

## A SPACE OF SMALL SPREAD WITHOUT THE USUAL PROPERTIES

JUDITH ROITMAN

**ABSTRACT.** A space is found, for any  $\alpha$ , which has spread  $\alpha$  and which is not the set-theoretic union of a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof and a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space.

**Introduction.** At the 1972 Bolyai János Mathematical Society Colloquium, A. Hajnal and I. Juhasz noted that every known Hausdorff space of spread  $\omega$  was the union of a hereditary separable space and a hereditarily Lindelof space. The main result of this paper is a family of counterexamples to a generalization of this situation; the method of proof will also yield, in Lemma 2(c), a family of spaces such that no "large" subspaces are regular.

*Some notational conventions.* If  $X$  is a space, by its topology  $\mathcal{T}$  we mean the family of open sets; if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$ , the topology on  $X$  induced by  $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{A}$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{A}$  under arbitrary union and finite intersection. We write  $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$  for  $X$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ; if  $Y \subset X$ ,  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T} \rangle$  means  $\langle Y, \{u \cap Y : u \in \mathcal{T}\} \rangle$ . Given any set  $S$ ,  $|S|$  denotes the cardinality of  $S$ .

### Statement of results.

**DEFINITION.** Given a topological space  $X$ , we define its spread by

$$\text{sp}(X) = \sup\{|Y| : Y \text{ is a discrete subspace of } X\}.$$

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\alpha$  be any cardinal,  $X$  a space. Then  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -Lindelof iff every open cover of  $X$  has a subcover of cardinality  $\leq \alpha$ . Similarly,  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -separable iff every subspace has a dense set of cardinality  $\leq \alpha$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $X$  be a space,  $P$  any property of topological spaces. Then  $X$  is hereditarily  $P$  iff every subspace of  $X$  has property  $P$ .

We note that if  $X$  is either hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable or hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof,  $\text{sp}(X) \leq \alpha$ .

---

Received by the editors June 12, 1973.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 54C15, 54G20; Secondary 54D99, 54D20, 54D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Spread, Lindelof, separable.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal. Then there exists a Hausdorff space  $X$  of cardinality  $\alpha^+$  such that  $\text{sp}(X)=\alpha$  and  $X$  is not the set-theoretic union of a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof space and a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space.*

**COROLLARY OF PROOF.** *For every cardinal  $\alpha$  there exists a Hausdorff space of cardinality  $\alpha^+$  with no regular subspaces of cardinality  $\alpha^+$ .*

**Construction.** From now on we fix some cardinal  $\alpha$ . The construction proceeds by taking a space  $X$  of cardinality  $\alpha^+$  which is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable and hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof (any  $X \subseteq 2^{\alpha}$ ,  $|X|=\alpha^+$  will do). The points are then thought of as being indexed by the “square” array  $\alpha^+ \times \alpha^+$ . Lemma 1 ensures that no “vertical” or “diagonal” section is Lindelof; Lemma 2 ensures that no “horizontal” section is separable.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $X$  be a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable space under the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , and suppose  $X$  is the disjoint union of  $\alpha^+$  nonempty sets,  $X = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha^+} X_\beta$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be the topology induced on  $X$  by  $\mathcal{T} \cup \{\bigcup_{\beta \leq \gamma} X_\beta : \gamma < \alpha^+\}$ . Then*

(a)  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is not  $\alpha$ -Lindelof; in fact if  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $|\{\beta : Y \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}| = \alpha^+$  then  $Y$  is not  $\alpha$ -Lindelof.

(b)  $\langle X_\beta, \mathcal{T}' \rangle = \langle X_\beta, \mathcal{T} \rangle$  for all  $\beta < \alpha^+$ . Thus if  $X$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof under  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\langle X_\beta, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  will be both hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof and hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable.

(c)  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable.

**PROOF.** (a) Let  $Y$  be as in the hypothesis, and consider the open cover of  $Y$ ,  $\{Y \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \gamma} X_\beta : \gamma < \alpha^+\}$ . Clearly no subfamily of cardinality  $\alpha$  will cover  $Y$ .

(b) Clear.

(c) Let  $Y \subseteq X$ . Let  $A$  be a dense set of cardinality  $\leq \alpha$  for  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ , and let  $\gamma = \sup\{\beta : A \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$ . If  $y \in Y \cap \bigcup_{\beta \geq \gamma} X_\beta$  and  $y \in u \in \mathcal{T}'$  then  $u \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . For  $\beta \leq \gamma$ , let  $A_\beta$  be dense for  $\langle Y \cap X_\beta, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$ ,  $|A_\beta| \leq \alpha$ . Then  $A \cup \bigcup_{\beta \leq \gamma} A_\beta$  is dense for  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  and has cardinality  $\leq \alpha$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $X = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha^+\}$  be a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof space of cardinality  $\alpha^+$  with topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any collection of subsets of  $X$  such that  $|X - A| \leq \alpha$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be the topology induced on  $X$  by  $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{A}$ . Then*

(a)  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof.

(b) If, for all  $\gamma < \alpha^+$ ,  $\{x_\beta : \beta \geq \gamma\} \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is not  $\alpha$ -separable.

(c) If, for all  $\gamma < \alpha^+$ ,  $\{x_\beta : \beta \geq \gamma\} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable, then  $\forall Y \subseteq X$  ( $|Y| = \alpha^+ \rightarrow \langle Y, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is not regular).

**PROOF.** (a) Let  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $B \subset \mathcal{T}'$  be a basic open cover of  $Y$ . We may assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under finite intersection. Then  $\forall b \in B$ ,  $b = u \cap v$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{T} = \{u \in \mathcal{T} : \exists b \in B, \exists v \in \mathcal{A} (b = u \cap v)\}$ ,

and let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a subcover of  $Y$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \alpha$ . Then  $\forall u \in \mathcal{C}, \exists b \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $|u - b| \leq \alpha$ . For each  $u \in \mathcal{C}$ , fix such a  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , calling it  $b_u$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}_u \subset \mathcal{T}'$  cover  $(u - b_u) \cap Y$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}_u| \leq \alpha$ . Then  $\{b_u : u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\} \cup \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{C}_u$  is a subcover of  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}'$  of cardinality  $\alpha$ .

(b) Let  $A \subseteq X, |A| \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\gamma = \sup\{\beta : x_\beta \in A\}$ . Then  $\{x_\delta : \delta > \gamma\}$  is open and  $A \cap \{x_\delta : \delta > \gamma\} = \emptyset$ .

(c) Let  $Y \subseteq X, |Y| = \alpha^+$ . Since  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, we may without loss of generalization, assume that all open sets of  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  have cardinality  $\alpha^+$ . Suppose  $A$  is dense in  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T}' \rangle, |A| \leq \alpha$ . Again, let  $\gamma = \sup\{\delta : x_\delta \in A\}$ . Suppose  $\beta > \alpha$ . Then  $x_\beta$  is not an element of the closed set  $\{x_\delta : \delta \leq \gamma\} = w_\gamma$ . We show that  $x_\beta$  and  $w_\gamma$  cannot be separated by open sets in  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{T}', x_\beta \in u, w_\gamma \subset v$ . Then  $u = u' \cap a, v = v' \cap c$  for some  $u', v' \in \mathcal{T}$ , and  $a, c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $A$  is dense relative to  $\mathcal{T}, u' \cap v' \neq \emptyset$ ; hence  $|u' \cap v'| = \alpha^+$ . But then  $|u \cap v| = |u' \cap v' \cap a \cap c| = \alpha^+$ ; clearly  $u \cap v \neq \emptyset$ .

**PROPOSITION.** *There exists a Hausdorff space  $X$  of spread  $\alpha$  such that if  $X = Y_0 \cup Y_1$  then  $\exists i \exists Z \exists Z' (Z \subseteq Y_i, Z' \subseteq Y_i, Z$  is not  $\alpha$ -separable and  $Z'$  is not  $\alpha$ -Lindelof).*

**PROOF.** Let  $X$  be a hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable, hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof Hausdorff space of spread  $\alpha, X = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha^+} X_\beta$  as in Lemma 1, and suppose each  $X_\beta$  has cardinality  $\alpha^+$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be as in Lemma 1. We list the elements of  $X_\beta$  as  $\{X_\beta^\delta : \delta < \alpha^+\}$  and note that  $\langle X_\beta, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable and hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof. Let  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  be as in Lemma 2(b) for  $X_\beta$ . We construct the topology  $\mathcal{T}^*$  as follows:

Given  $x_\beta^\delta \in X, u \in \mathcal{T}', v \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$  such that  $x_\beta^\delta \in u \cap v$ , the following is a neighborhood basic open set:  $u \cap [v \cup \bigcup_{\rho < \beta} X_\rho]$ .

These sets are closed under finite intersection, hence they form a basis. Let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be the topology they generate. Clearly  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}^* \rangle$  is Hausdorff and has spread  $\geq \alpha$ . We show the spread is  $\alpha$ : Suppose  $Y \subseteq X, |Y| = \alpha^+$ . Then either

- (a)  $\exists Z \subseteq Y$  such that  $|\{\beta : Z \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}| = \alpha^+$ , or
- (b)  $\exists Z \subseteq Y$  such that  $|Z| = \alpha^+$  and for some  $\beta < \alpha^+, Z \subseteq X_\beta$ .

In case (a) we may assume  $|Z \cap X_\beta| \leq 1$  for all  $\beta < \alpha^+$ . Then  $\langle Z, \mathcal{T}^* \rangle = \langle Z, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$  and by Lemma 1,  $Z$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable, hence not discrete. In case (b), by Lemma 2,  $Z$  is hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, hence not discrete. In either case,  $Y$  is not discrete. Now suppose  $X = Y_0 \cup Y_1$ . Suppose  $|\{\beta : Y_0 \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}| < \alpha^+$ . Then letting  $\gamma = \sup\{\beta : Y_0 \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$  we have  $Y_1 \cap X_{\gamma+1}$  which is not  $\alpha$ -separable, and  $\{x_\delta^\beta : \delta > \gamma\}$  is a non- $\alpha$ -Lindelof subspace of  $Y_1$ . So we can assume  $|\{\beta : Y_i \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}| = \alpha^+$  for each  $i$ .

Hence neither  $Y_0$  nor  $Y_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Lindelöf. Consider some  $\delta < \alpha^+$ . Then  $|X_\delta \cap Y_{i_0}| = \alpha^+$  for some  $i_0$ . But then  $X_\delta \cap Y_{i_0}$  is not  $\alpha$ -separable, and this completes the proof.

In closing, we notice that by Lemma 2(c) this space is most definitely not regular; it would be interesting to know if a regular space can satisfy the main theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *On hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Lindelöf and  $\alpha$ -separable spaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math. **11** (1968), 115–124. MR 39 #2124.
2. ———, *A consistency result concerning hereditarily  $\alpha$ -separable spaces*, Proceedings of the Bolyai János Mathematical Society Colloquium on Topology, Keszthely, Hungary, 1972 (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720