

A NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC PRIME SEQUENCES¹

DANIEL KATZ

ABSTRACT. The lengths of all maximal asymptotic prime sequences over an ideal in a local ring are shown to be the same. This number can be calculated in terms of analytic spread and depths of minimal primes in the completion.

Introduction. Let R be a Noetherian ring. For an ideal $I \subseteq R$, let \bar{I} denote its integral closure. In [9] Rees gives the following definitions: An element x is said to be asymptotically prime to I if $(\bar{I}^n : x) = \bar{I}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Elements x_1, \dots, x_k are said to form an asymptotic prime sequence over I if for each $1 \leq j < k$, x_{j+1} is asymptotically prime to (I, x_1, \dots, x_j) . Rees proves that if x_1, \dots, x_k is an asymptotic prime sequence over I in a local ring R , then the analytic spread of I , denoted $a(I)$, satisfies $a(I) \leq \dim R - k$, with equality holding when R is quasinmixed and the given sequence is maximal. The purpose of this note is to show that for any local ring R and ideal $I \subseteq R$, the lengths of all maximal asymptotic prime sequences over I are the same and equals the number

$$s(I) = \min\{\dim R^*/z^* - a(IR^* + z^*/z^*) \mid z^* \text{ is a minimal prime ideal in } R^* \text{ — the completion of } R\}.$$

We accomplish this by showing that the property of being an asymptotic prime sequence is preserved upon passing to the completion and moding out by a minimal prime. In this context the ring is quasinmixed and such sequences are easier to handle.

1.0. The following terminology will be used throughout with little or no subsequent reference.

1.1 *Terminology.* R will always denote a local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal M and completion R^* . R is quasinmixed in case $\dim R^*/z^* = \dim R$ for each minimal prime $z^* \subseteq R^*$. For an ideal $I \subseteq R$, \bar{I} , the integral closure of I , is the set $\{x \in R \mid x^n + i_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + i_n = 0 \text{ for some } n, \text{ with } i_r \in I^r, 1 \leq r \leq n\}$. It is well known that \bar{I} is an ideal of R . $A^*(I)$ will denote the set of prime ideals $\{P \subseteq R \mid P \in \text{Ass}(R/I^k) \text{ for some } k\}$. Thus, elements x_1, \dots, x_k form an asymptotic prime sequence over I iff for each j , $x_{j+1} \notin \bigcup \{P \mid P \in A^*(I, x_1, \dots, x_j)\}$. For an ideal $I \subseteq R$, $R(I)$ will denote $R[I, t^{-1}]$, the Rees ring of R wrt I —a graded subring of

Received by the editors May 21, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C15; Secondary 13H99.

Key words and phrases. Analytic spread, integral closure of an ideal, associated primes.

¹This note forms part of the author's Ph. D. thesis written at the University of Texas at Austin under the supervision of Professor Stephen McAdam.

$R[t, t^{-1}]$, t an indeterminate. Denoting t^{-1} by u , then it is known [6] that $P \in \bar{A}^*(I)$ iff there exists $Q \in \bar{A}^*(uR(I))$ such that $Q \cap R = P$. Furthermore, a prime Q in $R(I)$ is minimal iff there exists a minimal prime $P \subseteq R$ such that $Q = PR[t, t^{-1}] \cap R(I)$. In this case $R(I)/Q \cong R(I + P/P)$, the Rees ring of R/P wrt $I + P/P$. Finally, $a(I)$ will denote the analytic spread of I —the maximal number of elements analytically independent in I (see [5]).

1.2 REMARK. Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 below were given independently by the author in [3] and Professor L. J. Ratliff Jr. in [8]. In [8] Professor Ratliff proves several theorems about asymptotic prime sequences which are analogous to theorems about R -sequences. Lemma 1.6 is extracted from Theorem 2.6 in [9], but is proven directly here for the sake of exposition.

1.3 LEMMA. *Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Let z_1, \dots, z_r be the minimal primes of R . Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^r z_i \subseteq \bigcup \{P \mid P \in \bar{A}^*(I)\}$.*

PROOF. Let z_i be a minimal prime and choose $x \in z_i$. Then there exists $y \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} z_j - z_i$ such that $x \cdot y \in Z = \text{nilradical of } R$. Theorem 7 in [2] implies that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{I}^n = Z$, so that if $x \notin \bigcup \{P \mid P \in \bar{A}^*(I)\}$ then $y \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{I}^n = Z \subseteq z_i$. But this is a contradiction.

1.4 LEMMA. *Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. For a prime $P \subseteq R$, $P \in \bar{A}^*(I)$ iff there exists a prime $P^* \subseteq R^*$ such that $P^* \in \bar{A}^*(IR^*)$ and $P^* \cap R = P$.*

PROOF. See [3 or 8].

1.5 LEMMA. *Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Given a prime $P \subseteq R$, then $P \in \bar{A}^*(I)$ iff there exists a minimal prime $z \subseteq R$ such that $P/z \in \bar{A}^*(I + z/z)$ in R/z .*

PROOF. One direction follows easily from the fact that an element $x \in \bar{I}^k$ iff for each minimal prime $z \subseteq R$, the image of x in R/z is in $(I^k + z/z)$. For the other direction, suppose P, z are primes of R such that z is minimal and $P/z \in \bar{A}^*(I + z/z)$. By 1.4 we may assume that R is complete. Let $R(I)$ be the Rees ring of R wrt I and let $R(I/z)$ be the Rees ring of R/z wrt $I + z/z$. As noted in 1.1, $z_1 = zR[t, t^{-1}] \cap R(I)$ is a minimal prime of $R(I)$ such that $R(I)/z_1 \cong R(I/z)$. Moreover, there exists Q in $R(I/z)$ such that $Q \in \bar{A}^*(u \cdot R(I/z))$ and $Q \cap R/z = P/z$. Since R/z is quasiummixed, 2.3 in [7] implies that Q is minimal over $u \cdot R(I/z)$. Under the above, $\cong Q$ corresponds to a prime $q \subseteq R(I)$ minimal over $u \cdot R(I) + z_1$, which by [3 or 8] implies that $q \in \bar{A}^*(u \cdot R(I))$. Thus $q \cap R = P \in \bar{A}^*(I)$.

1.6 LEMMA (CF. 2.6 IN [9]). *Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Let $x \notin \bigcup \{P \mid P \in \bar{A}^*(I)\}$. Then $a(I, x) = a(I) + 1$.*

PROOF. Let Y be an indeterminate. It is shown in [3] that for a prime $P \subseteq R$, $P \in \bar{A}^*(I)$ iff $PR[Y] \in \bar{A}^*(IR[Y])$. Since $a(I) = a(IR[Y])$, we may therefore pass to the ring $R[Y]_{MR[Y]}$ and assume that R/M is infinite. Under this assumption Northcott and Rees prove in [5] that $a(I) =$ minimal number of generators of a minimal reduction of I . Since their work shows that reductions of I have the same integral closures as I , we may assume that I is generated by $a(I)$ analytically

independent elements. Now, let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and choose $x \notin \cup \{P \mid P \in \bar{A}^*(I)\}$. Suppose f is a form of degree d with $f(x_1, \dots, x_k, x) = 0$. We must show f has all its coefficients in M . Write $f = r_1 N_1 + \dots + r_n N_n$ as an R -linear combination of monomials N_i . Let Q be the ideal $(M, u)R(I)$ in $R(I)$. Since the x_j are analytically independent, Q is a prime ideal and we may localize $R(I)$ at Q . In $R(I)_Q$ we may write $x_i = (x_i \cdot t)u$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x_1, \dots, x_k, x) = f(x_1 t \cdot u, \dots, x_k t \cdot u, x) \\ &= r'_1 u^{d-e_1} x^{e_1} + \dots + r'_n u^{d-e_n} x^{e_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where for each j , r'_j is the element $r_j N'_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) t^{d-e_j}$ of $R(I)_Q$, with $N'_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ such that $N'_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) x^{e_j} = N_j(x_1, \dots, x_k, x)$. Now 1.1 and the choice of x imply that $x \notin \cup \{P \mid P \in \bar{A}^*(u \cdot R(I)_Q)\}$. Furthermore, since primes minimal over $u \cdot R(I)_Q$ belong to $\bar{A}^*(u \cdot R(I)_Q)$, we have that u, x form part of a system of parameters for $R(I)_Q$, and are therefore analytically independent. Thus $r'_k \in Q$ for all j . Collecting terms which correspond to t^{d-e_j} we have a sum of monomials in x_1, \dots, x_k belonging to MI^{d-e_j} . By the analytic independence of the x_i in R , each coefficient lies in M .

1.7 DEFINITION. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. If x_1, \dots, x_k form an asymptotic prime sequence over I , we say that x_1, \dots, x_k form a maximal asymptotic prime sequence over I if $M \in \bar{A}^*(I, x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

1.8 LEMMA. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Let x_1, \dots, x_k form an asymptotic prime sequence over I . Then for every minimal prime $z^* \subseteq R^*$, the images of x_1, \dots, x_k in R^*/z^* form an asymptotic prime sequence over $IR^* + z^*/z^*$. Moreover, if for some z^* , the images of x_1, \dots, x_k in R^*/z^* form a maximal asymptotic prime sequence over $IR^* + z^*/z^*$, then x_1, \dots, x_k form a maximal asymptotic prime sequence over I .

PROOF. By 1.3 the images of x_1, \dots, x_k survive in each R^*/z^* . The result is now clear by 1.4 and 1.5.

1.9 THEOREM. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then the lengths of all maximal asymptotic prime sequences over I are the same and equals the number

$$s(I) = \min\{\dim R^*/z^* - a(IR^* + z^*/z^*) \mid z^* \text{ is a minimal prime in } R^*\}.$$

PROOF. Let x_1, \dots, x_k be a maximal asymptotic prime sequence over I such that k is the least number of elements in such sequences. Let y_1, \dots, y_s be any other maximal asymptotic prime sequence over I . We will show $k = s$. By definition, $M \in \bar{A}^*(I, x_1, \dots, x_k)$. 1.4 implies that $M^* \in \bar{A}^*((I, x_1, \dots, x_k)R^*)$. 1.5 implies that there is a minimal prime $z^* \subseteq R^*$, such that if we write T for R^*/z^* , then $MT \in \bar{A}^*((I, x_1, \dots, x_k)T)$. As T is quasiunmixed (and therefore satisfies the altitude formula), Theorem 3 in [4] implies that $a((I, x_1, \dots, x_k)T) = \dim T$. Since the images of x_1, \dots, x_k in T form an asymptotic prime sequence over IT (by 1.8), 1.6 implies that $a(IT) = \dim T - k$. Beginning with y_1 , 1.6 applied k times in T shows that $a((I, y_1, \dots, y_k)T) = \dim T$. Again Theorem 3 in [4] implies that $MT \in \bar{A}^*((I, y_1, \dots, y_k)T)$ so by 1.8, $k = s$. Finally the argument given indicates clearly that $k \geq s(I)$, and may be repeated to provide a contradiction if $k > s(I)$.

1.10 COROLLARY (CF. [9]). *If x_1, \dots, x_k form an asymptotic prime sequence over I , then $a(I) \leq \dim R - k$ and equality holds when R is quasiunmixed and the sequence is maximal.*

PROOF. Immediate from 1.9 and the following facts:

- (i) $a(I) = a(IR^*)$.
- (ii) There exists a minimal prime $z^* \subseteq R^*$ such that $a(IR^*) = a(IR^* + z^*/z^*)$ (see [1]).

REFERENCES

1. A. L. Burch, *Codimension and analytic spread*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **72** (1973), 365–373.
2. _____, *A theorem on integral closure of ideals in semi-local rings*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), 55–59.
3. D. L. Katz, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 1982.
4. S. McAdam, *Asymptotic prime divisors and analytic spreads*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 555–558.
5. D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reductions of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **50** (1954), 145–158.
6. L. J. Ratliff, *Notes on integrally closed ideals and asymptotic prime divisors*, Pacific J. Math. **91** (1980), 445–456.
7. _____, *Locally quasi-unmixed Noetherian rings and ideals of the principal class*, Pacific J. Math. **52** (1974), 185–205.
8. _____, *Asymptotic sequences* (to appear).
9. D. Rees, *Rings associated with ideals and analytic spreads*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **89** (1981), 423–432.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019