



Published in final edited form as:

*Matern Child Nutr.* 2011 January ; 7(1): 71–79. doi:10.1111/j.1740-8709.2010.00248.x.

## The use of TeleMedicine in the Treatment of Pediatric Obesity: Feasibility and Acceptability

Ann McGrath Davis, PhD, MPH, ABPP<sup>1</sup>, Rochelle L. James, MA<sup>2</sup>, Richard E. Boles, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Jeannine R. Goetz, MS<sup>4</sup>, John Belmont, PhD<sup>1</sup>, and Brett Malone<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Child Psychology Program, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas

<sup>3</sup>Division of Psychology, University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, Colorado

<sup>4</sup>Department of Dietetics & Nutrition, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas

### Abstract

**Objective**—To assess the feasibility of conducting empirically supported family based pediatric obesity group treatment via telemedicine.

**Methods**—Seventeen families were randomly assigned to one of two conditions (physician visit, TeleMedicine). Measures included feasibility, satisfaction, and intervention outcome measures such as BMI percentile, and nutrition and activity behaviors. Measures were completed at baseline, post-treatment, and at one-year follow-up.

**Results**—Analyses indicate that both feasibility and satisfaction data regarding the TeleMedicine intervention were positive. Intervention outcome indicates no change in BMI percentile or nutrition and activity behaviors for either treatment group.

**Conclusions**—A behavioral family-based weight loss intervention delivered via TeleMedicine was well received by both parents and providers. Due to the small sample size, null findings regarding intervention outcome should be interpreted with caution. Future research should focus on methods to increase the impact of this intervention on key outcome variables.

### Keywords

TeleMedicine; pediatric obesity; intervention; feasibility

### Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity among children in the United States has increased rapidly over the past several years (Strauss & Pollack 2001) to the point that it is now termed a public health epidemic (Strauss 2002). Recent data indicate that 10-25% of children under the age of 18 are overweight or obese (Troiano et al. 1995). Children who are overweight or obese are likely to maintain their weight status and become obese adults (Stark et al. 1981, Whitaker 1997). However, even if they lose weight and become adults of normal weight status, these individuals are likely to have significant health concerns in

---

All correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Ann McGrath Davis, Ph.D., MPH, ABPP, Associate Professor, Behavioral Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow Boulevard, MS 4004, Kansas City, Kansas 66160. Phone: 913-588-5928. adavis6@kumc.edu.

Author Disclosure Statement: The authors have nothing to disclose. No competing financial interests exist.

adulthood secondary to their childhood weight status including heart disease, lipid abnormalities, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, sleep apnea, infertility, gall bladder disease, and some cancers (Dietz 1998, Must & Strauss 1999). Overweight and obese children also have poorer levels of academic achievement (Taras & Potts-Detama 2005). Data indicate that overweight and obesity are a problem for children of all ages, from 4 years through adolescence, and a significant problem for both males and females (Ogden et al. 1997).

Treatments for pediatric overweight (Body Mass Index  $\geq$  85<sup>th</sup> percentile and for age and gender; Barlow et al. 2007) and obesity (BMI  $\geq$  95<sup>th</sup> percentile for age and gender) include primarily family-based behavioral programs (Epstein et al. 2007), medication (Moyers 2005), and surgery (Velhote et al. 2007). For the vast majority of patients, the optimal treatment is a family-based behavioral program (Barlow et al. 2007). These programs typically include a nutrition component, an exercise/activity component, and a behavioral component. They are ideally delivered to the entire family and focus on life long changes for the family rather than short term answers for a single individual.

In order to combat the pediatric obesity epidemic, novel technologies are being sought to reach individuals who may have difficulty traveling to a tertiary care center that provides the family-based behavioral treatments described above. One such novel technology is TeleMedicine. TeleMedicine is a form of interactive televideo allowing individuals at one site to communicate with individuals at a second site in real time using both voice and picture features. This type of interactive televideo is often available in rural school settings and is used for off-site teaching of specialized topics that may not be available in every small town, both for students and for professional development for teachers. Previous research indicates that TeleMedicine is useful for services ranging from cardiac auscultation (Mattioli et al. 1992) to psychiatry services (Modai et al. 2006). TeleMedicine has also been used to conduct focus groups to gain provider opinions on topics including pediatric TelePsychiatry (Greenberg et al. 2006) and student opinions on distance learning (Cartwright et al. 2002). These studies suggest that both clinical care and qualitative research can be successfully conducted over TeleMedicine. Specific to pediatrics, a recent review titled "Telepaediatrics" reports that TeleMedicine has been well established as a useful clinical tool in pediatric cardiology, fetal medicine, school health and psychiatry (Smith 2007). Studies of TeleMedicine in general have found that although the initial installation costs can be high (\$300 assuming an existing internet connection), the services are billable and the cost savings to patients and providers regarding time and travel are immense (Davalos et al. 2009).

Regarding pediatric obesity treatment, much of the existing literature focuses on school based interventions as children spend so much of their time in this setting. As mentioned above, schools also often have interactive televideo services for learning opportunities, especially in rural areas to allow for sharing of resources between sites. Therefore, it is not surprising that previous research has been conducted regarding the use of TeleMedicine to treat pediatric obesity in schools. For example, Hung et al. (2008) conducted a study of 37 children in China who participated in a 14 week Weight-loss E-learning Program. Schiel et al. (2008) report on the use of TeleMedicine to support weight loss maintenance in their group of 140 obese children post discharge from an inpatient treatment program in Germany. The only study to be conducted with rural obese children looked at the use of a consultation model (specialist consulting with primary care practitioners) and found that the consultations changed diagnoses (77.8%), and increased testing (79.8%). Of the patients who used the consultation service repeatedly, many improved their diet (80.6%) and their physical activity levels (69.4%; Shaikh et al. 2008). The most well validated treatment for pediatric obesity, family based behavioral groups, have never been tested via TeleMedicine, either in terms of treatment outcome or in terms of regarding feasibility of delivering these

interventions via TeleMedicine or acceptability by the families. Given that the inaugural paediatric telehealth colloquium was held in October 2006 (Parsapour et al. 2007), it is likely that the amount of pediatric TeleMedicine research is going to increase in the coming years.

The current study sought to assess the feasibility of conducting empirically supported family based pediatric obesity group treatment via telemedicine. Primary outcomes for the TeleMedicine intervention include feasibility and satisfaction. Primary outcomes across groups included child Body Mass Index (BMI) percentile, and nutrition and activity behaviors. The current study builds off of the only previous study assessing the feasibility of using TeleMedicine for pediatric obesity intervention (Shaikh et al. 2008), in that the current paper uses group treatment, which is more empirically supported, is prospective rather than retrospective, and does include a control group for comparison purposes.

## Materials and Method

### Participants

Researchers recruited two urban and two rural schools to the current project from a listserv of all TeleMedicine capable schools throughout the state of Kansas. The first 2 urban and 2 rural schools to express interest were accepted. The two urban schools were located in two large, metropolitan areas in Kansas; the two rural schools were located in towns of less than 20,000 in population in Western Kansas. School nurses at these 4 elementary schools contacted families of 5<sup>th</sup> grade children who they served to invite them to participate in the current study. The nurses targeted families of children who were overweight or obese (BMI  $\geq$  85<sup>th</sup> percentile for age and gender) and had no major developmental difficulties that would interfere with participation in a group program. A total of seventeen mother-child pairs were recruited to participate – no parents or children who were asked to participate refused. All children were in the 5<sup>th</sup> grade and about 10 years old ( $M = 9.9$  years,  $SD = .34$ ). Over half (58.8%) of the children were female. The sample was primarily Caucasian (47.1%) and African American (47.1%) with some Hispanic participants (5.9%). Not surprisingly, most of our maternal participants had a BMI in the obese range ( $M = 32.0$ ). See Table 1 for additional demographic information.

### Statistical Analyses

The data were analyzed using the statistical package SPSS (Version 16.0; SPSS, Inc. Chicago Illinois). Means and standard deviations were calculated for all continuous variables. Percentages were calculated for all categorical demographic variables. Analyses were conducted comparing TeleMedicine intervention and physician visit groups on demographics and baseline measures to determine the success of randomization. Then, 2 (group) X 2 (time) repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with Greenhouse-Geiser correction were used to assess the differential effectiveness of the TeleMedicine intervention versus the physician visit on BMI, dietary intake, and physical activity outcome measures. The one-year follow-up effects were assessed using 2 (group) X 3 (time) repeated-measures ANOVAs with Greenhouse-Geiser correction.

### Procedure

Prior to the start of the investigation, school nurses were trained in study procedures, including proper administration of a dietary recall and calibrating a scale and stadiometer. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained, and signed consent/assent forms were returned to research personnel via school personnel. Measures were then sent home for parents and children to complete together (demographic questionnaire, seven-day physical activity recall). These measures were returned approximately one week later, at which time

the child met with the school nurse to complete the remaining measures (24-hour dietary recall, height, weight). Families were then randomly assigned to one of two conditions: TeleMedicine or Physician Visit. Visits were scheduled by the school nurse as part of her existing duties and were conducted via a 384 kb/s over dedicated ISDN using computer-based Polycom videoconferencing systems. All 17 families completed assessment at baseline, and at post-treatment (two months later) and 14 completed the follow-up assessment (one year following post-treatment). All dietary data were analyzed using the Nutrition Data System for Research software (version 2005; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN).

**TeleMedicine Intervention**—The TeleMedicine intervention was composed of four one-hour long group sessions delivered over an eight-week period. Parents and children attended each session, along with the school nurse. Groups were led by a Ph.D. level psychologist via TeleMedicine from a tertiary care medical center. The two parties were linked via a live, interactive videoconference that provided a secure, high-quality consultation. All parties started the session together to review weekly progress; then the school nurse took the children into the next room while the parents met with the psychologist via TeleMedicine. Both groups covered the same topics but the parent group was primarily didactic and conversational and the child group was primarily activity-based. At the end of each meeting, the children returned to the TeleMedicine room and worked with parents and the psychologist to set goals for the upcoming week. See Table 2 for a list of topics by session.

**Physician Visit Intervention**—The physician visit intervention was composed of a single visit with the child's primary care physician. To standardize visits and assure the visit took place, a list of topics was sent to each child's physician suggesting what they may want to discuss during the visit. Physicians checked off the topics they discussed with the patient during the visit, signed the form, and returned it to researchers in a stamped self-addressed envelope. All physicians covered all topics and returned the form. Also, no children needed referral to a physician, and none requested the available financial support for this visit.

## Measures

**Feasibility**—Feasibility was assessed via number of sessions that were interrupted due to technological difficulties, and via provider session notes which included comments regarding feasibility of intervention delivery via TeleMedicine.

**Satisfaction**—Participant satisfaction was assessed via parent report to two items on a 10 point scale ("overall satisfaction" and "satisfaction with components of the intervention"), as well as to the answer to "was this project helpful?" (yes, no) and via attendance.

**Seven-Day Physical Activity Recall (PAR)**—The Physical Activity Recall (PAR) is an interview designed to assess total weekly energy expenditure via frequency, intensity, and duration of physical activity over the past week and has been found to be a valid and reliable measure of physical activity in previous research (Sallis et al. 1993). The PAR allows for the calculation of METs (metabolic equivalent tasks), a common metric of physical activity expenditure (Ainsworth et al. 1993), and for the calculation of sedentary activity variables. The PAR was completed by parents and children together at home (Sallis et al. 1993).

**24-hr Dietary Recall**—Children were interviewed by blinded research personnel regarding their eating habits for the past 24 hours using the 24-hr dietary recall. Staff were trained in proper administration techniques by an expert dietitian prior to the start of the study, and standardized food models were provided to all schools for use during the recall. Resulting variables included amount of calorie intake per day, percent of calories from fat,

and the vitamin and mineral composition of foods as well as the timing of meals and meal location. This measure has been shown to be a valid and reliable representation of a child's overall diet in previous research (Frank et al. 1977).

**Body Mass Index (BMI) Percentile**—Based upon their height and weight, each child's BMI was calculated using the Metric formula  $BMI = [Weight \text{ in kilograms} / Height \text{ in cm} / Height \text{ in cm}] \times 10,000$ . Each BMI was then plotted on the appropriate gender chart with age, allowing the computation of each child's BMI percentile. As recommended by the new Expert Committee Guidelines (Barlow et al. 2007), children at or above the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile were considered "overweight" and children at or above the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile were considered "obese." In addition to being recommended as the primary measure of child weight status by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) and the American Academy of Pediatrics, BMI percentile was chosen as our measure of child weight status as current research indicates it may be the best variable for measuring adiposity change in growing children (Cole et al. 2005).

**Height**—All schools were provided with a Nasco Mechanical Stadiometer, Model SB32644G (Fort Atkinson, WI), with built-in leveling bubble and locking headpiece to measure height throughout the study. These stadiometers were checked for accuracy by the nurses on a monthly basis throughout the study and calibrated if necessary. Staff were trained in proper use of a stadiometer prior to data collection, and all heights were taken in triplicate.

**Weight**—All schools were provided with a Nasco 400# capacity Digital Column Scale (Fort Atkinson, WI) to measure weight throughout the study. These scales were checked for accuracy on a monthly basis throughout the study and calibrated if necessary. Staff were trained in proper use of a digital scale prior to data collection, and all weights were taken in triplicate.

## Results

### Feasibility and Satisfaction of TeleMedicine

The provider at the tertiary care center reported that working via TeleMedicine was "optimal" as they only had to travel to a room on their medical campus and from there had the ability to serve families all around their state. There was a slight delay between sites which the provider reported they noticed less with time. One hundred percent of the sessions were carried out as planned with no significant technical problems. One session did start 2 minutes late due to connection difficulties, but these were easily remedied by re-starting the equipment at the base site.

Regarding "overall satisfaction" the parents were highly satisfied ( $M = 8.4, 1.6$ ) and regarding satisfaction with the "components of the intervention" parents were highly satisfied as well ( $M = 8.1, 2.0$ ). One hundred percent of parents reported that the project was helpful, and of note, all parents attended 100% of the sessions.

### Body Mass Index (BMI) Percentile

The mean BMI percentile for children in both groups was 95, indicating that our sample was primarily obese and evenly matched at baseline (TeleMedicine = 95.3, Physician Visit = 95.7). At post (Table 3,  $n = 17$ ), there was little change in BMI for either group (TeleMedicine = 95.7, Physician Visit = 95.5,  $p = .567$ ). No changes were seen at follow-up.

## Nutrition and Exercise Behaviors

Regarding caloric consumption, participants in both groups were consuming approximately 1800 kilocalories per day at baseline (TeleMedicine = 1846.0, Physician Visit = 1845.2). At post, caloric consumption increased for both groups (TeleMedicine = 1995.7, Physician Visit = 1950.1), but this change was not significant ( $p = .848$ ). There were also no significant differences from pre to post for percent calories from fat ( $p = .222$ ), servings of fruits and vegetables ( $p = .900$ ), servings of junk food ( $p = .224$ ) or servings of sugary beverages ( $p = .798$ ). Physical activity increased and sedentary activity decreased for both groups from pre to post although neither was significant ( $p = .974, .381$ ). At follow-up no change was observed in all nutrition and exercise measures. See Table 3 for more information.

## Discussion

The objective of the current study was to conduct a randomized controlled pilot study assessing the feasibility and satisfaction with TeleMedicine for family based obesity treatment and also for comparing a TeleMedicine delivered family-based behavioral program to a primary care visit for improving health among school-age children who are overweight and obese. Data from 17 mother-child pairs who were randomly assigned to either TeleMedicine or Physician Visit conditions indicate that the TeleMedicine intervention was well received and highly feasible and that there were no differences between conditions on major outcome variables.

Data from the current study do indicate, however, that TeleMedicine as a novel intervention technology was extremely feasible and highly satisfactory to participants and to the provider. There were no technological difficulties that interfered with delivery of the intervention services, and the provider reported the technology was easy to use. Families reported they were highly satisfied with the intervention and the technology, and in addition to their self-report of these variables, the 100% attendance rate for all families at all sessions validates this report. When asked what aspects of the intervention they found favorable, parents consistently reported that only having to travel to their child's school for the intervention was very convenient. They reported that they are typically at their child's school almost every day, so having the groups at the school was ideal. Of note, our rural participants were especially enthusiastic about not having to travel to another city or town to receive this type of intervention, something they typically have to do to receive medical or health related care.

Other studies have used TeleMedicine for healthcare intervention. Several have focused on cardiology (Mattioli et al. 1992) and other medical issues (Skalet et al. 2008). Psychiatry has also used TeleMedicine clinically, with positive results (Modai et al. 2006). Previous research has shown that TeleMedicine interventions may improve patient diet, activity levels, and weight management, but this research was retrospective in nature, did not contain a control group and did not address patient satisfaction with the TeleMedicine intervention (Shaikh et al. 2008).

Pediatric obesity treatment via TeleMedicine seems a natural match for several reasons. First, pediatric obesity treatment is mainly done orally, not requiring specific equipment or close proximity of the patient and family to the healthcare provider. Second, data indicate that persons who live in rural areas are underserved in all facets of healthcare, including obesity treatment (Tai-Seale & Chandler 2003), and TeleMedicine allows providers to meet this clinical need without the cost in time and transportation to travel to these patients. Finally, TeleMedicine allows the pediatric obesity treatment programs that do exist to reach more patients who may have difficulty traveling to their programs through the use of

technology. Current literature suggests that, in order to meet the rising health demands of our public, healthcare providers should increase their use of TeleMedicine and other such eHealth options (Streecher 2008).

Clearly, the four-session TeleMedicine intervention did not have the desired clinical impact. Review of successful pediatric obesity interventions indicates that most interventions are three to six months in length (Epstein et al. 2007) with weekly meetings, suggesting our intervention was not nearly powerful enough. In fact, the newest guidelines suggest that 25 hours of face-to-face contact over a 6 month period is the minimum intervention contact recommended for effectiveness regarding pediatric obesity interventions (Whitlock et al. 2010). Therefore, we have revised our intervention and are currently piloting an 8 month intervention via TeleMedicine which shows some promise. Also, our sample size in the current study was extremely small (necessitated by our funding mechanism) which decreases the meaning and impact of our findings.

Future research should include the expansion of the study of TeleMedicine into not only the area of pediatric obesity but also into other areas of pediatric psychology. Our own ongoing research will assess the impact of an improved pediatric obesity intervention being delivered by TeleMedicine, but we plan to expand our program to other eHealth delivery mechanisms, including the internet, CD-ROM, or handheld computers. Without the assistance of these technologies, it will be difficult for the field of pediatric psychology to keep pace with the increasing clinical demand for services.

#### Key messages

- Pediatric obesity is one of the key public health issues today for women and children around the world.
- The most well validated treatment for pediatric obesity is family based behavioral groups conducted on a weekly basis with both parent and child.
- Families who live in rural areas often have a difficult time traveling to family based behavioral group pediatric obesity treatment programs.
- TeleMedicine (interactive televideo) is a relatively low-cost method of delivering pediatric obesity treatment groups to rural families.
- Delivering family based behavioral groups for the treatment of pediatric obesity is not only feasible but families also find it highly satisfactory.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants from The Sunflower Foundation: Healthcare for Kansans, and by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (K23 DK068221). The authors would also like to thank all of our participants, and Drs. Joseph Donnelly and Debra Sullivan for their valuable contributions to the project.

## References

- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, Jacobs DR Jr, Montoye HJ, Sallis JF, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Medicine & Science in Sport & Exercise*. 1993; 25:71–80.
- Barlow SE. the Expert Committee. Expert Committee Recommendations Regarding the Prevention, Assessment, and Treatment of Child and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity: Summary Report. *Pediatrics*. 2007; 120:S164–S192. [PubMed: 18055651]

- Cartwright JC, Menkens R. Student perspectives on transitioning to new technologies for distance learning. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing*. 2002; 20:143–149.
- Cole TJ, Faith MS, Pietrobelli A, Heo M. What is the best measure of adiposity change in growing children: BMI, BMI %, BMI z-score or BMI centile? *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2005; 59:419–425. [PubMed: 15674315]
- Davalos ME, French MT, Burdick AE, Simmons SC. Economic evaluation of telemedicine: review of the literature and research guidelines for benefit-cost analysis. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2009; 15:933–48. [PubMed: 19954346]
- Dietz W. Health consequences of obesity in youth: Childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics*. 1998; 101:518s–525s.
- Epstein LH, Paluch RA, Roemmich JN, Beecher MD. Family-based obesity treatment then and now: twenty-five years of pediatric obesity treatment. *Health Psychology*. 2007; 26:381–391. [PubMed: 17605557]
- Frank GC, Berenson GS, Schilling PE, Moore MC. Adapting the 24-hr. recall for epidemiologic studies of school children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 1977; 71:26–31. [PubMed: 864159]
- Greenberg N, Boydell KM, Volpe T. Pediatric telepsychiatry in Ontario: caregiver and service provider perspectives. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*. 2006; 33:105–111. [PubMed: 16636911]
- Hung SH, Hwang SL, Su MJ, Lue SH, Hsu CY, Chen HL, Chen HS. An evaluation of a weight-loss program incorporating E-learning for obese junior high school students. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2008; 14:783–92. [PubMed: 18954248]
- Mattioli L, Goertz K, Ardinger R, Belmont J, Cox R, Thomas C. Pediatric cardiology: auscultation from 280 miles away. *Kansas Medicine*. 1992; 93:347–350.
- Modai I, Jabarin M, Kurs R, Barak P, Hanan I, Kitain L. Cost effectiveness, safety, and satisfaction with video telepsychiatry versus face-to-face care in ambulatory settings. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2006; 12:515–20. [PubMed: 17042703]
- Moyers SB. Medications as adjunct therapy for weight loss: Approved and off-label agents in use. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 2005; 105:1869.
- Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*. 1999; 23:S2–11. [PubMed: 10340798]
- Ogden CL, Troiano RP, Briefel RR, Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM, Johnson CL. Prevalence of overweight among preschool children in the United States, 1971 through 1994. *Pediatrics*. 1997; 99:E1. [PubMed: 9099776]
- Parsapour K, Smith AC, Armfield N, Marcin JP. Inaugural paediatric telehealth colloquium. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2007; 13:159–61. [PubMed: 17519060]
- Sallis JF, Buono MJ, Roby JJ, Micale FG, Nelson JA. Seven-day recall and other physical activity self-reports in children and adolescents. *Medicine & Science in Sport & Exercise*. 1993; 25:99–108.
- Schiel R, Beltschikow W, Radon S, Kramer G, Schmiedel R, Berndt RD, Stein G. Long-term treatment of obese children and adolescents using a telemedicine support programme. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2008; 14:13–6. [PubMed: 18318923]
- Shaikh U, Cole SL, Marcin JP, Nesbitt TS. Clinical management and patient outcomes among children and adolescents receiving telemedicine consultations for obesity. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2008; 14:434–440. [PubMed: 18578677]
- Skalet AH, Quinn GE, Ying GS, Gordillo L, Dodobara L, Cocker K, et al. Telemedicine screening for retinopathy of prematurity in developing countries using digital retinal images: A feasibility project. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2008 Feb 19. Epub ahead of print.
- Smith AC. Telepaediatrics. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2007; 13:163–6. [PubMed: 17565770]
- Stark O, Atkins E, Wolff OH, Douglas JWB. Longitudinal study of obesity in the National Survey of Health and Development. *British Medical Journal*. 1981; 337:13–17. [PubMed: 6788242]
- Strauss RS. Childhood obesity. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*. 2002; 49:175–201. [PubMed: 11826804]

- Strauss RS, Pollack HA. Epidemic increase in childhood overweight, 1986-1998. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2001; 286:2845–2848. [PubMed: 11735760]
- Strecher V. Internet methods for delivering behavioral and health related interventions (eHealth). *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2008; 3:53–76.
- Tai-Seale, T.; Chandler, C. Rural Healthy People 2010: A companion document to Healthy People 2010 Volume 2. College Station, TX: The Texas A&M University System Health Science Center, School of Rural Public Health, Southwest Rural Health Research Center; 2003. Nutrition and Overweight Concerns in Rural Areas: A Literature Review.
- Taras T, Potts-Datema W. Obesity and student performance at school. *Journal of School Health*. 2005; 75:291–295. [PubMed: 16179079]
- Troiano RP, Flegal KM, Kucumarski RJ, Campbell SM, Johnson CL. Overweight prevalence and trends for children and adolescents: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys 1963 to 1991. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*. 1995; 149:1085–1091.
- Velhote MC, Damiani D, Santoro S. Bariatric surgery in pediatrics – is it time? *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2007; 20:751–761. [PubMed: 17849736]
- Whitaker RC. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *North England Journal of Medicine*. 1997; 337:869–873.
- Whitlock EP, O'Connor EA, Williams SB, Beil TL, Lutz KW. Effectiveness of weight management interventions in children: A targeted systematic review for the USPSTF. *Pediatrics*. 2010 Jan 18. Epub ahead of print.

**Table 1**

## Participant Demographics

Number of Participants	17
Age <i>Mean (SD)</i>	9.85 (.34)
Gender (% female)	58.8
Race (%)	
Caucasian	47.1
African American	47.1
Hispanic	5.9
Family Income (%)	
Not Reported	5.9
0-29,999	41.2
30,000-49,999	41.2
50,000 or above	11.7
Maternal Years of Education (%)	
Partial High School-High School	23.5
Voc/Assoc Degree or Partial College	53.0
4-yr College or Graduate Degree	23.5

**Table 2**

## Behavioral Intervention Topics Covered During Each Session

Meeting Number	Topic		
	Nutritional	Exercise	Behavioral
1	The Research on Breakfast	Planned Exercise Activities – Working out Individually and as Family	The Importance of Tracking and Using Sticker Charts
2	How to eat a Healthy Lunch at School	Incidental Exercise – Burning Calories from Every Day Activity	Differential Attention & How Parents Should Appropriately Use Rewards, and How to Tell if They're Working
3	Eating Dinner as a Family and Keeping it Healthy	Family Exercise –How to Fit it Into Your Schedule	Mealtime Rules – Do you Have Any? Should You Have More?
4	Snack & Meals Away From Home	Individual Exercise – How to Get Your Kids Involved	Privileges, Shaping and Review – The Final Behavioral Points

**Table 3**

Child BMI Percentile, Nutrition and Activity Behaviors at Pre and Post (n=17)

Mean (SD)	Pre	Post	<i>p</i> -value
BMI Percentile			.567
TeleMedicine Group	95.3 (4.1)	95.7 (4.4)	
Physician Visit Group	95.7 (3.1)	95.5 (4.2)	
Energy (Kilocalories)			.848
TeleMedicine Group	1846.0 (257.1)	1995.7 (425.3)	
Physician Visit Group	1845.2 (460.3)	1950.1 (548.0)	
Fruits & Vegetables (servings)			.900
TeleMedicine Group	2.87 (1.83)	1.63 (1.06)	
Physician Visit Group	2.74 (2.57)	1.38 (1.19)	
Sugary Beverages (servings)			.798
TeleMedicine Group	.61 (.99)	.64 (1.30)	
Physician Visit Group	1.59 (.67)	1.83 (1.10)	
Junk Food (servings)			.224
TeleMedicine Group	.47 (.48)	.38 (.51)	
Physician Visit Group	.21 (.43)	.59 (.63)	
Physical Activity (METs)			.974
TeleMedicine Group	48.3 (35.9)	72.5 (45.9)	
Physician Visit Group	36.8 (40.5)	61.9 (32.4)	
Sedentary Activity (min/day)			.381
TeleMedicine Group	137.7 (102.8)	102.2 (88.9)	
Physician Visit Group	214.8 (175.0)	112.1 (89.8)	

SD = Standard Deviation, METs = Metabolic Equivalent Tasks.