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Abstract

A new species of the termite bug genus Termitaradus Myers (Aradoidea: Termitaphididae) is described and figured based on a single female preserved in Early Miocene (Burdigalian) amber from the Dominican Republic. Termitaradus mitnicki sp. n. differs from the only other termitaphidid in Dominican amber, T. avitinquilinus Grimaldi and Engel, in the integumental ornamentation, number of laminae and lobules, body proportions, and setation. This is the third species of fossil Termitaphididae discovered. A revised key to living and fossil termitaphidids is provided.
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Introduction

The nests of highly eusocial insects are seething with a diversity of associated arthropods, all evolved to take advantage of the rich resources provided by these ubiquitous and ecologically impressive species. Among the more unusual of inquilinous arthropods to evolve in conjunction with their eusocial hosts are those species of
the aradoid family Termitaphididae (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Pentatomomorpha). Termitaphidids, as their name suggests, are obligate inquilines in the nests of termites, particularly those of the families Termitidae and Rhinotermitidae. Termitaphidids are typically small (2–4 mm in length, although some fossils can be as large as 5.5–7 mm), ovoid, and generally dorsoventrally compressed, with flattened lateral laminae extending from each segment. The family currently comprises two genera, *Termitaphis* Wasmann, a monotypic genus known from Colombia, and *Termitaradus* Myers, with eight living species in South and Central America, tropical Africa, Asia, and Australia. Although classified as a separate family, it is possible that termitaphidids are highly derived mezirine Aradidae, some of which have structures similar to Termitaphididae and several of which are termitophiles (Grimaldi and Engel, 2008). The most detailed accounts of termitaphidid biology are those of Myers (1924, 1932).

Two species of termitaphidids, both of the genus *Termitaradus* and known only from females, have been discovered in New World Tertiary ambers. *Termitaradus avitiniquilinus* Grimaldi and Engel was described from Early Miocene Dominican amber and is generally similar to modern species in its overall proportions, but differs most notably in the number of laminar lobules as well as the structure of the flabella (Grimaldi and Engel 2008). *Termitaradus protera* Poinar and Doyen shares some similarities in lobule number with *T. avitiniquilinus* by comparison to modern species, but is particularly noteworthy for its “gigantic” size (Poinar and Doyen 1992), which at 7.1 mm is nearly twice the length of previously described species. Hosts for these fossil species are unknown, although *T. avitiniquilinus* is likely associated with *Mastotermes electrodominicana* Krishna and Grimaldi (Mastotermitidae) as two specimens were found in association with a worker of that species (Grimaldi and Engel 2008). This is noteworthy in that as far as has been documented modern termitaphidids are only associated with Neoisoptera (*sensu* Engel et al., 2009) of the families Rhinotermitidae (hosts for species of the genus *Termitaradus*) and Termitidae (hosts for the sole species of *Termitaphis*) (Wasmann 1902; Silvestri 1911, 1921; Mjöberg 1914; Morrison 1923; Myers 1924, 1932; Usinger 1942). Given how little termitaphidids have been studied there are likely a number of new species to be discovered and many elements of their biology remaining to be elucidated, perhaps including yet unsuspected host associations. Since the scant available information indicates an association between termitaphidids and Rhinotermitidae and Termitidae, and that these two termite families are so diverse in Dominican amber (Krishna and Grimaldi 2009), it is perhaps not surprising that a second species of termitaphidid has been discovered in this deposit (Fig. 1). Herein I provide a description of this new species as well as modified keys to the identification of living and fossil Termitaphididae.

Morphological terminology and format for the description generally follows that of Grimaldi and Engel (2008). Measurements were made using an ocular micrometer on an Olympus SZX-12 stereomicroscope.
Systematic Paleontology

Family Termitaphididae Myers, 1924
Genus *Termitaradus* Myers, 1924

*Termitaradus mitnicki* Engel, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:468CF2DC-81E4-40B3-911D-F52F3170D6CE
Figs 1–2

**Holotype.** ♀, KU-DR-023. Deposited in the Fossil Insect Collection, Division of Entomology, University of Kansas Natural History Museum, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.

![Figure 1. *Termitaradus mitnicki* sp. n. (KU DR-023), photomicrograph of female holotype, dorsal aspect (length of specimen 5.8 mm).](image-url)
Figure 2. *Termitaradus mitnicki* sp. n. (KU DR-023), photomicrograph of female holotype, ventral aspect showing genitalia (length of specimen 5.8 mm).
Diagnosis. The new species is immediately distinctive for the network of dorsal, segmentally-arranged carinae (Fig. 1) and the absence of the small globular nodule-like setae of other species. The species is larger than most other termitaphidids (2–4 mm), approximating in size the anomalously large *T. protera* in Mexican amber (5.8 mm vs. 7 mm). Like the other two fossil species, *T. mitnicki* shares a greater number of lobules (4) on the terminal abdominal segment, whereas modern species have only 2–3. The number of lobules on most abdominal segments is greater than in any other species, living or fossil, of termitaphidid.

Description. Female (adult): Total length 5.8 mm, maximal width 4.0 mm (length/width ratio 1.45). Integument generally reddish brown, although paler on sterna and on dorsal carinae (Fig. 1), lobules largely reddish brown although slightly lighter than body of laminae, apices of lobules more weakly sclerotized, paler than remainder; marginal setae typically pale yellow brown although some cleared, faint (the latter owing to preservation); ventrally faintly imbricate except longitudinally wrinkled on sterna (Fig. 2), with mediolateral areas glabrous, without punctures; dorsally imbricate without punctures, with distinct and complex network of thick carinae arranged segmentally (Fig. 1), such carinae not extending onto laminae (Fig. 1), without setae. Antenna four-segmented, geniculate; first article elongate, length slightly longer than combined lengths of remaining articles combined; remaining articles short, cylindrical, apicalmost apparently slightly swollen (challenging to see in specimen). Labium three-segmented, basal almost segment much shorter than others; second longest, third approximately three-quarters length of second.

Legs with stout femora, greatest widths of femora 2.5–4× times width of tibiae; femora with widely scattered short setae on outer and inner surfaces; mesofemur ventrally with longitudinal row of 3–4 stiff, erect setae at about three-quarters length; metafemur with 4–5 long, fine, erect setae at about four-fifths length; tibiae with scattered short setae along lengths, particularly on outer surfaces, apically with patches of moderately dense, stiff setae; tarsi dimerous, basal tarsomere one-fourth to one-fifth length of apical tarsomere; pretarsal ungues (= claws) large, simple, without teeth; pulvilli present, slender, straplike, lengths nearly as long as that of pretarsal ungues.

Marginal setae of laminae generally subtriangular to lanceolate in form, apparently with serrate margins, none clavate. Thirteen marginal laminae present [two for head, first distinctly larger than, almost twice as large as, second and with narrow separation; three for thorax (one lobe per thoracic segment); and one each for the eight abdominal segments, seventh and eighth the smallest, seventh slightly less than one-half that of first, eighth about one-fifth that of first (Fig. 2)]. Laminae with lobules arranged as follows: 15 (head I), 5–6 (head II), 18 (prothorax), 16 (mesothorax), 12 (metathorax), 14 (abdominal I), 15–16 (abdominal II), 15–16 (abdominal III), 17–18 (abdominal IV), 15–16 (abdominal V), 14–15 (abdominal VI), 8 (abdominal VII), and 4 (abdominal VIII).

Male: Unknown.

Etymology. The specific epithet is a patronym honoring Mr. Tyler Mitnick, nephew of Keith Luzzi who generously located and donated this fine specimen for study.
Key to adult females of Termitaphididae

The following key is updated and modified from the one provided by Usinger (1942).

1. Body egg-shaped, surrounded by a strongly incurved and upcurved, dorso-lateral, segmentally divided lamina, the edges of which are further divided into distinct, often quite distantly separated lobules, each with a long, fine almost smooth flagellum [Colombia; host: Amitermes foreli Wasmann (Termitidae: Termitinae)] .................................. *Termitaphis circumvallata* Wasmann

   – Entire body strongly flattened above and below and surrounded by a flat, lateral, segmentally divided lamina, the margin of which is crenulate, forming short, non-separated lobules, each provided with a short, circular, clavate, or lanceolate flabellum with serrate edges [Tropicopolitan; Genus *Termitaradus* Myers; hosts, where known: Rhinotermitidae] ........................................... 2

2. Dorsal integument imbricate and granular, with scattered globular nodule-like setae; without raised pattern of carinae ................................................ 3

   – Dorsal integument imbricate with network of raised, thick carinae forming distinctive network, such carinae not extending onto laminae (Fig. 1) [Dominican amber; host: unknown] ........................................... *T. mitnicki* sp. n.

3. Flabella short and rounded, at most scarcely more than twice as long as broad ........................................................................................................ 4

   – Flabella elongate, much more than twice as long as broad ................... 8

4. Eighth abdominal lobes each with two or three lobules; anterior abdominal segments with 7–10 lobules on each side; size small (2–3.5 mm in length) ........ 5

   – Eighth abdominal lobes each with four lobules; anterior abdominal segments with at least 12 lobules on each side; size large, over 7 mm in total length [Mexican amber; host: unknown] ....................... *T. protera* Poinar & Doyen

5. Eighth abdominal lobes each with two lobules; anterior abdominal segments normally with seven or more lobules on each side ................................. 6

   – Eighth abdominal lobes each with three lobules on each side ............. 7

6. Flabella rounded; anterior abdominal segments with not more than seven lobules on each side [México; host: *Heterotermes tenuis* (Hagen) (Heterotermitinae)] .......................................................... *T. mexicana* (Silvestri)

   – Flabella short, clavate; anterior abdominal segments with eight or more lobules on each side [India; host: *Coptotermes heimi* (Wasmann) (Coptotermitinae)] ....................................................... *T. annandalei* (Silvestri)

7. Flabella of second cephalic lobe half the size of other head and body flabella, but projecting conspicuously, ovate in form [Guyana; hosts: *Heterotermes crinitus* (Emerson) & *H. tenuis* (Hagen) (Heterotermitinae)] ..............................

   – Flabella of second cephalic lobe much smaller, minute, scarcely surpassing margins, perfectly circular in form [Jamaica; host: *Heterotermes convexinotatus* (Snyder) (Heterotermitinae)] ........................................... *T. jamaicensis* Myers

8. Eighth abdominal lobes each with two or three lobules .......................... 9
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– Eighth abdominal lobes each with four lobules [Dominican amber; putative host: *Mastotermes electrodominicana* Krishna & Grimaldi (Mastotermitidae)]............................................. *T. avitinquilinus* Grimaldi & Engel

9. Eighth abdominal lobes each with two lobules........................................ 10

– Eighth abdominal lobes each with three lobules........................................ 11

10. Flabella long, narrow, clavate, with straight sides and squarely truncate tips, not echinate; anterior abdominal lobes each with eight or more lobules [Australia; host: *Coptotermes acinaciformis* (Froggatt) (Coptotermitinae)] .............................

– Flabella subcylindrical, rounded at apices or at most very obtusely pointed, echinate; anterior abdominal lobes each with seven lobules [Africa; host: *Schedorhinotermes putorius* (Sjöstedt) (Heterotermitidae)] .................................

11. Flabella lanceolate, very acute at apices [Panamá; hosts: *Heterotermes tenuis* (Hagen) & *H. convexinotatus* (Snyder) (Heterotermitinae)] ...........................

– Flabella moderately clavate, rounded at apices [Trinidad & Tobago; host: *Heterotermes tenuis* (Hagen) (Heterotermitinae)]...... *T. trinidadensis* (Morrison)
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