibility that these mechanisms will be significantly different in growth cones challenged with the much more complex task of navigating an organism's extracellular milieu.

We set out to bridge the gap between these two experimental strategies by observing the cellular and molecular dynamics of live growth cones \textit{in vivo} during the developmental process. We used \textit{C. elegans} because of its invariant nervous system cell lineage and, most importantly, the fact that it is transparent and thus allows fluorescent tagging and imaging of neurons in intact, live organisms. A handful of neurons in \textit{C. elegans} develop post-embryonically, and
are thus amenable to imaging in anesthetized worms. We utilized these neurons to learn about the normal development of the growth cone and used genetics to determine the morphological effects of axon pathfinding genes on the developing growth cone.

To date we have found over a dozen axon-pathfinding genes important for growth cone morphology and dynamics, as well as a few genes that do not appear to affect the morphology of the growth cone, through a candidate gene approach. The emerging theme of these studies is that changes in growth cone morphology, dynamics and polarity directly affect axon pathfinding. In Chapter II we proposed a link between proper filopodia formation and proper axon pathfinding. In Chapter III we showed that an attractive cue is correlated with stimulation of protrusion and a repulsive cue is correlated with inhibition of protrusion; we also showed that controlling the polarity of protrusion is linked with proper axon guidance. Finally, in Chapter IV we showed that failure to limit the extent of filopodia protrusion leads to the formation of ectopic axon branches in the adult. In sum, we are beginning to link morphological data about the growth cone to the final axon-pathfinding outcome, thus bridging the gap between in vitro growth cone studies and in vivo endpoint analysis studies.

These chapters detailed three major ways in which growth cone morphology and dynamics were linked with axon pathfinding outcomes. The first interesting link between growth cone dynamics and axon pathfinding was that mutations in genes that cause defects in filopodia formation also cause defects in axon pathfinding, especially in axons that tend to wander aberrantly from their
correct path. We speculate that this is due to the growth cone having a reduced sensitivity to the extracellular environment as a direct consequence of having reduced filopodia numbers.

The second link between the growth cone and axon pathfinding was that the Netrin signaling pathway affected both polarity and extent of growth cone protrusion, and that both extent and polarity of protrusion were involved in proper axon pathfinding. It is easy to imagine how loss of growth cone polarity could lead to axon pathfinding defects - the growth cone loses its ability to orient itself, and thus guide itself, in the proper direction. At the same time, the extent of protrusion of the growth cone must be controlled such that the proper balance is struck between growth cone protrusion toward a positive guidance cue and repulsion away from a guidance cue.

The final link that we have uncovered is between excessively long filopodia and ectopic axon branching. We show that in mutants that exhibit unusually long growth cone filopodia, they also exhibit ectopic axon branches in adults. We suggest, based on our results, that in fact the ectopic axon branches seen in these mutants are a direct consequence of excessively long filopodia that have failed to properly retract, but rather they continue to grow until they eventually consolidate and become mature axon branches. In each of these cases we have observed known axon pathfinding phenotypes and known or hypothesized growth cone phenotypes and shown how the two are related to each other, thus helping to bridge the gap between the understanding of the growth cone and how it affects the endpoint axon pathfinding phenotype.
The genes that we have studied are for the most part well-characterized axon pathfinding genes, but in each case little was known about how these genes were involved in the developing growth cone in vivo. We have thus elucidated the important growth-cone shaping roles of many important genes, such as Netrin and its receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5, the Rac GTPases and their activating GEF UNC-73/Trio, and cytoskeletal regulators such as the Arp2/3 complex, UNC-44/Ankyrin and UNC-34/Enabled. We have shown that each of these important axon guidance molecules has a role to play in the dynamics and morphology of the developing growth cone in vivo.

There is still much work to be done. We have thus far only scratched the surface in terms of the number of genes required for axon pathfinding; it is likely that many new phenotypes will be uncovered as more and more genes are tested. We also would like to know more about the genetic pathways involved in many of these phenotypes. We have two powerful upstream phenotypes— the stimulation of protrusion with loss of the unc-5 guidance receptor, and the inhibition of protrusion with the myristoylation of the cytoplasmic domain of the unc-40 guidance receptor. These phenotypes have already born fruit in epistasis analysis (see Chapter IV) and have the potential to continue to do so in further studies of downstream signaling and effector genes.

Finally, there is still more work to be done to determine the causality between morphological characteristics of the growth cone and final endpoint status of the nervous system. This will require improved methods of growth cone imaging followed by subsequent rescue of the worm and re-imaging of the same
axon in the adult stage. While this has to some extent been accomplished, there are still some technical difficulties to overcome before this can become a standard procedure.
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