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Abstract

Development and Application of Quinone Cofactor Mimic Catalysis

Benjamin John Haugeberg

Organocatalysis is a synthetic field of ever increasing development and utility. Of the reactions and small molecule catalysts developed over the last 20 years, a considerable portion have been inspired by cofactors that are important to biological systems. Research in the Clift group focuses on developing modes for catalysis through applications of quinone cofactor mimics.

Our studies were motivated by developing a new synthesis of β-amino acids through quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation. In this process, we developed a protocol for quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation of α-aryl amino acids in which homologation products were isolated following Mukaiyama–Mannich addition. In all, isolated 20 homologated products (15-95% yields) in first demonstration of quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation of α-aryl amino acids.

Following the development of quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation, we sought to explore the potential of quinone catalysts in related oxidative C–C bond cleavage reactions. Initial studies on the development of a protocol for the deformylation of amino alcohols is shown as well.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP</td>
<td>pyridoxal 5’-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHC</td>
<td>N-heterocyclic carbene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>thiamine pyrophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ee</td>
<td>enantiomeric excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>tyrosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tBuOH</td>
<td>tert-butanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>adenosine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPQ</td>
<td>topaquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSAO</td>
<td>bovine serum amine oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiTMP</td>
<td>lithium tetramethylpiperidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nBuLi</td>
<td>n-butyllithium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcCl</td>
<td>acetyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrOH</td>
<td>isopropanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat.</td>
<td>catalyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>triethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA</td>
<td>silyl ketene acetal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>ceric ammonium nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>rate determining step</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1

An Introduction to Cofactor-Inspired Organocatalysis
Chapter 1

1.1: The Development of Organocatalysis

Organocatalysis is the use of small molecules to catalyze organic transformations. The merger of organocatalysis into a single field is relatively new. Over that last century, sporadic examples of reactions utilizing small organic molecules as catalysts have been developed, but have been considered isolated occurrences. Beginning in the late 1990s, the number of publications describing emerging organocatalytic processes began increasing significantly. In a review, MacMillan noted that between 1998 and 2008, over 1500 publications describing organocatalysis in more than 130 discrete reaction types had been described. Today, organocatalysis is now considered a standard means for reaction development.

Figure 1.1: Number of Publications of Organocatatytic Reactions

In the same review, MacMillan credits the rapid growth of organocatalysis to, “first, the conceptualization of the field; second, the advantages of organocatalytic research; and, third the advent of generic modes of catalyst activation, induction and reactivity.” If conceptualization
organocatalysis as a serious branch of synthetic chemistry drove initial reaction development, the advantages of organocatalysis motivated researchers to develop generic modes of activation, induction, and reactivity. Organocatalysis has many advantages: the relative cost to make and use them is low when compared to other modes of catalysis, a large pool of chiral molecules exists to enable synthesis of new catalysts, and the catalysts are generally non-toxic and insensitive to exposure to moisture and air and they are relatively simple to use. These qualities have made organocatalysis an expanding field that continues to grow.  

The origin of organocatalysis is often traced back to the Hajos–Parrish reaction. (Scheme 1.1). This is one of the first accounts of a small molecule catalyzed an asymmetric transformation. The chemistry was developed for the synthesis of new intermediates and natural products, such as steroids, in asymmetric fashion. In their report, Hajos and Parrish use 3 mol % of a L-proline catalyst (I-2) to promote an intramolecular enantioselective aldol cyclization of triketone I-1 to form optically enriched bicyclic diketone I-4 in 71% yield. This is the first example of an asymmetric reaction carried out using a chiral organic molecule.

**Scheme 1.1: Hajos–Parrish Reaction**
The Hajos–Parrish reaction later inspired many new enamine catalysts and subsequent reactions many years after the initial discovery. Some examples of these developments include List’s proline catalyzed aldols, Jørgensen’s prolinol catalyst, MacMillan’s iminium Diels–Alder, and many more. While the conceptualization of the Hajos–Parrish reaction was undoubtedly influenced by previous reactions, an equally important and influential discovery was made in the 1960s describing a biological variant of asymmetric enamine catalysis. In nature, aldolase enzymes catalyze intermolecular aldol reactions (Scheme 1.2). This enzymatic reaction differs fundamentally from proline-based enamine catalysis in that a primary amine in a lysine residue is used. This process did not directly influence the work of Hajos and Parrish, but there is a clear parallel between the Hajos–Parrish reaction and enzymatic aldol reactions.

**Scheme 1.2: Lysine Catalyzed Aldol by Fructose Bisphosphate Aldolase**

In studying enzymes and other biological processes over the past century, a number of small, non-protein molecules have been identified that are vital in assisting efficient and selective
chemical transformations in enzymatic systems. These molecules are commonly referred to as cofactors. Under the circumstances described by MacMillan, researchers realized that cofactors found in nature could be used as a platform to develop many important synthetic transformations. Since then, the field or organocatalysis has seen a surge in the development of many biologically-inspired organocatalysts and many new reactions have emerged as a result. Some of the most influential cofactors include: thiamine, pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP), and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH).

1.2: Thiamine: Nature’s N-Heterocyclic Carbene Catalyst

Thiamine, commonly known as vitamin B₁, is a natural thiazolium salt involved as an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) in many enzymatic processes. This coenzyme was first isolated by Dutch chemists Petrus and Donath in the 1920s and the structure was determined by Williams in 1936 ([I-11](#)) without knowledge of its function. Later it was discovered this thiazolium salt exists more commonly as thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP, [I-12](#)) in biological environments (Figure 1.2).

Figure 1.2: Thiamine and Thiamine Diphosphate (TPP)

![Thiamine and Thiamine Diphosphate (TPP)](image)

In the 1950s, Mizuhara and co-workers determined the catalytic role of thiamine in enzymatic active sites as a nucleophilic carbene (Scheme 1.3). Their studies showed high
concentrations of thiamine hydrochloride (I-11) in alkaline solution catalyzed a benzoin condensation and subsequent formation of acetoin (I-14) from acetaldehyde (I-13). From their seminal discoveries, thiamine and TPP have been shown to carry out a number of carbene catalyzed reactions in biological systems.

**Scheme 1.3: Thiamine-Catalyzed Benzoin Condensation**

By the time the function of thiamine had been discovered in the 1950s, carbene chemistry had been relatively well established. The discovery of the biological role of thiamine prompted the development of NHC chemistry. The development of the field was accelerated when Breslow proposed a mechanism for the NHC-catalyzed benzoin condensation. In the proposed mechanism, he describes generation of a carbene through a deprotonation of I-15, to give thiazol I-16, which can be drawn as carbene I-18 (Scheme 1.4). NHC I-18 then adds to aldehyde I-17 to form I-19, which goes on to form the so-called Breslow intermediate (I-20). This species acts as a nucleophile and will add to another molecule of benzaldehyde to give intermediate I-21. The NHC is then eliminated to give the benzoin product I-22, thereby completing the catalytic cycle. It should be noted that this mechanism is accepted, but has been disputed.
Since the discovery of thiamine and the studies carried out by Breslow, NHC catalysis has been used to develop many stable carbene reagents and many organocatalytic reactions. One particular process that was developed based on thiamine derivatives is the Stetter reaction.\textsuperscript{18} Enders and co-workers developed a chiral thiazolium salt \textbf{I-24} in investigations for the development of asymmetric variants of the Stetter reaction (Scheme 1.5).\textsuperscript{19} The intramolecular reaction shows the conversion of \textbf{I-23} to bicyclic \textbf{I-25} in modest yields and ee, but provides an initial example for NHC-catalyzed asymmetric transformations.
From these early examples, many selective and high yielding NHC-catalyzed processes have been developed.

1.3 Pyridoxal 5'-Phosphate (Vitamin B_6): A Versatile Cofactor

Another important cofactor found in nature is pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP, Figure 1.3). It was first discovered by Grygory in 1934 and termed vitamin B_6.\textsuperscript{20} Since the discovery, pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (I-26) has been studied extensively owing to its versatile catalytic utility. In nature, PLP cofactors are responsible for promoting transamination, deamination, decarboxylation, elimination, and racemization reactions of amino acids.\textsuperscript{21} Given its biological prevalence and fundamental importance, mechanisms of PLP-catalyzed reactions are now routinely covered in biology textbooks.\textsuperscript{9,22-24}

Figure 1.3: Pyridoxal 5'-Phosphate (Vitamin B_6)
Aminotransferases utilize PLP in transamination processes. Scheme 1.6 shows the first half-reaction where a lysine residue initiates proton abstraction from the glutamate condensed to PLP (I-26), yielding the quinonoid intermediate I-28. Reprotonation of the cofactor by that lysine lends intermediate I-29, which is subsequently hydrolyzed to release α-ketoglutarate (not shown). In a comprehensive catalytic cycle, a subsequent reaction with a different α-ketoacid would generate the corresponding amino acid and return the PLP cofactor.

Scheme 1.6: PLP-Promoted Transamination of Aminotransferases

PLP is also responsible for amino acid racemization in biological systems. Bacterial alanine racemase promotes the stereoconversion of L-alanine using a PLP cofactor. (Scheme 1.7). In this process, alanine condenses to a PLP cofactor to lend imine I-30, which then undergoes a tyrosine promoted deprotonation to give intermediate I-31. A nearby enzyme bound lysine moiety promotes selective protonation to I-32, which upon hydrolysis yields D-alanine.
Given PLP’s importance and versatility in biological systems, interest in PLP mimics and their reaction capabilities has been pursued. In an early example, Maley and Bruice showed that a PLP mimic 1-methyl-4-formyl-pyridinium iodide (I-37) promoted the conversion of L-alanine (I-33) to keto-acid I-34 through Schiff base intermediates I-35 and I-36 (Scheme 1.8).
These experiments focused on understanding the role of PLP in transamination. In their studies, they showed a number of PLP mimics could act as competent reaction partners to perform the biomimetic synthesis of keto-acid \( \text{I-34} \) in a half-transamination.

Kudra and co-workers have studied the ability of PLP mimics to catalyze racemization of amino acids. Their experiments started with an enantioenriched isomer of phenylglycine (Scheme 1.9). After submitting \( \text{I-38} \) to phosphate buffer conditions in the presence of an achiral PLP mimic \( \text{I-39} \), they were able to isolate a completely racemic product \( \text{I-40} \) in good yields.

**Scheme 1.9: PLP Mimic Catalyzed Racemization of Phenylglycine**

Building from Kudra’s example PLP-mediated racemization, recently Aron and co-workers have demonstrated the ability to control racemization to give exclusively one enantiomer from a racemic mixture of phenylalanine (Scheme 1.10).

**Scheme 1.10: PLP Mimic-Catalyzed Dynamic Kinetic Resolution**
In their dynamic kinetic resolution, they identified zinc complexes of I-42 that, when introduced to an esterase called alcalase, provided a single enantiomer of amino acid product I-44.27 This is one of many examples of how utilizing PLP mimic catalysis can deliver good yielding products with high enantioselectivities.28

1.4 NADH as Nature’s Hydride Source

Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) is a cofactor found in biological systems that has inspired the development of small molecules as a natural hydride source. NADH was first discovered by Harden and Young in 1906 when studying alcohol fermentation.29 In 1936, Warburn identified NADH as the hydride transfer source in biological redox reactions.30 In their work, they described the mechanism for hydride transfer as a reversible reaction where NAD+ I-45 oxidizes alcohol I-47 in the forward direction and NADH I-46 reduces ketone I-47 in the reverse (Scheme 1.11).

Scheme 1.11: Mechanism for NAHD Hydride Transfer
Kaplan and others have shown that NADH is responsible for the catalytic activity of a number of dehydrogenase and reductase enzymes (Scheme 1.12).\textsuperscript{31, 32} Although NADH acts as a stoichiometric reagent in biological reductions, NAD\textsuperscript{+} is in turn an oxidant that can easily be reduced.

**Scheme 1.12: Enzymatic Dihydrofolate Reductase by NAD\textsuperscript{+} and NADH**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} - \text{N} \quad \text{NADH} & \quad \text{R} - \text{NH} \\
\text{I-49} & \quad \text{NAD\textsuperscript{+}} & \quad \text{I-50}
\end{align*}
\]

In the 1950s, researchers began studying the possibility of using other nicotinamide derivatives as a reducing agent. It was shown that NADH can be replaced by other pyridine bases without the loss of the coenzyme function in various biological systems.\textsuperscript{33-35} Kaplan and co-workers demonstrated nicotinamide variants could provide reactivities rivaling that of NAD\textsuperscript{+}, some of which are shown in Figure 1.4.

**Figure 1.4: Early Examples of NAD\textsuperscript{+} Variants**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I-51} & & \text{I-52} & & \text{I-53} & & \text{I-54}
\end{align*}
\]

Given its potential as an organic reducing reagent, NADH mimics have been an important target for synthetic development. Without knowing at the time, Hantzsch synthesized a 1,4-
dihydropyridine dicarboxylate (I-55) in 1882 that would eventually serve as such a molecule (Figure 1.5). Experiments on what is known as the Hantzsch ester continued, but it wasn’t until after the discovery of the function of NADH in the 1930s that the Hantzsch ester was used as an organic reducing agent.37-39

**Figure 1.5: Hantzsch Ester**

A recent example of such was reported by the List group in development of an asymmetric transfer hydrogenation catalyzed by a chiral Brønsted acid (Scheme 1.13). In this work, List showed a highly enantioselective imine reduction were I-55 served as the hydride source. Before the development of NADH mimics, powerful asymmetric reductions where commonly catalyzed by metals using stoichiometric quantities of metal hydride reagents.40

**Scheme 1.13: Reduction of Imine via Enantioselective Transfer Hydrogenation Using Hantzsch Ester**
1.5 Summary for Chapter 1

The development of cofactor mimics inspired by biological systems has had a dramatic influence on the field of organocatalysis. The idea of using small molecules that mimic cofactors in biological systems is of interest to the Clift group. Specifically, we are interested in developing new cofactor-inspired reactions using quinone catalysts.
Chapter 2

Development of Quinone-Catalyzed Oxidative C–C Bond Cleavage and its Application to Amino Acid Homologation

Portions of this chapter will appear in the following publication:


Chapter 2 Quinone Cofactor Mimics

In Chapter 1, we explored the use of cofactor mimics as organocatalysts – a concept that has led to the discovery of many new reactions. This chapter will focus on the development of a new method for amino acid homologation that is catalyzed by a topaquinone cofactor mimic.

2.1 Introduction to Quinone Cofactors and Their Applications in Organocatalytic Reactions

Owing to their ability to oxidize amines in biological systems, quinones have become a reagent of interest in organic synthesis. In the 1990s, Mure and Klinman studied the active site of amine oxidases in detail where they reported copper-containing amine oxidases catalyze the oxidative deamination of primary amines (II-1) to their corresponding aldehydes (II-2). In their work, they identified a topa-quinone cofactor (TPQ, II-3) responsible for promoting the amine oxidation (Scheme 2.1) from experiments using varying classes of bovine serum amine oxidase (BSAO).

Scheme 2.1: Discovery of TPQ as Cofactor in Active Site of BSAO

Having identified the cofactor responsible for amine oxidation, Mure and Klinman proposed a general mechanism for quinone-containing monoamine oxidases. (Scheme 2.2). First, an amino acid substrate would condense to an enzyme-bound topa-quinone to deliver...
iminoquinone intermediate **II-7**. A subsequent deprotonation event occurs at the hydrogen on the α-carbon to deliver N-aryl imine **II-8**. Then, hydrolysis of imine **II-8** delivers keto-acid **II-6** and a reduced form of the quinone (**II-5**). A copper-promoted oxidation returns the active quinone species **II-3** to complete the catalytic cycle.

**Scheme 2.2: Mechanism of Topa-quinone Oxidation of Amino Acid**

Following elucidation of the active cofactor species, they conducted a series of experiments examining the ability of TPQ mimics to oxidize amines. During these studies, they developed conditions for the aerobic oxidation of benzylamine (**II-9**) into homo-dimeric imine products (Scheme 2.3, **II-11**) using a series of 2-hydroxy-5-alkyl-1,4-benzoquinones (**II-12**) as cofactor mimics.44, 45
The Stahl group has parlayed Mure and Klinman’s discovery of aerobic oxidation of primary benzylic amines (II-14) by using a quinone (II-16) as a catalyst (Scheme 2.4).\textsuperscript{46} They were able to develop conditions for quinone-catalyzed aerobic cross-coupling of primary benzylic amines to afford secondary imines (II-15) using mild reaction conditions. This contribution demonstrates transimidation could be promoted selectively by primary amine derivatives (such as aniline based compounds, II-13) that are incapable of undergoing quinone-promoted oxidation.

The development of this quinone-catalyzed process by Stahl inspired the Clift group to pursue the use of quinone organocatalysts in amine functionalization reactions.
Initial research in the Clift group focused on exploring the formal quinone-catalyzed $\alpha$-C–H bond functionalization of primary amines. Methods for $\alpha$-functionalization of $\alpha$-C–H bonds in secondary and tertiary amines are well known;\textsuperscript{47-49} however $\alpha$-functionalization of primary amines is far less developed. By combining quinone-catalyzed amine oxidation with established imine addition protocols,\textsuperscript{50} the Clift group sought to develop a method for primary amine functionalization. Ultimately the successful development of a new method for formal C–H functionalization using a sequential, one pot quinone-catalyzed amine oxidation/nucleophilic addition process was achieved along with the discovery of a commercially available quinone catalyst for aerobic amine oxidation (Scheme 2.5). These studies set the stage for the discovery of related quinone-catalyzed reactions.

**Scheme 2.5: Formal Quinone-Catalyzed Amine Functionalization**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{II-14} & \quad + \quad \text{PMP} \quad \text{NH}_2 \\
\text{II-17} & \quad \xrightarrow{1. \ \text{II-19 (5 mol%), } \text{O}_2} \quad \text{PMP} \quad \text{HN} \quad \text{Nuc} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad 28 \text{ substrates} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad 70-90\% \text{ yield} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \xrightarrow{2. \ \text{Nuc-M}} \quad \text{quinoxone} \quad \text{II-19}
\end{align*}
\]

**2.2 $\beta$-Amino Acid Synthesis via Oxidative-Decarboxylation/Mukaiyama–Mannich Addition**

After concluding this work, we began to envision other potential applications for quinone organocatalysis. One potential application is $\alpha$-amino acid homologation to enable $\beta$-amino acid synthesis. $\beta$-amino acid derivatives have become valuable synthetic targets owing to their ability to resist protease degradation and stabilize protein structure, and their use as designer $\beta$-peptides (showing potential as anti-bacterial\textsuperscript{51} and anti-HIV agents\textsuperscript{52}) and an extensive range of FDA-
approved pharmaceutical agents II-20–II-22 (Figure 2.1). We sought to develop a new method to access these important compounds via quinone-promoted α-amino acid homologation.53-57

**Figure 2.1: Selected β-Amino Acid-Derived Pharmaceuticals**

2.2.1 *Classical Methods for β-Amino Acid Synthesis*

Over the years, a number of methods have been developed to carry out α-amino acid homologation. The Arndt–Eistert homologation is a commonly employed method designed to convert carboxylic acids to a higher carbonyl homologue (Scheme 2.6).58,59

**Scheme 2.6: Arndt-Eistert Homologation**

In a multi-step procedure, the described Arndt–Eistert homologation for α-amino acids (II-23) begins with protecting the amine, followed by converting the carboxylic acid to an acid chloride. Upon treatment with diazomethane, followed by treatment with a nucleophile and silver
catalyst, the diazoketone (II-24) will undergo a Wolff-type rearrangement to give the homologated product (II-25). Although it has been widely employed for α-amino acid homologations, this method requires the use of a very dangerous reagent (diazomethane) and an expensive metal catalyst.

The Kowalski ester homologation was specifically designed to avoid the use of diazomethane to provide a safer means for α-amino acid homologation (Scheme 2.7). Kowalski showed esters treated with pre-formed dibromomethyllithium, followed by a strong base at low temperature, could afford intermediate α,α-dibromoenolate (II-27). Subsequent addition of n-butyllithium results in lithium-halogen exchange and rearrangement provides on ynlolate intermediate (not shown), which upon quenching with the appropriate alcohol, results in production of the homologation product II-28.60

**Scheme 2.7: Kowalski Ester Homologation**

While these reactions, and their contemporary counterparts61-64 have been broadly employed, they typically require multi-step synthetic operations, utilize costly or dangerous reagents, and proceed through highly reactive intermediates. Therefore, developing a new protocol for amino acid homologation that proceeds in fewer steps under mild conditions is desirable. We envisioned a process to proceed by quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation.65, 66
Specifically, we envisioned that a quinone-catalyst could enable α-amino acid decarboxylation to provide an imine, which could be trapped in-situ via Mukaiyama–Mannich addition to provide the homologated product (Figure 2.2).

**Figure 2.2: Proposed Mechanism for Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Acid Functionalization**

We expected that first an amino acid (II-23) would condense with the quinone catalyst II-19. At this point, instead of deprotonation at the α-position of the amino acid, a base would deprotonate the more acidic carboxylic acid, in turn promoting a decarboxylation of II-29 to provide the N-aryl imine II-30. This species would readily undergo transimidation with a stable N-protected amine to afford an N-protected imine product II-32. The active catalyst would then be regenerated through auto-oxidation and β-amino esters could be accessed by subjecting imine
II-32 to a Mukaiyama–Mannich addition using silyl ketene acetal II-33. Subsequent deprotection would provide the homologation product II-34. Our studies began with an examination of the oxidative-decarboxylation, as this step was unprecedented.

2.3 Development of Oxidative-Decarboxylation: Substrate Scope and Reaction Conditions

We began by examining the potential of phenylglycine to undergo oxidative-decarboxylation in the presence of quinone catalyst II-19 (Table 2.1). Phenylglycine was chosen as a test substrate for reaction optimization because the expected imine product would be incapable of tautomerization. In initial experiments, the organic solvents tested provided only heterogeneous reaction mixtures, resulting in no observed product formation (entries 1-3, 0%). Using a DMSO solvent also produced a heterogeneous reaction mixture, but provided the first observation of imine formation (entry 4, 39%). Prior to this point, we anticipated that one of the free amines in the reaction mixture would act as a base to promote decarboxylation. In entry 5, triethylamine was added as a base, which provided a slightly better yield (entry 5, 42%). Increasing the reaction temperature in DMSO solvent showed improvement but insufficient conversion (entry 6, 68%), and using only water as the solvent provided low solubility of other organic reagents (entry 7, 63%).

At this point, biphasic conditions were explored using Acetonitrile:water at 80°C (entry 8, 74%), along with a variety of catalyst loadings and bases (entries 9-13, 75-90%). This led to the discovery of optimal biphasic conditions (entry 14, 91%). At this point, we recognized the presence of water in the reaction mixture may interfere with subsequent Mukaiyama–Mannich additions. Fortunately, using ethanol as a solvent provided a good yield under similar reaction
conditions (entry 15, 70%), and increasing the reaction temperature provided an excellent yield of 92% (entry 16). Entries 16 and 17 suggest solvent polarity is an important factor for promoting quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation as an ethanol solvent provides good yields while isopropanol does not (entry 17, 10%).

Table 2.1: Reaction Discovery and Optimization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Catalyst Loading</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yielda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH₃CN</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Na₂PO₄</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>K₂PO₄</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1)</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>iPrOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by ¹H NMR using methyl benzoate as an internal standard

These results prompted a study on the scope of oxidative-decarboxylation using a range of amino acids. With knowledge of the results from the study, we would then begin developing a protocol for β-amino acids synthesis.
2.3.1 Scope of Oxidative-Decarboxylation in Acetonitrile:Water

With both sets of optimized conditions in hand (entries 14 and 16, Table 2.1), the oxidative-decarboxylation was studied on a variety of amino acids that were either purchased from commercial sources or synthesized by a Petasis reaction. Determining which amino acids the quinone catalyst II-19 could oxidize was expected to provide information regarding the application of this method to β-amino acid synthesis. The results are summarized in Table 2.2. The imine product provided from oxidative-decarboxylation of phenylglycine was isolated in 85% yield (entry 1). When analyzing para-substituted aryl glycines, election withdrawing groups provided generally higher yields than did electron donating groups with yields ranging from 85-87% (entries 9-12). The aryl substituents with electron donating substituents at the para position gave notably lower yields (entry 7, 76%, entry 8, 78%). It should be noted that oxidation of aryl glycine to lend imine II-46 also required increased catalyst loading presumably owing to the strong electron donating ability of the methoxy group. II-42 was isolated in the highest yield at 95%. Substitution at the ortho-position of the aryl rings showed no steric bias while at the same time mirrored the para-substitution in terms of electronic effects (entry 3, 86% and entry 2, 75%). A vinylogous aryl substituent was also tolerated, providing II-51 in 69% yield, indicating α-aryl substituents are not required (entry 13). Hetro-aromatic substituents are also suitable reaction partners (entry 5, 80% and entry 6, 58%), following similar trends of other electron rich substituents.
One limitation of this protocol is that it fails to oxidize any natural amino acids (entries 14-18, 0% yields). From these results, we presume sp$^2$ hybridization at the carbon β to the amine is required in decarboxylation promoted by quinone II-19. We speculate that substrates with β-sp$^2$...
carbons more readily accept electron density from the C–C bond cleavage event of the decarboxylation. This proposal is supported when analyzing trends in substituted aryl glycines where electron rich substituents lead to reduced yields whereas electron poor substituents lead to higher yields. Another possible explanation for not observing oxidative decarboxylation products of natural amino acids is potential decomposition of the imine products. The products in entries 14-18 could tautomerize under reaction conditions to their corresponding enamines and form Mannich products. It should be noted such products have not been observed. Further discussion on the mechanism for oxidative-decarboxylation can be found in later sections (Section 2.5).

2.3.2 Scope of Oxidative-Decarboxylation in EtOH

Although there is evidence to the contrary, we recognized the presence of water in the reaction mixture may interfere with subsequent Mukaiyama–Mannich additions. To better prepare for this potential limitation, a similar substrate scope study was conducted using the optimized conditions wherein ethanol was employed as the solvent (Table 2.3).

In these experiments, products of electron donating substituents (II-40, 97% and II-45, 98%) gave yields that rivaled their electron withdrawing counterparts (entry 3, 97%, entry 8, 98%, entry 9, 98%, entry 10, 99%) and an electron rich heteroaromatic amino acid substrate gave a high yield (II-43, 92%). II-46 was provided in 88% yield, also higher than under the previous conditions with half the catalyst loading, albeit requiring longer reaction time. Unfortunately, natural amino acids remain resistant to oxidation under these conditions as well (entries 11-15).
Table 2.3: NMR Yields for Oxidative-Decarboxylation with EtOH Solvent

![Chemical Structure]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>II-39, R = H</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>II-40, R = Me</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>II-41, R = Cl</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>II-42, R = F</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>II-43</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>II-45, R = Me</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>II-46, R = OMe</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>II-47, R = F</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>II-48, R = Cl</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>II-49, R = Br</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>II-52, R = H</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>II-53, R = OH</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>II-54, R = OH</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>II-55, R = Me</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>II-56, R = Et</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup><sup>1</sup>H NMR yields, <sup>b</sup> 48 h reaction time

2.3.3 Study of Amine Reaction Partners Other Than Anisidine

A study to assess the possibility of utilizing other N-protected amines for the transimidation event was also conducted (Table 2.4). α-Methylbenzyl amine derivatives provided good yields (entry 1, 91% and entry 2, 97%) in the described process, which was anticipated on the basis of previous results. The imine products derived from this amine could be synthetically useful in developing subsequent diastereoselective additions if enantiopure α-methylbenzyl amine is used.
Table 2.4: Substrate Scope for Primary Amine Coupling Partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>amine</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-[4-Me-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-57, R = H, 91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>R-[4-F-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-58, R = F, 97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>R-[4-Me-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-59, R = Me, 75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>R-[4-OMe-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-60, R = OMe, 45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R-[4-Me-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-61, R = H, 78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>R-[4-Me-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-62, R = OMe, 72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Me$_2$-[4-Me-C$_7$H$_4$NH$_2$]</td>
<td>II-63, 90%&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>yields determined by $^1$H NMR using methyl benzoate a standard. <sup>b</sup>20 mol % catalyst.<br><sup>c</sup>6 equiv. amine

Benzylamines could also promote transimidation (entry 3, 75% and entry 4, 45%), but reactions showed significant quantities of homo-coupled imine products, resulting in lower yields. This should not be surprising considering that quinone-catalyzed amine oxidations of such substrates have been reported.<sup>a</sup> Interestingly, the bulkiness of the ortho-substituent seems to affect
the reaction outcome, indicating bulky groups may hinder condensation of the amine to the quinone catalyst leading to increased efficiency (entries 3 and 4). Benzyhydryl amine derivatives were tolerated at increased catalyst loading (entry 5, 78% and entry 6, 72%), forming phenylmethanimines products. An alky amine (entry 7, 90%) provided a high yield but required a super stoichiometric equivalence due to amine volatility under the elevated temperature of the reaction conditions. Aside from the results from benzylamine reaction partners, the quinone-catalyzed oxidation proceeds selectively with amino acid decarboxylation over C–H oxidation.

2.4 Development of Conditions for β-amino ester synthesis

With the capability to generate electrophilic imine intermediates via quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation of amino acids, we next pursued the development of a new method for β-amino acid synthesis through acid catalyzed Mukaiyama–Mannich addition (Table 2.5). In developing a protocol for a streamlined two-step, one-pot synthesis, we began by testing addition reactions with a known, robust silyl ketene acetal (SKA) nucleophile (II-65) using imines generated via quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation in acetonitrile:water.\(^{28,29}\) We started by testing potential Lewis acid catalysts, but found very limited success (entries 1-4, 0-13%). This may be a result of the excess amine species in the reaction mixture binding with the Lewis acids. Using catalytic tetrafluoroboric acid (HBF\(_4\)) provided a promising initial addition result (entry 5, 24%), and super stoichiometric amounts of HBF\(_4\) were able to promote the desired reactivity in good yield (entry 8, 87%). The amount of HBF\(_4\) required to promote addition may be a result of competing acid-base reactions. In a separate analysis, it was observed that the SKA undergoes
proto-desilylation in the presence of HBF$_4$. Therefore, addition of super stoichiometric amounts of both reagents is required to obtain efficient addition.

**Table 2.5: Optimization of Two-Step, 1-Pot β-Amino Ester Synthesis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>SKA</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>Lewis or Brønsted Acid</th>
<th>acid equiv.</th>
<th>yield$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>Sc(OTf)$_3$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>Yb(OTf)$_3$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>La(OTf)$_3$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>Zn(OTf)$_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>24 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8$^b$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>87 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9$^b$</td>
<td>II-66</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$^b$</td>
<td>II-67</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11$^b$</td>
<td>II-68</td>
<td>MeCN:H$_2$O</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12$^c$</td>
<td>II-65</td>
<td>EtOH/THF</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>89 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13$^c$</td>
<td>II-66</td>
<td>EtOH/THF</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14$^c$</td>
<td>II-68</td>
<td>EtOH/THF</td>
<td>HBF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>74 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ isolated yields. $^b$ MeCN:H$_2$O solvent in both steps. $^c$ solvent from step 1 was completely removed and replaced.

With the optimized conditions in hand, SKA (II-66, II-67, and II-68) additions that would yield true homologation products were attempted, but each failed to add into the imine intermediate under the optimized conditions (entries 9-11, 0%). Fortunately, replacing acetonitrile:water with EtOH, which could easily be removed under reduced pressure after imine formation solved this problem (entry 12, 89%). By using THF as a solvent for Mukaiyama–Mannich addition, the homologation reaction proceeded smoothly when SKA II-65 was employed (entry 12, 89%). SKA 32
II-66 and II-68 were tested using this protocol, and we found that II-68 provided the best result (entry 13, 0%, entry 14, 74%).

2.4.1 β-Amino Ester Synthesis Using gem-Dimethyl Silyl Ketene Acetal Nucleophile

With proper conditions in place, the subsequent two-step, one-pot procedure was studied with the gem-dimethyl silyl ketene acetal (II-65) using a variety of aryl glycine derivatives. Using phenylglycine as the substrate, the homologated product was isolated in 89% yield (Table 2.6, entry 1).

Table 2.6: Scope Studies Using gem-Dimethyl Silyl Ketene Acetal Nucleophile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>II-71, R = H, 89%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>II-75, R = Me, 80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>II-72, R = Me, 15%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>II-76, R = OMe, 83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>II-73, R = Cl, 65%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>II-77, R = F, 80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>II-74, R = F, 94%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>II-78, R = Cl, 87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>II-79, R = Br, 85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>II-80, 82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using methyl benzoate as an internal standard.
Although electronic effects did not seem to significantly alter addition yields, steric effects were more prominently noticed. The ortho-substituted aryl imines seemed less susceptible to addition from the relatively bulky nucleophile, as ortho-methyl product II-72 was determined to only yield 15% by $^1$H NMR, while a smaller ortho-chloro group provided product II-73 in 65% yield (entry 2 and 3). The meta-substituted product II-74 was isolated in the best yield at 94% (entry 4).

In terms of para-substituted substrates, electronic factors seem to have minimal influence of reaction efficiency as all electron donating and electron withdrawing substituents tested were isolated in yields at or above 80% (entries 5-9). An electron rich heteroaromatic species II-80 also was isolated in 82% yield. From these results, a clear steric bias was noticed when comparing ortho- and para- substituted imines of the same substitution. The ortho-methyl product II-72 was isolated in a much lower yield than its para-substituted counterpart (entries 2 and 5), and this trend was also observed when testing the chlorinated derivatives (entry 3, II-73, 65% and entry 8, II-78, 87%).

2.4.2 $\beta$-Amino Ester Synthesis Using Silyl Ketene (Thio)Acetal Nucleophile

In order to perform a true amino acid homologation, the aryl glycine derivatives were then subjected to nucleophilic addition with a silyl ketene (thio)acetal (Table 2.7). In line with the trends noticed previously, ortho-substituted aryl glycines again show steric effects. Ortho-methyl substituted phenylglycine provided the lowest yield of all substrates (entry 2, 56%) while ortho-chloro gave the highest (entry 3, 95%). This observation may line itself with the smaller nature of
the chlorine atom, experiencing reduced steric hindrance of nucleophilic addition. The meta-substituted II-85 was isolated in 78%.

**Table 2.7: Scope Studies using Silyl Ketene (Thio)acetal Nucleophile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>II-82, R = H, 74%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>II-83, R = Me, 56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>II-84, R = Cl, 95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>II-85, R = F, 78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>II-86, R = Me, 89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>II-87, R = OMe, 88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>II-88, R = F, 84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>II-89, R = Cl, 94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>II-90, R = Br, 72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>II-91, 77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields.

Electronic effects do not seem to have a strong influence on the addition as indicated by mostly good yields of entries 5-9 (72-94%). Electron rich heteroaromatic species were tolerated by this process (entry 10, 77%). Again, information regarding steric effects can be gleaned by comparing electronically similar ortho- and para- substituted glycine derivatives. Although both ortho- and para-chlorinated substituents provide excellent yields (entry 3, 95%, entry 8, 94%), the ortho- and para-methyl derivatives show significant differences in product formation (entry 2, 56%, entry 5, 89%)
2.4.3 Deprotection of Homologation Products

The described process demonstrates conversion of an α-amino acid into a β-amino acid derivative bearing an N-PMP-protected amine. Although in many synthetic applications, protected amines, as well as esters, are more easily manipulated than unprotected amines and carboxylic acids, an amine deprotection was carried out to provide the free amine (Scheme 2.9). Using cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) as an oxidant, PMP deprotection was easily achieved in high yields to afford the corresponding products of direct amino acid homologation (II-92 and II-93).71

Scheme 2.9: Deprotection of Homologation Products

2.5 Mechanistic Studies for Quinone-Catalyzed Oxidative-Decarboxylation

The previously described method for quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation has a significant limitation, the inability to oxidize naturally occurring amino acids. Initial speculation leads us to believe this limitation can be overcome through catalyst design. In order to understand the properties that will ultimately provide a functional catalyst, we must determine what hinders the current system from promoting oxidative-decarboxylation of natural amino acids. We expect that experimentally establishing the mechanism of quinone-catalyzed amino acid decarboxylation
will provide the appropriate knowledge to design a catalyst capable of carrying out the desired transformations.

2.5.1 Hammett Analysis on Quinone-Catalyzed Oxidative-Decarboxylation

A Hammett analysis was conducted to provide evidence for the rate-determining step (RDS) of quinone-catalyzed amino acid decarboxylation. In this set of experiments, a series of commercially available aryl glycine derivatives with varying substituents in the para-position were utilized. In each case, the initial rate of the reaction for a given para-substituent X (expressed as kX) was assessed using ¹H NMR. These rates (kX) were compared with the baseline rate of the reaction where X = H (expressed as kH), and the logarithm of the quotient kX/kH was plotted as a function of the Hammett parameter σ para. A series of expected possible results is discussed in Figure 2.3.

Figure 2.3 Proposed Mechanism and Interpretation of the Hammett Analysis

Possible Hammett Outcomes:

Step 1: If RDS, no substituent effect is expected.

Step 2: If RDS, A positive p value with high magnitude would be expected.

Step 3: If RDS, A positive p value with high magnitude would be expected.

Step 4: If RDS, no substituent effect is expected.
If step 1 were rate-determining, one would expect a $\varphi$ value of 0, as substitution of the aryl amino acid should not dictate its ability to condense with the quinone. A positive $\varphi$ value may be an indication that step 2 or step 3 are rate-determining. In step 2, the decarboxylation could shift electron density to the benzylic carbon. In step 3, a transimidation event would provide a positive $\varphi$ value, as a decrease in positive charge would be expected from pyramidalization.

The initial rates for oxidative decarboxylation were determined and the data is shown in Figure 2.4. Using this data to construct a Hammett Plot revealed a $\varphi$ value, indicating negative charge density accumulates at the reaction center (Figure 2.5). This observation allows for the elimination of proposed steps 1 and 4 as potential RDS, but leaves us to differentiate between steps 2 and 3 as potential RDS. If step 2 was rate determining, this positive $\varphi$ value could indicate a negative charge build up resulting from decarboxylation. If step 3 was rate determining, this positive $\varphi$ value could indicate a decrease in positive charge would be expected from pyramidalization of the imine during transamination. For complete mechanistic elucidation, further experimentation is needed.

**Figure 2.4: Reaction Rates for Quinone-Catalyzed Oxidative-Decarboxylation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>rate (mol/(L*h))</th>
<th>entry</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>rate (mol/(L*h))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.0283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.0276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>0.0151</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CF$_3$</td>
<td>0.0441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard
Figure 2.5: Hammett Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Oxidative-Decarboxylation

2.5.2 Hammett Analysis on Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Oxidative-Decarboxylation

In order to obtain more information on the decarboxylation step in the catalytic cycle, we recorded rate data for the oxidative decarboxylation event by monitoring a stoichiometric quinone-promoted decarboxylation of α-aryl amino acids. This would eliminate the transimidation step and allow a more direct analysis of decarboxylation. α-Aryl amino acids were submitted to conditions with 1.0 equiv. of quinone (II-19) in the absence of anisidine, and conversion was measured by $^1$H NMR by the formation of imine II-95 and its corresponding aldehyde, a hydrolysis byproduct.
As expected, the rates for stoichiometric decarboxylation were much faster than the catalytic process. Again, a Hammett plot was constructed and revealed a positive $\rho$ value, indicating negative charge density accumulation occurs at the reaction center (Figure 2.6). It was also observed that the $\rho$ value for both quinone-catalyzed and stoichiometric quinone-promoted oxidative-decarboxylation were very similar ($\rho = 0.5331$ and $\rho = 0.4831$). Although these studies are not conclusive, the results provide evidence to support decarboxylation as the RDS. If this is indeed the case, a more electron deficient catalyst may encourage decarboxylation of natural $\alpha$-amino acids by drawing electron density from the reaction center.
2.6 Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Alcohol Deformylation

Following the development of quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation, we sought to explore the potential of quinone catalysts in related oxidative C–C bond cleavage reactions. One specific idea we decided to test was the oxidative-deformylation of amino alcohols. A deformylation process is comparable to decarboxylation, but is fundamentally distinct in that the oxidative decarboxylation of amino acids requires sp³–sp² C–C bond cleavage, while amino alcohol deformylation would proceed through sp³-sp³ C–C bond cleavage. It is our interest to develop conditions for quinone-catalyzed amino alcohol deformylation, an unreported transformation.

2.6.1 Development of Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Alcohol Deformylation

We expected deformylation of amino alcohols to proceed through a similar mechanism as that of amino acid decarboxylation (Figure 2.8). First an amino alcohol (II-108) would condense...
with the quinone catalyst II-19. At this point, a base would deprotonate the O–H bond of the amino alcohol, in turn promoting a deformylation of II-109 to provide the N-aryl imine II-30. This species would readily undergo transimidation with a stable N-protected amine to give an N-protected imine product II-32. The active catalyst would then be regenerated through auto-oxidation. We began our studies by examining the oxidative-deformylation of phenylglycinol.

**Figure 2.8: Proposed Mechanism for Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Alcohol Deformylation**

**2.6.2 Optimization of Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Alcohol Deformylation**

Deformylation of amino alcohols to imine products would provide the first example of quinone promoted sp$^3$ C–C bond cleavage. Initial experiments using phenylglycinol (II-111) as the substrate and 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (II-19) as the catalyst were performed using
conditions initially developed for oxidative-decarboxylation (Table 2.8); these conditions provided II-64 in 18% yield (entry 1). A subsequent reaction was attempted under identical conditions, but using 2-(tert-butyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-dione (II-16) as the quinone catalyst. After 24 hours the PMP-protected imine II-64 was formed in 38% yield (entry 2). Following these initial experiments, other solvents were studied at elevated temperatures with varied catalyst loading. Isopropanol seemed to promoted better oxidation with respect to both catalysts (entry 3, 44%, entry 4, 59%), and hydroxy-quinone II-16 provided a much better yield in ethanol than quinone II-19 (entry 5, 31%, entry 6, 75%).

Table 2.8: Optimization of Amino Alcohol Deformylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeCN:H₂O</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MeCN:H₂O</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>II-16</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-16</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-16</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MeCN:H₂O</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ᵇ</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11ᶜ</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>II-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Isolated yields, ᵇ 20 mol % catalyst, ᶜ 48 h reaction time
To our surprise, when triethylamine was not included as a base, yields increased when comparing entries with otherwise identical conditions (entry 7, 47%, entry 8, 71%, entry 9, 73%). Taking the best result and employing higher catalyst loading delivered the imine product in 99% yield (entry 10). The catalyst loading can be reduced to 10 mol % but the yield drops and an extended reaction time is required (entry 11, 85%). These results demonstrate quinone-catalyzed deformylation of amino alcohols have been realized.

2.6.3 Preliminary Scope Studies for Quinone-Catalyzed Deformylation

With an optimized condition in hand (entry 10, Table 2.9), the oxidative deformylation was studied. We began with an isomer of phenylglycinol, which provided imine II-64 in 99% yield and complete conversion (entry 2). In thinking this observation could be a result of either C–C bond cleavage promoted by amine condensation to the quinone or by the alcohol, a diol was submitted to the reaction conditions to find no conversion after 24 hours (entry 3, 0%). A series of amino alcohols will be studies in the future to determine a full extent of the substrate scope.

Table 2.9: Preliminary Scope Studies for Deformylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>% conversion&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR yields
2.7 Summary for Chapter 2

We have developed a new protocol for sequential quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation/Mukaiyama–Mannich addition to provide an efficient method for α-amino acid homologation under mild reaction conditions (20 substrates, 15–95% yield). This is the first application of quinone-catalyzed oxidative-decarboxylation. This method is currently limited to aryl amino acids, and studies directed at addressing this limitation are underway. We have also developed a protocol for quinone-catalyzed oxidative-deformylation of amino alcohols. Currently, we have developed conditions for deformylation of phenylglycinol, and plan to continue this project by studying the scope of this reaction using various aryl glycinols and amino ethers.

2.8 General Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out in 8 mL reaction vials with magnetic stirring unless otherwise stated. EtOH was used as purchased from Fisher Chemical (190 Proof). Other reagents were used as delivered from commercial sources. Purification of reaction products was carried out by flash chromatography using Fisher Chemical silica gel (230-400 Mesh, Grade 60). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on EMD millipore TLC silica gel 60 – F 254: 25 glass plates. Visualization was accomplished with UV light and/or phosphomolybdic acid staining followed by heating. Melting point data were recorded using a Digimelt SRS. Film and KBr pellet infrared spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu FTIR-8400S. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance 400 (400 MHz) or a Bruker 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer and are reported in ppm using solvent as a reference (residual CHCl₃ at 7.26 ppm). Data are reported as (app =
apparent, $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $t =$ triplet, $q =$ quartet, $m =$ multiplet, $b =$ broad); integration; coupling constant(s) in Hz. Proton-decoupled $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 (125 MHz) and are reported in ppm using solvent as a reference ($\text{CDCl}_3$ at 77.16 ppm). Mass spectra data were obtained on a Micromass Ltd. LCT Premier quadrupole and time-of-flight tandem mass analyzer.

2.9 General Experimental Procedures for Sequential Oxidative–Decarboxylation/Mannich Addition

A: General procedure for glycine derivative decarboxylation/ silyl ketene (thio)acetel addition (II-82-II-90):

To a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (11.0 mg, 0.050 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (123.1 mg, 1.0 mmol) in ethanol (1.6 mL, 0.312 M) with respect to the glycine substrate) was added the glycine derivative (0.50 mmol), followed by purging the reaction vial with a balloon of O$_2$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O$_2$ at 70 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted. The ethanol was removed by rotary evaporation and the oxidative-decarboxylation product was further pumped for 0.5h with a high-vacuum pump. The remaining solid was dissolved in dry THF (1.6 mL, 0.312 M) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution was added tetrafluoroboric acid solution (48 wt. % in H$_2$O, 90.0 µL, 0.75 mmol) and silyl ketene (thio)acetel (1.5 mmol). The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 1.5h under N$_2$ at 0
°C. Sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7, 2 mL) was added followed by saturated aq. NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (0.5 mL). The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel by aid of Et\textsubscript{2}O, shaken vigorously and the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was further extracted with Et\textsubscript{2}O (3 x 5.0 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography on TEA neutralized silica gel (10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) provided the desired aryl amine thioesters (II-82-II-91).

B: General Procedure for Glycine Derivative Decarboxylation/ Silyl Ketene Acetal Addition (II-71-80):

To a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (11.0 mg, 0.050 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (123.1 mg, 1.0 mmol) in ethanol (1.6 mL, 0.312 M) with respect to the glycine substrate) was added the glycine derivative (0.50 mmol), followed by purging the reaction vial with a balloon of O\textsubscript{2}. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O\textsubscript{2} at 70 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted. The ethanol was removed by rotary evaporation and the oxidative-decarboxylation product was further pumped for 0.5h with a high-vacuum pump. The remaining solid was dissolved in dry THF (1.6 mL, 0.312 M) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution was added tetrafluoroboric acid solution (48 wt. % in H\textsubscript{2}O, 90.0 μL, 0.75 mmol) and silyl ketene (gem-dimethyl)acetal (1.5 mmol) dropwise over 6 iterations by 15 min intervals. After 1.5 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography on TEA neutralized silica gel (5%-20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) followed by recrystallization in CHCl\textsubscript{3} and pentane provided the desired aryl amine methyl-esters (II-71-80).
C: General Procedure for Aryl Glycine Decarboxylation (II-39-51):

To a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (22.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (246 mg, 2.0 mmol) in Acetonitrile:water (3.2 mL, 0.312 M with respect to the glycine substrate) was added the glycine derivative (1.00 mmol), followed by purging the reaction vial with a balloon of O₂. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O₂ at 50 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted. The crude reaction mixture was placed in a separatory funnel and the organic layer was extracted in H₂O and CHCl₃ followed by concentration. Flash chromatography on TEA neutralized silica gel (5%-20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) followed by recrystallization (when described) in CHCl₃ and pentane provided the desired imine II-39-51).

D: General Procedure for Quinone-Catalyzed Amino Alcohol Deformylation:

To a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (22.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (123 mg, 1.0 mmol) in EtOH (1.6 mL, 0.312 M with respect to the glycine substrate) was added the glycine derivative (0.50 mmol), followed by purging the reaction vial with a balloon of O₂. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O₂ at 70 °C. After 24h, crude reaction mixtures concentrated under reduced pressure and ¹H NMR analysis was conducted.

2.9.1 Characterization Data for II-39-51, II-71-80, and II-82-91
(E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylmethanimine (II-39): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-Phenylglycine (151 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-39 (178.8 mg, 85%) as an orange crystal. IR (KBr) 2955, 2837, 1622 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.49 (s, 1H), 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.47 (m, 3H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H). \(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 158.2, 158.1, 144.7, 136.3, 130.8, 128.5, 128.4, 122.0, 114.2, 55.3. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{13}\)NO [M+H], 212.1075. Found 212.1056.

(E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(o-tolyl)methanimine (II-40): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(o-tolyl)acetic acid (165.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-40 (169.6 mg, 75%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr), 2968, 2841, 1616 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H). \(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 158.2, 157.2, 145.6, 138.3, 134.4, 131.0, 130.7, 127.6, 126.3, 122.2, 114.4, 55.5, 19.4. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{15}\)NO [M+H], 226.1232. Found 226.1211.

(E)-1-(2-chlorophenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-41): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (185.6 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-41 (217.8 mg, 89%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2999, 2837, 1618 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz,
CDCl$_3$) 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.23 (dd, J = 7.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 158.8, 154.9, 144.8, 135.9, 133.6, 131.9, 130.1, 128.5, 127.2, 122.7, 114.5, 55.7. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NOCl [M+H], 246.0686. Found 246.0666.

(E)-1-(3-fluorophenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-42): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(3-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (169.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-42 (219.0.0 mg, 95%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 3082, 2843, 1622 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.46 (s, 1H), 7.65 (ddd, J = 9.6, 2.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dt, J = 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (td, J = 7.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (tdd, J = 8.3, 2.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 163.2 (d, J = 246.6 Hz), 158.6, 156.68 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 144.3, 138.8 (d, J = 7.3 Hz), 130.2 (d, J = 7.9 Hz), 124.7 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 122.3, 117.9 (d, J = 21.7 Hz), 114.4 (d, J = 22.2 Hz), 114.4, 55.5. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NFO [M+H], 230.0981. Found 230.0958.

(E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(p-tolyl)methanimine (II-43): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid (165.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-43 (186. mg, 76%) as a white crystal: IR (KBr) 2912, 2885, 1624 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz,
2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 158.6, 158.3, 145.3, 141.6, 134.1, 129.6, 128.7, 122.3, 114.5, 55.7, 21.8. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{15}$NO [M+H], 226.1232. Found 226.1205.

(E)-N,1-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-44): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C), using 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acetic acid (181.1, 0.50 mmol) and 20 mol % quinone catalyst to provide after purification product II-44 (93.5 mg, 78%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2956, 2839, 1622 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.41 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 162.11, 158.07, 158.06, 145.41, 130.38, 129.62, 122.20, 114.47, 114.28, 55.64, 55.56. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{15}$NO$_2$ [M+H], 242.1181. Found 242.1156.

(E)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-45): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (169.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-45 (193.9 mg, 85%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 3016, 2879, 1622 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.23 (d, $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 164.6 (d, $J$ = 251.6 Hz), 158.4, 156.97, 144.8, 132.9 (d, $J$ = 3.1 Hz) 130.6 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz), 122.3, 116.0 (d, $J$ = 22.0 Hz), 114.5, 55.6. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NFO [M+H], 230.0981. Found 230.0958.
(E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-46): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (185.6 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-46 (214.1 mg, 87%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2962, 2839, 1620 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.6, 156.8, 144.6, 137.1, 135.1, 129.8, 129.2, 122.4, 114.6, 55.7. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₄H₁₂NOCl [M+H], 246.0686. Found 246.0668.

(E)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine (II-47): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid (230.1 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-47 (248.3 mg, 86%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2960, 2879, 1622 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H). HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₄H₁₂NOBr [M+H], 290.0181. Found 292.0194.
(E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanimine (II-48): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 4-trifluoromethyl-phenylglycine (219.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-48 (240.9 mg, 86%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2881, 1622 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.54 (s, 1H), 8.00 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H). \(^13\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 158.9, 156.4, 144.2, 139.7, 132.49 (q, J = 32.6 Hz), 128.8, 125.82 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 125.15 (q), 122.54 (d), 114.6, 55.7. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{12}\)F\(_3\)NO [M+H], 280.0949. Found 280.0919.

(1E,2E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-imine (II-49): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) 2-amino-4-phenylbut-3-enoic acid (177.7 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-49 (151.2 mg, 69%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2956, 2837, 1629 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.30 (dd, J = 5.4, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.37 (m, 3H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (m, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H). HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{15}\)NO [M+H], 238.1232. Found 238.1207.

(E)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(thiophen-2-yl)methanimine (II-50): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid (157.2 mg, 1.00 mmol) to provide after purification product II-50 (173.2 mg, 80%) as a white crystal; IR (KBr) 2997, 2833, 1610 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.61
(s, 1H), 7.49 (dd, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 158.4, 151.2, 144.5, 143.3, 131.6, 129.8, 127.8, 122.4, 114.5, 55.6. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$NSO [M+H], 218.0640. Found 218.0632.

(E)-1-(furan-2-yl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl) methanimine (II-51): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (C) using 2-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)acetic acid (141.1 mg, 1.00 mmol) and 20 mol % quinone catalyst to provide after purification product II-51 (106.8 mg, 53%) as a white crystal: IR (KBr) 3093, 2958, 1622 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.91 – (m, 3H), 6.54 (dt, J = 3.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 158.6, 152.5, 145.9, 145.5, 144.4, 122.4, 115.6, 114.6, 112.2, 55.6. HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$NO$_2$ [M+H], 202.0868. Found 202.0854.

S-ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanethioate (II-82): The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-Phenylglycine (75.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-82 (117.6 mg, 75%) as an orange solid; which was spectroscopically identical to previous reports.
S-ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-(o-tolyl)propanethioate (II-83):
The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(o-tolyl)acetic acid (82.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-83 (92.8 mg, 56%) as an orange oil; IR (film) 3523, 3055, 2962, 1676 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.41 (d, 1H , J = 5.6 Hz), 7.21 – 7.11 (m, 3H), 6.68 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.45 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 4.94 (dd, 1H , J = 8.4, 5.0 Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.87 (m, 4H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, 3H , J = 7.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.7, 152.4, 141.2, 140.0, 134.9, 130.9, 127.4, 126.8, 125.4, 114.90, 114.87, 55.8, 53.1, 50.2, 23.8, 19.3, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₉H₂₄NO₂S [M+H+], 330.1528. Found 330.1536.

S-ethyl 3-(2-chlorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-84): The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (92.8 mg, 0.50 mmol) while 1 equivalent of silyl ketene (thio)acetal (0.50 mmol) was added and the addition was repeated twice after each 30 min to provide after purification product II-84 (166.6 mg, 95%) as an orange oil. IR (film) 3390, 3062, 2966, 1674 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.45 – 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.40 – 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.22 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 6.72 – 6.63 (m, 2H), 6.47 – 6.38 (m, 2H), 5.13 (dd, 1H, J = 9.0, 3.7 Hz), 4.57 (bs, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.09 (dd, 1H, J = 14.6, 3.7 Hz), 2.98 – 2.67 (m, 3H), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.7, 152.4, 140.6, 138.9, 132.7, 130.0, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 114.9, 114.8, 55.8, 53.6, 49.1, 23.8, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₈H₂₁NO₂SCl [M+H+], 350.0982. Found 350.0975.
S-ethyl 3-(3-fluorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-85): The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(3-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (84.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-85 (130.1 mg, 78%) as an orange solid, m.p. 84 °C. IR (KBr pellet) 3402, 3070, 2989, 1672 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.32 – 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, ), 7.09 (dt, 1H, J = 9.8, 2.1 Hz), 6.93 (app td, 1H), 6.70 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 4.74 (t, 1H, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.98 (d, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.86 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.3, 163.3 (d, J = 247.0 Hz), 152.6, 145.2 (d, J = 6.3 Hz), 140.6, 130.4 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 122.1 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 115.3, 114.9, 114.5 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 113.4 (d, J = 22.7 Hz), 56.4, 55.8, 51.5, 23.8, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₈H₂₁NO₂SF [M+H+], 334.1277. Found 334.1281.

S-ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-(p-tolyl)propanethioate (II-86): The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid (82.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-86 (146.2 mg, 89%) as a brown solid, m.p. 68 °C. IR (KBr) 3398, 3041, 2904, 1681 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.25 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.13 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.69 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.51 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.73 (t, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.98 – 2.92 (m, 2H), 2.86 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.6, 152.4, 141.1, 139.3, 137.2, 129.6, 126.3, 115.3, 114.8, 56.5, 55.8, 51.9, 56

**S-ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-87):** The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid (120.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) while the reaction was stirred for 48h in ethanol at 70°C to provide after purification product II-87 (151.8mg, 88%) as a lilac solid, m.p. 74°C. IR (KBr pellet) 3384, 3068, 2929, 1676 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.29 (s, 1H), 6.87 – 6.82 (m, 2H), 6.71 – 6.67 (m, 2H), 6.52 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.71 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 5.7 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.00 – 2.91 (m, 2H), 2.89 – 2.81 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz.), 1.20 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.6, 158.8, 152.4, 140.8, 134.1, 127.4, 115.2, 114.7, 114.1, 56.1, 55.7, 55.3, 51.7, 23.6, 14.6; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₉H₂₄NO₃S [M+H⁺], 346.1477. Found 346.1469.

**S-ethyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-88):** The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (84.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-88 (139.8mg, 84%) as an orange solid, m.p. 90°C. IR (KBr pellet) 3371, 3016, 2983, 1668 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.00 (app t, 2H), 6.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.50 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.76 – 4.70 (t, 1H, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.97 (m, 2H), 2.85 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.20 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 197.4, 162.2
(d, J = 243.8 Hz), 152.6, 140.7, 137.9, 128.1(d, J = 8.8 Hz), 115.8 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 115.4, 114.8, 56.1, 55.8, 51.7, 23.8, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C_{18}H_{21}NO_{2}SF [M+H+], 334.1277. Found 334.1281.

**S-ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-89):** The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (92.8 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-89** (164.5mg, 94%) as an orange oil. IR (film) 3382, 3060, 2929, 1666 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.33 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.48 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.72 (t, 1H, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.98 – 2.92 (m, 2H), 2.86 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 197.3, 152.6, 140.9, 140.7, 133.2, 129.1, 127.9, 115.3, 114.8, 56.1, 55.8, 51.6, 23.8, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C_{18}H_{21}ClNO_{2}S [M+H+], 350.0982. Found 350.0984.

**S-ethyl 3-(4-bromophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)propanethioate (II-90):** The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid (115.0 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-90** (142.0mg, 72%) as a dark orange solid, m.p. 70 °C. IR (KBr pellet) 3392, 3056, 2960, 1679 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.46 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.48 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.70 (t, 1H, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.97 – 2.92 (m, 2H), 2.86 (q, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.21 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz). \(^{13}\)C NMR (126
MHz, CDCl$_3$) 197.3, 152.7, 141.3, 140.5, 132.0, 128.3, 121.4, 115.4, 114.9, 56.3, 55.8, 51.5, 23.8, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{21}$BrNO$_2$S [M+H$^+$], 394.0476. Found 394.0484

S-ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propanethioate (II-91): The reaction was carried out according to the general procedure (A) using 2-amino-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid (78.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-91 (123.3 mg, 77%) as an orange oil. IR (film) 3369, 3066, 2929, 1666 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.18 (dd, 1H, J = 5.0, 1.2 Hz), 6.98 (app dt, 1H), 6.93 (dd, 1H, J = 5.0, 3.5 Hz), 6.78 – 6.69 (m, 2H), 6.66 – 6.58 (m, 2H), 5.09 (dd, 1H, J = 7.1, 6.0Hz), 4.19 (bs, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.13 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 7.4 Hz), 3.07 (dd, 1H, J = 14.9, 5.6 Hz), 2.88 (q, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.22 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 197.1, 152.9, 147.1, 140.5, 126.9, 124.4, 124.0, 115.8, 114.8, 55.7, 52.8, 51.4, 23.7, 14.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{16}$H$_{20}$NO$_2$S$_2$ [M+H$^+$], 322.0935. Found 322.0906

methyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethyl-3-phenylpropanoate (II-71): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-Phenylglycine (75.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-71 (140.0. mg, 89%) as a white crystal; m.p. 87.7°C; IR (KBr) 3369, 2955, 1716 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.32 – 7.22 (m, 4H), 7.24 – 7.18 (m, 1H), 6.63 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.45 (d, 2H J = 8.8 Hz), 4.45 (s, 1H), 3.65 (s, 6H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR
(101 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.3, 152.1, 141.3, 139.5, 128.5, 128.1, 127.5, 114.9, 114.8, 65.4, 55.8, 52.2, 47.3, 24.6, 20.6; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₃ [M+H], 314.1756. Found 314.1747.

**methyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethyl-3-(o-tolyl)propanoate (II-72):** The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(o-tolyl)acetic acid (82.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-72**, (15% by NMR) as a white crystal; m.p. 87.4°C; IR (KBr) 3389, 3066, 2831, 1742 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.24 (s, 1H), 7.15 – 7.08 (m, 3H), 6.64 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.43 (d, 2H J = 8.4 Hz), 4.82 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.5, 152.2, 136.7, 130.6, 130.6, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 126.0, 114.9, 114.9, 60.3, 55.8, 52.3, 48.4, 24.7, 20.6, 20.3; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₂₀H₂₅NO₃ [M+H⁺], 328.1913. Found 328.1922.

**methyl 3-(2-chlorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-73):** The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (92.8 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-73** (112.3 mg, 65%) as a white crystal; m.p. 107.2 °C; IR (KBr) 3402, 2995, 2833, 1718 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39 – 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.34 – 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.22 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.51 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 5.12 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz,
methyl 3-(3-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-74): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(3-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (84.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-74 (155.0 mg, 94%) as a white crystal; m.p. 118.1 °C; IR (KBr) 3389, 3066, 2901, 1707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.28 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.07 (app dt, 1H), 7.00 (app dt, 1H), 6.93 (app tdd, 1H), 6.66 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.45 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.44 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.17 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.0, 162.9 (d, J = 245.9 Hz), 152.3, 142.6 (d, J = 5.0 Hz), 141.0, 129.5 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 124.3 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 115.3 (d, J = 21.7 Hz), 114.872, 114.870, 114.6 (d, J = 21.2 Hz), 65.1, 55.8, 52.3, 47.2, 24.6, 20.8; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₉H₂₂FNO₃ [M+H], 332.1662. Found 332.1651.

methyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethyl-3-(p-tolyl) propanoate (II-75): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid (82.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-75 (131.0 mg, 80%) as a white crystal; m.p. 111.4 °C; IR (KBr) 3379, 2995, 2825, 1718 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.16 (d, 2H,
J = 7.9 Hz), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz), 6.65 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.47 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.44 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 177.3, 152.0, 141.4, 137.1, 136.4, 128.8, 128.4, 114.9, 114.8, 65.1, 55.8, 52.2, 47.3, 24.6, 21.2, 20.6; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$NO$_3$ [M$^+$], 327.1834. Found 327.1845.

**methyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-76):** The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acetic acid (120.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-76** (142.5 mg, 83%) as a white crystal; m.p. 102.4 °C; IR (KBr) 3416, 2978, 2833, 1724 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.21 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.84 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.67 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.48 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.43 (s, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 177.4, 159.0, 152.0, 141.4, 131.4, 129.5, 114.9, 114.8, 113.5, 64.7, 55.8, 55.3, 52.2, 47.4, 24.6, 20.6; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$NO$_4$ [M$^+$], 343.1784. Found 343.1781.

**methyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-77):** The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(4-fluorophenyl) acetic acid (84.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product **II-77** (132.7 mg, 80%) as a white crystal; m.p. 99.1 °C; IR (KBr) 3371, 2983, 1714 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz,
CDCl$_3$) 7.25 (dd, 2H, J = 8.5, 5.4 Hz), 6.98 (app t, 2H), 6.66 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz), 6.44 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.44 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 177.1, 163.5, 161.0, 152.2, 141.1, 135.3 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 129.9 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 115.1 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 114.8 (d, J = 2.3 Hz), 64.8, 55.8, 52.3, 47.2, 24.5, 20.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{22}$FNO$_3$ [M+H], 332.1662. Found 332.1653.

methyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-78): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (92.8 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-78 (151.7 mg, 87%) as a white crystal; m.p. 137.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3373, 2953, 2926, 1718 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.30 – 7.20 (m, 4H), 6.66 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.44 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.43 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 177.0, 152.3, 141.0, 138.2, 133.3, 129.8, 128.4, 114.9, 114.9, 64.9, 55.8, 52.3, 47.1, 24.5, 20.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{22}$ClNO$_3$ [M+], 347.1288. Found 347.1223.

methyl 3-(4-bromophenyl)-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (II-79): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid (115.0 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-79 (166.0 mg, 85%) as a white crystal; m.p. 144.3 °C; IR (KBr) 3379, 2978, 2825, 1716 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400
methyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-2,2-dimethyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propanoate (II-80): The reaction was carried out according to the general oxidation procedure (B) using 2-amino-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid (78.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) to provide after purification product II-80 (131.0 mg, 82%) as a white crystal; m.p. 85.9 °C; IR (KBr) 3356, 3109, 2910, 1716 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.18 – 7.14 (m, 1H), 6.94 – 6.90 (m, 2H), 6.70 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.56 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 4.77 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 6H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.0, 152.2, 140.9, 138.8, 131.3, 130.2, 121.5, 114.9, 114.9, 65.0, 55.8, 52.3, 47.1, 24.6, 20.7; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C₁₀H₂₂BrNO₃ [M+], 391.0783. Found 391.0787.

S-ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanethioate (II-92): II-82 (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃CN (11 mL) and an aqueous solution of CAN (1.37g, 2.5 mmol in 8mL water) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. NaHCO₃ was added until pH 6 was reached. Sodium sulfite was then added until the mixture became brown. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers
were dried over sodium sulfate, evaporated and the obtained free amine II-92 was provided (98.6 mg, 94%) as a brown oil. IR (film) 3413, 3388,3037, 2927, 1673 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 7.55 – 7.43 (m, 5H), 4.85 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). \(^1\)C NMR (126 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 199.6, 134.6, 129.6, 129.3, 127.0, 51.8, 46.2, 23.4, 13.5; HMRS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C\(_{11}\)H\(_{16}\)NOS [M+H\(^+\)], 210.0953. Found 210.0954.

methyl 3-amino-2,2-dimethyl-3-phenylpropanoate (II-93): II-71 (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in CH\(_3\)CN (11 mL) and an aqueous solution of CAN (1.37g, 2.5 mmol in 8mL water) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. NaHCO\(_3\) was added until pH 6 was reached. Sodium sulfite was then added until the mixture became brown. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, evaporated and the obtained free amine II-93 was provided (92.6 mg, 89%) as a brown solid; which was spectroscopically identical to previous reports.\(^71\)

2.11 Experimental Section for Hammett Experiments

A: General Procedure for Mechanism Experiments on Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation:

To a solution of 2,6-di-\textit{tert}-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (22.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (246 mg, 2.0 mmol) in EtOH (3.2 mL, 0.312 M with respect to the aryl glycine substrate) was added the glycine derivative (1.00 mmol), followed by addition of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.50 mmol) as an internal NMR standard and purging the reaction vial with a
balloon of O₂. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O₂ at 70 °C. Aliquots were drawn from the reaction mixture every hour for ¹H NMR analysis and concentrated under reduced pressure. % yield was measured by product formation against the NMR standard and converted from % yield to concentration ([ ] in mol/L.

B: General Procedure for Mechanism Experiments on Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation:

To a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (110.0 mg, 0.5 mmol, unless otherwise noted) and p-anisidine (123.0 mg, 1.0 mmol) in EtOH (1.6 mL, 0.312 M with respect to the glycine derivative substrate) was added the glycine derivative (0.50 mmol), followed by addition of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.50 mmol) as an internal NMR standard and purging the reaction vial with a balloon of O₂. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under O₂ at 70 °C. Aliquots were drawn from the reaction mixture every hour for ¹H NMR analysis and concentrated under reduced pressure. % yield was measured by product formation against the NMR standard and converted from % yield to concentration ([ ] in mol/L.
Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of Phenylglycine:

\[
\text{II-35} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{II-19 (10 mol\% \ EIOH, 0.312 M)} \atop \text{2.0 equiv. anisidine} \atop \text{2.0 equiv. Et$_3$N} \atop \text{70°C, 24 h, O$_2$}} \quad \text{II-37}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-37$^a$</th>
<th>[II-37]$^b$</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-37$^a$</th>
<th>[II-37]$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ value determined by $^1$H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard

$^b$ calculated by conversion to product

Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of Phenylglycine:
Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation:

\[ [\text{II-37}] \text{ vs. } t \]

\[ y = 0.022x - 0.006 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.996 \]

Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc|c|cc|c|cc|c}
\text{hour} & \% \text{ yield II-45}^a & \% \text{ yield II-45}^b & \text{hour} & \% \text{ yield II-45}^a & \% \text{ yield II-45}^b \\
0 & 0 & 0.00 & 7 & 39 & 0.12 \\
1 & 5 & 0.02 & 8 & 44 & 0.14 \\
2 & 9 & 0.03 & 9 & 50 & 0.16 \\
3 & 12 & 0.04 & 10 & 53 & 0.16 \\
4 & 20 & 0.06 & 11 & 56 & 0.18 \\
5 & 26 & 0.08 & 12 & 58 & 0.18 \\
6 & 33 & 0.10 & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[ ^a \text{value determined by } ^1\text{H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard} \]

\[ ^b \text{calculated by conversion to product} \]
Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:

Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:
Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{N} - \text{CO}_2\text{H} \quad \text{II-100} \\
\text{OMe} \\
\]

\[
\text{II-19 (10 mol\%)} \\
\text{EtOH, 0.312 M} \\
2.0 \text{ equiv. anisidine} \\
70^\circ \text{C, 24 h, O}_2 \\
\]

\[
\text{PMP} \\
\text{OMe} \\
\text{II-46} \\
\text{II-19} \\
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-46(^a)</th>
<th>[II-46](^b)</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-46(^a)</th>
<th>[II-46](^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) value determined by \(^1\)H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard
\(^b\) calculated by conversion to product

Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acetic acid:
Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acetic acid:

\[ y = 0.0151x - 0.0125 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9963 \]

Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-48]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-48]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> value determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard

<sup>b</sup> calculated by conversion to product
Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:

Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:
Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{N} & \text{CO}_2\text{H} \\
\text{II-102} & \xrightarrow{\text{II-19 (10 mol%)}} \text{PMP} \\
\text{EtOH, 0.312 M} & \xrightarrow{2.0 \text{ equiv. Et}_3\text{N}} \text{Br} \\
20^\circ\text{C}, 24 \text{ h, O}_2 & \xrightarrow{} \text{II-49} \\
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-49$^a$</th>
<th>[II-49]$^b$</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-49$^a$</th>
<th>[II-49]$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ value determined by $^1$H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard

$^b$ calculated by conversion to product

Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid:
Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid:

Rate Data for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetic acid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-50(\text{a})</th>
<th>[II-50](\text{b})</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-50(\text{a})</th>
<th>[II-50](\text{b})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a}\) value determined by \(^1\text{H}\) NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard

\(\text{b}\) calculated by conversion to product
Rate Data Plot for Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) acetic acid:

![Rate Data Plot](image1)

Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Quinone-Catalyzed Decarboxylation 2-amino-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) acetic acid:

![Rate Data Plot](image2)
Rate Data for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of Phenylglycine:

![Chemical Structures](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-105&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-105]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-105&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-105]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> value determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard

<sup>b</sup> calculated by conversion to product

Rate Data Plot for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation Phenylglycine:

![Graph](image)
Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation

Phenylglycine:

\[
y = 0.0479x + 0.0052 \\
R^2 = 0.9662
\]

Rate Data for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-106&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-106]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-106&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[II-106]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> value determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard  
<sup>b</sup> calculated by conversion to product
Rate Data Plot for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:

\[ [ \text{II-106} ] \text{ vs. } t \]

Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(p-tolyl)acetic acid:

\[ [ \text{II-106} ] \text{ vs. } t \]

\[ y = 0.039x + 0.0015 \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9955 \]
Rate Data for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:

\[
\text{II-101} \xrightarrow{\text{II-19 (1.0 equiv.) EtOH, 0.312 M, 2.0 equiv. Et}_3\text{N, 70°C, O}_2} \text{II-107}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-107\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>[II-107]\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>hour</th>
<th>% yield II-107\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>[II-107]\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}value determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal NMR standard
\textsuperscript{b}calculated by conversion to product

Rate Data Plot for Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:
Rate Data Plot for Linear Portion of Stoichiometric Quinone-Promoted Decarboxylation of 2-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid:

\[ y = 0.0584x + 0.005 \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9788 \]
### 2.10 Chapter 1 and 2 References


$^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR Spectra: