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1. Introduction

This paper discusses some relevant characteristics of adjectives and adjectival agreement in Ibibio, a Lower Cross Niger-Congo language spoken in Nigeria. The existence of a closed class of adjectives in African languages is a point of controversy in the literature. While some authors suggest the existence of a small class of adjectives (Welmers, 1973), other authors have shown that there are African languages with an open adjective class (e.g., Mbum, Tikar, Tigrigna), and among those languages where the class is closed, the number of items ranges from 2 (e.g., Kemantney or Keley) to more than 100 (e.g., Dagbanî) (e.g., Bohnhoff & Kadia, 1991; Delplanque, 1997; Houis, 1977; Olawski, 2004; Segerer, 2008).

One of the main sources of debate comes from the fact that there is not a universal definition of “adjectives”, so it is difficult to determine how many adjectives a language has. Dixon (2004) proposed a definition of adjectives that includes both grammatical as well as semantic criteria. However, most modern scholars prefer using a merely morphosyntactic one, even though the actual criteria differ from one author to another.

Essien (1990) described adjectives in Ibibio, the language studied in the current paper, as being very similar to verbs in syntactic behavior, as both manifest concord with the subject of the sentence, as in (1) and (2), and they can be inflected for tense, as in (3) and (4) below:

(1) ̀ànyé á-yàìyá (ùyàì)12
    3SG 3SG.PRS-prety (beauty)
    ‘She is pretty.’

(2) á-mé á-yàìyá (ùyàì)
    2SG-PRS 3SG.PRS-prety (beauty)
    ‘You are pretty.’

(3) ̀ànyé á-má á-sè á-yàìyá (ùyàì)
    3SG 3SG-PST 3SG-ASPECT 3SG-prety (beauty)
    ‘She used to be pretty.’

---

1 Examples herein are rendered in the popular Ibibio orthography. Ibibio is a tonal language, but tone is not marked orthographically. The tone markers in this paper are as follows: á = high, a = mid, à = low, â = falling, and ã = rising. The glosses used in this paper are as follows: 1=1st person, 2=2nd person, 3=3rd person, SG=singular, PL=plural, OBJ=object, NMLZ=nominalizer, CONJ=conjunction, PST=past, PRS=present, FUT=future, NEG=negative, COMP=complementizer.
2 All the information reported in this paper was elicited from Mfon Udoinyang as part of a field methods class at KU in the spring of 2014.
(4) ànyé á-yà á-yàìyà (ùyài) 3SG 3SG-FUT 3SG-pretty (beauty) ‘She will be pretty.’

However, he also pointed out that verbs and adjectives differ in that only adjectives can be used as nominal modifiers, as in (5), in which case they agree with the noun they modify only in number, as shown in (6).

(5) ádúfá áfòñ new cloth ‘New cloth.’

(6) ñ-dúfá áfòñ PL- new cloth ‘New clothes.’

Kaufman (1969) pointed out in her dissertation that Ibibio adjectives have some freedom in the order in which they occur (p. 192), as we can see exemplified in (7) and (8), even though individual differences may play a role in the preference of one combination over the other. In any case, her arguments suggest that adjective-noun constructions are limited in Ibibio due to the small number of adjectives in the language.


(8) íném [ ádúfá ́n̄wèd] interesting new book ‘A new book which is interesting.’

The current study reviews the claims just outlined regarding Ibibio adjectives, and discusses each point in more detail. The paper is divided into two main sections. Section 2 provides a brief background of the Ibibio language. Section 3 discusses some of the main characteristics of Ibibio adjectives, including tone sandhi, agreement, and word order limitations. The paper ends with a conclusion, which highlights the main points discussed in the paper.

2. Ibibio background

Ibibio belongs to the Lower Cross language group of the East Benue-Congo branch in the Niger-Congo language phylum. Ibibio is spoken in the southern part of Nigeria (see Figure 1) by 1.75-2 million speakers, and it is the official language of Akwa Ibom State in Nigeria.
2.1. Syntax

Ibibio is predominantly a subject-verb-object (SVO) language, which has two options to realize the arguments: overt full pronouns or r-expressions and argument markers. This is the word order of ordinary sentences in which the DPs are present, as in (9)a. However, it also allows some of the DPs to be dropped, in which case the SOV word order surfaces, and the arguments are marked by means of argument markers, as in (0b), as illustrated below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{S} & \quad \text{V} & \quad \text{O} \\
(9) & \quad \text{a. } & \text{ámi} & \text{ m-} & \text{mà} & \text{ á-kòp} & \text{ ikwó} & \\
& & 1SG & 1SG-PAST & 1SG-hear & song & \\
& & \text{‘I heard a song.’} & \\
& \quad \text{b. } & \text{à-} & \text{mé} & \text{ m-} & \text{má} & \\
& & 2SG-SUBJ-PRS & 1SG-OBJ-like & \\
& & \text{‘You like/love me.’} & 
\end{align*}
\]

---
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3 Map by Hel-hama (CC BY-SA 3.0); http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Nigeria_linguistical_map_1979.svg.
2.1.1. Agreement

Ibibio shows agreement between different elements of the sentence, as shown in (10 a-b). In this example, there is agreement between the subject, *Ekpe* and the marker á- that precedes the tense marker, but also between the pronoun *mien* and the 1SG object marker *m-. This agreement does not seem to be restricted to pronominals, but it is also triggered by full DPs. However, a more exhaustive analysis on this point would be required.

(10) a. **Ekpe á-má á-má-má mien**
    Ekpe 3SG.SUBJ-PRS 3SG.SUBJ-1SG.OBJ-like 1SG.OBJ
    ‘Ekpe liked me.’

    b. **á-mi mé-á-má**
    1SG.SUB 3SG.OBJ-like 1SG.OBJ-PRS
    ‘I like Ekpe.’

One problem arises from the existence of a process of vowel hiatus resolution in the language, as a given personal marker may be representing two different persons by means of the segment and the tone used. It is known that some languages try to avoid dissimilar vowel sequences (arising as a result of morpheme concatenation), by means of diphthong formation, consonant epenthesis, vowel deletion, glide formation, and vowel height coalescence (Ngunga, 2000; Pulleyblank, 2003; McCarthy & Prince, 2004). This process is known as hiatus resolution. Table 1 represents the subject pronouns, and personal markers in Ibibio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Pronoun</th>
<th>Personal marker (agreement)</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ámi</td>
<td>Nasal4</td>
<td>1st person singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>afö</td>
<td>à</td>
<td>2nd person singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>òfö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ènyé</td>
<td>á</td>
<td>3rd person singular5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ânyé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñnyin</td>
<td>í</td>
<td>1st person plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñnyèn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndùfö</td>
<td>è</td>
<td>2nd person plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mbùfö</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ômmô</td>
<td>è</td>
<td>3rd person plural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Subject pronouns and personal markers in Ibibio.

4 It is not clear from the evidence analyzed so far what the personal marker representing the first person singular may be. Two options may exist: (1) that the personal marker has two allomorphs (/á/ and /à/), or that it is representation by means of compensatory lengthening. The language only has one morpheme, /á/, but in certain contexts, the nasal is dropped and the previous vowel /a/ is lengthened and adopts the high tone.
5 No evidence has been attested of whether this pronoun could also be used to translate “it”.
Table 2 represents the object pronouns in Ibibio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Pronoun</th>
<th>Personal marker (agreement)</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mìén</td>
<td>High tone</td>
<td>1st person singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fién</td>
<td>á</td>
<td>2nd person singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ènyé</td>
<td>á</td>
<td>3rd person singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñnyén</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>1st person plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndúfo</td>
<td>è</td>
<td>2nd person plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mbúfo</td>
<td>é</td>
<td>3rd person plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>òmmó</td>
<td>é</td>
<td>3rd person plural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Object pronouns in Ibibio.

Ibibio shows hiatus resolution, and it is particularly problematic, because many of the subject/object markers are realized as vowels and, in many cases, only one argument surfaces before the verb:

(11) a. Ekpe á-i-diòñó (ìnyin)  
Ekpe 3SG.SUBJ-1PL.OBJ-know 1PL  
‘Ekpe knows us.’

b. Ekpe i-diòñó (ìnyin)  
Ekpe 1PL.OBJ-know 1PL  
‘Ekpe knows us.’

c. Ekpe á-diòñó (ìnyin)  
Ekpe 3SG.SUBJ-know 1PL  
‘Ekpe knows us.’

d. Ekpe *á-i-diòñó (ìnyin)  
Ekpe 3SG.SUBJ-1PL.OBJ-know 1PL  
‘Ekpe knows us.’

The examples in (11) show how Ibibio requires an argument marker to be prefixed to the verb, but that only one can surface when both happen to be vowels. Either the object marker, as in ((11)b) or the subject marker ((11)c) are acceptable, but not both (as in ((11)d)).

3. Adjectives

3.1. Defining adjectives
As described in the introduction, there is not a universal definition of “adjectives”. This makes it difficult to determine what should be considered to be an adjective. The current study includes all elements that Ibibio employs to qualify other nouns. This classification includes nouns that work as adjectives, and inflected verbs that have been converted into adjectives so as to qualify other elements in the sentence. It was particularly difficult to determine whether a real class of adjectives exists in the language. However, this discrepancy and difficulty is not limited to Ibibio. It has been attested in other African languages as, for example, Madugu (1976) pointed out for Yoruba (a Niger-Congo language spoken in Nigeria and Benin), that both verbs and adjectives are merged or in the process of merging.

When undergoing adjectivization, Ibibio verbs attach a prefix, which indicates the new category of the word. This prefix may be a [nasal] (which would undergo place assimilation to the following consonant) or a [vowel] (which evidence seems to suggest to be /a/), as shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Derived Adjective</th>
<th>English Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sóñ</td>
<td>á-sóñ</td>
<td>‘to be old’ – ‘old’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dát</td>
<td>ŋ-dát</td>
<td>‘to be ripe’ – ‘red’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fíá</td>
<td>à-fíá</td>
<td>‘to become white’ – ‘white’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fón</td>
<td>m-fón</td>
<td>‘to be good’ – ‘good, elegant’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Some examples of verbs and their derived adjectives.

With some exceptions in the language, such as ‘old’, Ibibio shows tonal polarity. Tonal polarity is a markedness constraint, which claims that roots and suffixes have opposite tones (Kenstowicz et al. 1988). In all the examples attested so far, the prefix tone seems to be the tonal opposite of the tone that first appears on the verb.

3.2. Types of adjectives

Adjectives in Ibibio can be attributive, appearing before the noun, as shown in (12), predicative, appearing after the noun they modify, as in (13), and postpositive adjectives, as in (14).

(12) ádúfá Ṿwèd
    new book
    ‘a new book’

(13) Ṿwèd ádó/ódó á-dò á-dúfá
    book that 3SG-be 3SG-new
    ‘That book is new.’

(14) ſkpó ítìé étó
    sitting place wood
    ‘A wooden chair.’
    Literally ‘A chair of wood’
Notice that predicative adjectives do not occur immediately after the noun. Instead, they follow a verb. However, in those instances in which the adjective is derived from a verb, as for example àfíá (‘white’), which derives from fíá (‘to become white’), the predicative adjective immediately follows the noun it modifies. Example (15(a,b)) shows this pattern as well as the possibility of the adjective being reduplicated. When reduplication occurs, it is to be interpreted as transmitting emphasis.

(15) a. éwá ìfíá (áfíá)
   dog white
   ‘The dog is white.’

   b. *ǎfíá (áfíá) éwá
      white dog
      Intended: ‘The dog is white.’

When two or more adjectives are used to modify a given noun, they work as cumulative adjectives in Ibibio. These adjectives do not separately modify the noun that follows. Instead, the adjective right before the noun pairs with the noun as a unit, and the adjective before that unit modifies that. This pattern was claimed to exist based on the semantic interpretations that the different sentences elicited had. Examples (16), (17), (18), (19) and (20) show this pattern.

(16) ádúfá [íném ǹwèd]
   new interesting book
   ‘A new, interesting book.’

(17) íném [ádúfá ǹwèd]
   interesting new book
   ‘An interesting, new book.’

(18) *íném [ǹwèd ádúfá]
   interesting book new
   ‘An interesting, new book.’

(19) *ádúfá [ǹwèd íném]
   new book interesting
   ‘A new, interesting book.’

(20) *ǹwèd [ádúfá íném]
    book new interesting
    ‘A book which is new and interesting.’

Notice that, even though the phrases in the previous examples are translated into English as an ordering of coordinate adjectives modifying the noun, in Ibibio the first adjective always modifies the adjective-noun combination. The interpretation of (19) is, then, “an interesting book which is new”.
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3.3. Tone sandhi

Ibibio has the level tones low (L), and high (H), together with rising (LH) and falling (HL) tones. Both simple (L, H) and complex (LH, HL) tones are found in citation forms, as in (21), (22), (23), and (24) respectively.

(21) dép
    ‘To rain’  
    \textit{Low tone (L)}

(22) dép
    ‘To buy’  
    \textit{High tone (H)}

(23) mfrē
    ‘Brain’  
    \textit{Rising (LH)}

(24) idēm
    ‘Masquerade’  
    \textit{Falling (HL)}

When different elements are combined into larger units, the forms described above show tonal changes. Comparing with the citation form marked in (25) and (26), compounds as in (27) and (28) or adjective-noun combinations as in (29) and (30) show this tonal change. Note that the vowel ē appears in (27), when the citation form was either ŏ or à (as in 25). This vowel is indicating an example of vowel harmony in the language.

(25)  ŏfōn / āfōn
    ‘cloth’

(26)  ēkā
    ‘mother’

(27)  ākim ēfōn
    ‘tailor’

(28)  ēfīk ēkā
    ‘mother’s hernia’

(29)  ādūfā āfōn
    new clay
    ‘new cloth’

(30)  ādūfā ākā
    new mother
    ‘new mother’

The pattern seems to be consistent, in that it is the second element of the phrase that undergoes a tonal change, and it is always the tone of the first syllable that is lowered. Examples
As described above, Ibibio allows both attributive and postpositive adjective. In certain cases, the same adjective may work as either an attributive or a postpositive adjective. However, sometimes there is a change in meaning depending on whether the adjectives appear before or after the noun. This double ordering may be limited to adjectival phrases with a “negative” meaning, as those in (36) and (37). The examples (34) and (35) represent the citation forms of the nouns involved in this adjective-noun combinations.

(34) ímá
   ‘eye’

(35) ényén ímá
    loving eyes
    ‘loving eyes’

(36) inó
    ‘thief’

(37) àkák
    ‘money’

(38) inó àkák
Noun + Noun
    thief money
    ‘thief of money’

(39) àkák inó
Noun + Noun
    money thief
    ‘money that was stolen’

Notice that in examples (36) and (37), the tonal change that both forms undergo is different than the one we saw in the previous examples. While in the combination of two forms to create a compound or an adjectival phrase, the tone of the first syllable is always low (as in (26)-(35)), in
this case we observe that it is high. It seems to be the negative semantics of the adjectival that seems to drive this tonal pattern. However, this aspect should be analyzed in more detail in future studies, as no other instance of a negative combination of nouns was elicited.

3.4. Agreement

As described in the introduction, Essien (1990) described adjectives in Ibibio as being very similar to verbs in syntactic behavior, as both manifest concord with the subject of the sentence. The paradigm in (40) to (44) represents this pattern of agreement. Notice that in (41), (42), (43), (44), and (45), the adjective agrees with the following noun ‘beauty’. This explains why it triggers third person singular, instead of (or in addition to) the person marked as the subject. The present of one or the two possible agreements depends on the speakers desire, as any option is perfectly grammatical an understandable. However, the speaker preferred in these cases the agreement with the following noun.

\begin{align}
(40) & \text{mè-á-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 1SG-PRS \quad 3SG-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘I am pretty.’}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(41) & \text{à-mè á-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 2SG-PRS \quad 3SG-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘You are pretty.’}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(42) & \text{(ànyé) á-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 3SG-PRS \quad 3SG-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘He/she is pretty/handsome.’}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(43) & \text{i-mè i-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 1PL-PRS \quad 1PL/3SG-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘We are pretty/handsome.’}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(44) & \text{è-mè é-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 2PL-PRS \quad 2PL/3SG-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘You (pl) are pretty/handsome.’}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(45) & \text{(òmmò) é-yàìyá (ùyàì)} \\
& 3PL-PRS \quad 3SG/PL-pretty (beauty) \\
& \text{‘They are pretty/handsome.’}
\end{align}

Essien (1990) also pointed out that adjectives do not only perform traditional modifying functions but also exhibit certain syntactic behaviors like verbs. Such attributes include the adjectives ability to take inflectional properties which mark categories such as person, negation, tense and aspectual interpretation of sentences. The paradigm that is presented in (46) to (51) for the past tense, and (54) to (59) for the future tense, show some of these patterns. Recall that the agreement normally is triggered by the last noun (‘beauty’).
Notice that in some of the examples before, there is agreement in the third person singular (e.g., –á– in á-se in example (47)). After comparing the sentences with the structure in (52) and (53), we hypothesized that this third person marker may agree with ùyàì (beauty), which is optional and preferable, not present in the sentence.

(52) m-má á-sè má-féhé itók
1SG-PAST 3SG-ASPECT 1SG-run (race)
‘I used to run a race’

(53) m-má n-sè má-féhé itók
1SG-PAST 1SG-ASPECT 1SG-run (race)
‘I used to run a race’

(54) n-yá á-yáiyá (ùyàì)
1SG-FUTURE 3SG-pretty (beauty)
‘I will be pretty.’

---

6 It is the tone what marks the first person in the two nasals used to represent the first person singular.
7 It is not clear at this point what the word ‘sè’ means in these cases.
(55) à-yá á-yāyá (ùyàì)
2SG-FUTURE 2SG/3SG-pretty (beauty)
‘You will be pretty.’

(56) á-yá á-yāyá (ùyàì)
3SG-FUTURE 3SG-pretty (beauty)
‘He/she will be pretty/handsome.’

(57) i-yá i-yāiyá (ùyàì)
1PL-FUTURE 1PL-pretty (beauty)
‘We will be pretty/handsome.’

(58) è- yá é-yāiyá (ùyàì)
2PL-FUTURE 2PL/3SG-pretty (beauty)
‘You (pl) are pretty/handsome.’

(59) é-yá é-yāiyá (ùyàì)
3SG/PL-FUTURE 3SG/PL-pretty (beauty)
‘They will be pretty/handsome.’

Essien (1990) also pointed out that verbs and adjectives differ in that only adjectives can be used as nominal modifiers. In this case, Ibibio adjectives are inflected to mark plural, while the nouns they modify are uninflected for number. Three different plural markers are attested in Ibibio. The plural morpheme {n}₈, working as a prefix, disagrees with Essien (1990:91) that in Ibibio, “the plural morpheme is not affixal”. The pattern is exemplified in example (61). It is not clear at this point, whether the m is (60) is also representing plurality or just working as a nominalizer, and the vowel lengthening in the adjective is the one carrying the plural meaning.

(60) m-fóón étó
NMLZ-be.pretty.PL tree-SG
‘beautiful trees’

(61) n-tì-òwò
PL-good-man
‘good men’

Given that number agreement, in these cases, only surfaces as a prefix of the adjective, we can assume that it originates higher than the noun phrase itself in the tree structure (otherwise it would appear as a suffix), as shown in (62).

(62) *tì-òwò-ù
good-man- PL
‘good men’

---

8 Represented between brackets to show that this would be the underlying form that may undergo place assimilation as described earlier.
Figure 2 provides a representation of adjectival agreement in Ibibio, using (61) as an illustration of such.

\[
\text{NP}_1 \quad \text{NumP} \quad \triangle \quad n- \quad \text{AdjP} \quad \text{NP}_2 \quad \triangle \quad \text{ti} \quad \text{NP}_3 \quad \triangle \quad \hat{\text{o}}\hat{w}\hat{o}
\]

**Figure 2:** Representation of agreement in Ibibio adjectives.

Even though not enough evidence has been found to refute this finding, we can draw the conclusion that in Ibibio, inflectional morphemes (which may undergo phonological changes, such as nasal place assimilation) are the first element in the word structure. This finding contrasts with English, in which the inflectional morpheme is always the last element in the word structure (e.g., *directions*, where *-ion* is a derivational suffix, *-s* is an inflectional suffix, and *direct* is the base or root). This would be in line with Essien (1990:74), who remarks that:

“In Ibibio, inflectional morphemes are characteristically prefixes, while derivational morphemes are typically suffixes.”

Some plural nouns in Ibibio are indicated syntactically—through the use of the plural marker *ǹmè*, as shown in the phrase in (63). This plural marker also surfaces in adjective-noun combinations (as in (64), (65), and (66)), in particular in cases in which the sentence would otherwise be ambiguous (given that the adjective is not marked with the plural prefix, as in (66)). Another possibility consistent with the word order in Ibibio would be that the plural marker is marking (attaching) to the adjective in these cases. Further evidence should be elicited in order to provide further support for one or the other hypothesis.

(63) ǹmè ̀afon\n
  PL-MARKER cloth
  ‘Clothes’

(64) ǹm-úfá ̀afon\n
  PL-MARKER-new cloth
  Connected speech: ‘New clothes’

(65) ǹmè úyài úbóìkpà\n
  PL-MARKER pretty girl
  ‘Pretty girls’

Ibibio has a rule by means of which verbs which have CVC structure make the plural by removing the last consonant and inserting a vowel instead. Evidence elicited so far seems to
indicate that the inserted vowel is /ì/. However, more data would be required in order to provide a more accurate description of this inflection. Table 4 shows some examples of this pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb in Singular</th>
<th>Verb in Plural</th>
<th>English Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diòk</td>
<td>diòì</td>
<td>‘to be bad’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wàk</td>
<td>wàì</td>
<td>‘to tear’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Example of verbs that undergo a root change to form the plural.

When these verbs are used as adjectives, the same pattern emerges, as shown in (66) and (67):

(66) idiók ŋkpò itié
bad sitting place
‘Bad chair’

(67) ŋ-dióí ŋkpò itié
PL-bad sitting place
‘Bad chairs’

The last form of marking the plural in adjectives corresponds to a lengthening of the vowel in the adjective. This form of marking the plural is limited to adjectives that have been derived from verbs (as shown in examples (68) to (71)). Notice that, in this case, the agreement seems to be optional (as shown in (69) and (70)), as the subject marker already provides the plural meaning.

(68) (ènyé) á-sòñ
3SG-SUBJ 3SG-be old
‘He/she is old.’

(69) (òmmò) è-sòñ
3PL-SUBJ 3PL-be old
‘They are old.’

(70) (òmmò) è-sóóñ
3PL-SUBJ 3PL-be old
‘They are old.’

(71) (mìmè) m-fójón ibàn
PL-MARKER ADJ-be pretty girl
‘Beautiful girls’

Examples in (69) and (70) also show another characteristic of Ibibio’s adjectives. Agreement in adjectives has also been observed between the predicate adjective and its subject, as observed in (69), while a plural adjective with vowel lengthening following a singular noun is ungrammatical, as exemplified in (72).
Even though number agreement in adjectives has been elicited in Ibibio, no other forms of agreement have been reported. For example, no gender agreement (neither grammatical nor of person) has been attested (as shown in (73), (74), and (75)). This is consistent with the fact that overt pronouns in Ibibio are genderless (e.g., the pronoun ènyé in (72) could be interpreted either as he or she depending on the context). No evidence for other types of adjectival agreement have reported during elicitations (e.g., case agreement).

(72) (ènyé)  á-*sòñ
   3SG-SUBJ  3SG-be old
   ‘He/she are old.’

(73) (ènyé)  á-sòñ
   3sg-subj  3sg-subj-be old
   ‘He/she is old.’

(74) ákán  édèn
     old    man
     ‘old man’

(75) ákán  ñwán
     old    woman
     ‘old woman’

Essien (1974:53-57) manifested the similarities between adjectives and verbs in Ibibio and proposed a system of feature specifications that would account for the differences of these two elements. He considered that both verbs and adjectives should belong to a bigger category called verbal.

As shown in Table 5, both verbs and adjectives have in common the feature [+VB], which indicates that both belong to the verbal category. However, they differ in their realization of the [+Adj] feature, present for adjectives, but absent for verbs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Specifications</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>English Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[+VB, -Adj]</td>
<td>kà</td>
<td>‘to go’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[+VB, -Adj]</td>
<td>nèk</td>
<td>‘to dance’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[+VB, +Adj]</td>
<td>àfiá</td>
<td>‘white’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[+VB, +Adj]</td>
<td>mfôn</td>
<td>‘good, elegant’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5:** Example of Verbs and Adjectives with their Corresponding Feature Specifications.

### 3.5. Order of adjectives

As pointed out by Kaufman (1969), strings of several adjectives are rare and considered to be unnatural in Ibibio, so it is difficult to discover what sequences and orders are permissible. The current study has elicited combinations of two adjectives, to determine whether any restrictions in permissible orders were attested. As shown in examples (76) to (81) Ibibio adjectives have some freedom in the order in which they occur.
(76) ádúfá [ iném ǹwèd]
   new interesting book
   ‘a new book which is interesting’

(77) iném [ ádúfá ǹwèd]
   interesting new book
   ‘an interesting book which is new’

(78) ákàn [ ékàmbà ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   old big sitting place
   ‘an old chair which is big’

(79) ékàmbà [ ákàn ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   big old sitting place
   ‘a big chair which is old’

(80) ákàn [ ǹkpò ǹdík ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   old thing impressive sitting place
   ‘an old chair which is incredible’

(81) ǹkpò ǹdík [ ákàn ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   thing impressive old sitting place
   ‘an incredible chair which is old’

However, postpositive adjectives show a different pattern, as no free order is possible, as shown in examples (82)-(83) and (84)-(85). Similar to compound nouns in Ibibio, postpositive adjectives only allow a word order. In these cases it seems that it is the element to the left the one that carries the semantic meaning, by working as the head of the adjectival phrase.

(82) ákàn [ ǹkpò ǹtíé étò]
   old sitting place wood
   ‘an old, wooden chair’

(83) *étò [ ákàn ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   wood old sitting place
   Intended: ‘an old, wooden chair’
   Meaning: ‘wood from an old chair’

(84) ákàn [ ǹkpò ǹtíé Ibibio]
   old sitting place Ibibio
   ‘an old, Ibibio chair’

(85) *Ibibio [ ákàn ǹkpò ǹtíé]
   Ibibio old sitting place
   Intended: ‘an old, Ibibio chair’
When we want to convert these sequences of adjectives and nouns into the plural, we observe that, as shown in examples (86) to (93), the plural marker appears on both adjectives. In fact, examples (88) and (89) show how these forms are ungrammatical when one of the plural markers is dropped (the same holds true for the rest of the examples in the paradigm).

(86) (mìmè) ń-kàn [ ŋkpó itié]
   PL PL-old PL-big sitting place
   ‘old, big chairs’

(87) (mìmè) ń-kpón [ ŋkpó itié]
   PL PL-big PL-old sitting place
   ‘old, big chairs’

(88) (mìmè) *á-kàn [ ŋkpó itié]
   PL SG-old PL-big sitting place
   ‘old, big chairs’

(89) (mìmè) *á-kpón [ ŋ-kàn ŋkpó itié]
   PL SG-big PL-old sitting place
   ‘old, big chairs’

(90) (mìmè) ŋ-kàn [ m̀fóón ŋkpó itié]
   PL PL-old PL-elegant sitting place
   ‘old, elegant chairs’

(91) (mìmè) m̀fóón [ ŋ-kàn ŋkpó itié]
   PL NMLZ-elegant PL-old sitting place
   ‘old, elegant chairs’

(92) (mìmè) ŋ-kàn [ ŋ-diòi ŋkpó itié]
   PL PL-old PL-bad sitting place
   ‘old, bad chairs’

(93) (mìmè) ŋ-diòi [ ŋ-kàn ŋkpó itié]
   PL NMLZ-bad PL-old sitting place
   ‘old, bad chairs’

Again, we can observe that postpositive adjectives pattern differently, as the plural marker is only instantiated in the attribute adjective, as shown in examples (86)-(87) and (88)-(89). Again, the word order is limited to the version in which the postpositive adjectives appear after the noun they modify.

(94) (mìmè) ŋ-kàn [ ŋkpó itié étò]
   PL PL-old sitting place wood
   ‘old, wooden chairs’
4. Conclusion

The current study discusses in some detail some of the major claims regarding Ibibio adjectives. In line with previous research within the domain of adjectives in Ibibio, it seems to be the case that adjectives and verbs in the language are very similar in syntactic behavior, as both manifest concord with the subject of the sentence, and they can be inflected for tense. However, they also differ in that only adjectives can be used as nominal modifiers, in which case they agree with the noun they modify only in number. Essien’s (1974) discussion on Ibibio adjectives, a system of feature specifications was suggested to account for the differences between verbs and adjectives. While both elements seem to have in common the feature [+VB], which indicates that both belong to the verbal category, they should differ in their realization of the [+Adj] feature, present for adjectives, but absent for verbs.

The paper also discusses the presence of tone sandhi in Ibibio, when citation forms are combined into larger units. The tonal change seems to be consistent, in that it is the second element of the phrase the one that undergoes a tonal change, and it is always the tone of the first syllable the one that changes. While in compounds there seems to be lowering, in negative forms this tone is in fact raised. Word order was also described in the current study. It had been suggested (Essien, 1974) that adjective-noun constructions are limited in Ibibio due to the small number of adjectives in the language, and that they show some freedom in the order in which they occur. The current study shows the same pattern. However, this claim is a little bit restrictive because, as shown here, it is true that attribute adjectives show free word order. However, postpositive adjectives do seem to show a more fixed order.

In the future, a further look at the adjectives in the language would be necessary to understand some of the key aspects of adjectivization in Ibibio, including detailed analysis of agreement, tone sandhi and word order restrictions.
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