Prospects of the WSR-88D Radar for Cloud Studies
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ABSTRACT

Sounding of nonprecipitating clouds with the 10-cm wavelength Weather Surveillance Radar-1988 Doppler (WSR-88D) is discussed. Readily available enhancements to signal processing and volume coverage patterns of the WSR-88D allow observations of a variety of clouds with reflectivities as low as $-25$ dBZ (at a range of 10 km). The high sensitivity of the WSR-88D, its wide velocity and unambiguous range intervals, and the absence of attenuation allow accurate measurements of the reflectivity factor, Doppler velocity, and spectrum width fields in clouds to ranges of about 50 km. Fields of polarimetric variables in clouds, observed with a research polarimetric WSR-88D, demonstrate an abundance of information and help to resolve Bragg and particulate scatter. The scanning, Doppler, and polarimetric capabilities of the WSR-88D allow real-time, three-dimensional mapping of cloud processes, such as transformations of hydrometeors between liquid and ice phases. The presence of ice particles is revealed by high differential reflectivities and the lack of correlation between reflectivity and differential reflectivity in clouds in contrast to that found for rain. Pockets of high differential reflectivities are frequently observed in clouds; maximal values of differential reflectivity exceed 8 dB, far above the level observed in rain. The establishment of the WSR-88D network consisting of 157 polarimetric radars can be used to collect cloud data at any radar site, making the network a potentially powerful tool for climatic studies.

1. Introduction

Clouds cycle water and energy through the atmosphere and play a vital role in determining the global radiation balance. A large amount of cloud data has been obtained with millimeter-wavelength radars. The use of short wavelengths makes it possible to achieve a very high level of spatial resolution with relatively small antennas and to provide good detectability of non-precipitating clouds. Kollias et al. (2007) recently published a review of ground-based cloud radars and their applications (see also Hamazu et al. 2003; Manheimer et al. 2003; Widener and Mead 2004). Continuously running millimeter-wavelength cloud radars (MMCRs), operated by the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program (ARM), have vertically pointed beams so they obtain cloud parameters above the radars. There are two types of MMCRs, which we will be referring to as MMCR-8 and MMCR-3: the first operates at an 8-mm
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wavelength and the second at a 3-mm wavelength. Retrievals of cloud parameters using MMCRs can be found in Kropfli and Kelly (1996), Clothiaux et al. (1995), Kollias et al. (2001), Matrosov et al. (1992, 2002), and Reinking et al. (2002), among others.

In April 2006, the Cloud Profiling Radar (CPR) was put into orbit as part of the CloudSat mission. The CPR is a 3-mm-wavelength radar developed in order to collect global data from clouds (Stephens et al. 2002). The CPR has demonstrated unique capabilities for cloud mapping, but its data have severe limitations in spatial resolution, the number of measurement parameters, and update time. These limitations will be difficult to overcome in the foreseeable future.

The National Weather Service (NWS) operates a network of 10-cm-wavelength WSR-88Ds; the network has 157 units. This network has been designed to monitor severe weather and precipitation. As such, existing radar volume coverage patterns (VCPs) have been optimized for those purposes. The maximum elevation angle of the VCPs is about 20° and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) threshold for reflectivity displays is typically set to 2 dB. All of these factors constructively combine to inhibit the detection of echoes from nonprecipitating clouds. We show that the high-power transmitters, low-noise receivers, and readily available enhancements to signal processing give the WSR-88D an inherent capability to detect a variety of nonprecipitating clouds.

Miller et al. (1998) arrived at mixed results when comparing cloud detections using a WSR-88D from the NWS network and those detections obtained with a vertically pointed 3-mm-wavelength cloud radar. Both radars detected clouds up to 80% of the time during a 2-month campaign; sometimes, the WSR-88D registered echoes that had not been registered with the 3-mm-wavelength radar and vice versa. Miller et al. show that significant obstacles impair the collection of cloud data with a WSR-88D using available algorithms. But we were able to operate the National Severe Storms Laboratory’s (NSSL’s) Research and Development WSR-88D in a mode that overcame many of these obstacles to demonstrate the inherent capabilities of this radar for cloud measurements. Furthermore, we discuss how upcoming polarimetric upgrades to the WSR-88D can help resolve some of the ambiguities that plagued the measurements made by Miller et al. (1998).

In section 2, we compare the theoretical performance of the NSSL WSR-88D (i.e., KOUN, located in Norman, Oklahoma) with that obtained with the MMCRs being used for continuous data collection [i.e., ARM’s MMCR-8 and MMCR-3, and the CPR operated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)]. We also compare cloud observations made with a network WSR-88D to those made at KOUN (i.e., a polarimetric WSR-88D). Polarimetric capabilities will be added to the network of WSR-88Ds in the near future. In section 3, we present the first results of polarimetric radar observations in nonprecipitating clouds conducted at KOUN. We also demonstrate the importance of low attenuation rates for quantitative cloud measurements. In section 4, we discuss how dual-wavelength polarimetric data can help to resolve the relative contributions from incoherent and coherent scatter.

2. KOUN signal processing for cloud observations

WSR-88Ds are operated in two surveillance modes: “clear air” and “precipitation.” The clear-air mode is used in fair weather to monitor the possible development of precipitation. In this mode, a microwave pulse of longer length (4.5 μs) is transmitted to improve the detection of weak echoes. Upon detecting echoes above a selected reflectivity factor threshold, the radar automatically switches over to the precipitation mode that uses the shorter-length pulse (1.57 μs). To stipulate cloud detection capabilities, two parameters are most significant: 1) the reflectivity factor $Z_{10}$ that produces an echo SNR = 0 dB at a range of 10 km and 2) range resolution, $\Delta R$. The $Z_{10}$ in the precipitation mode is $-21.5$ dBZ (Doviak and Zrnić 2006, Table 3.1); in the clear-air mode sensitivity is 9.5 dB better but $\Delta R$ is about 750 m. So there is the likelihood that the number of scatterers will not increase proportionally with $\Delta R$, a required condition necessary to achieve the 9.5-dB sensitivity increase. This condition is less likely to be met for observations of thin cloud layers at high-elevation angles. For this reason, we have restricted our observations to the short pulse mode.

To enhance quantitative measurements of clouds, the following data collection and signal processing procedures were used:

1) longer dwell times (i.e., 128 samples spaced about 1 ms apart, about 3 times that typically used by the NWS) to improve the accuracy of the measurements,
2) smaller elevation increments (i.e., 0.25° instead of 1°) to improve the accuracy and number of measurements,
3) twice the range sampling rate, also to improve accuracy,
4) a noise speckle remover to reduce the occurrence of false echoes,
5) correlation estimators for polarimetric variables to lessen noise effects,
6) data collection at elevations higher than 20° to observe clouds at close range, and
7) ground clutter filters at all elevations to remove many artifacts.

Procedures 1–5 improve the accuracy of the presented data and provide images that are less cluttered by noise and artifacts. We collected cloud data at elevations as high as 60°, the elevation limit for the WSR-88D. These data collection and processing procedures allow clear data presentations at SNRs as low as 27 dB. Herein, we present radar images as vertical cross sections or range–height indicators (RHIs).

At the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 1280 Hz, 24 seconds to complete one RHI with procedures 1–7 above. For the KOUN radar, \( Z_{10} \), presented in the nonpolarimetric mode, is about 21.5 dBZ (Table 1). Radar products from the network WSR-88D are generated if the SNR is larger than 2 dB. Thus, we present reflectivity data 9 dB lower than that typically used by the NWS. The standard deviation (i.e., SD\( [Z \) dBZ\]) of the reflectivity factor estimate for a radar resolution volume (i.e., \( 1° \times 0.25 \) km) producing an SNR of \(-7 \) dB is \( \text{SD}(Z) = 4.34/(M^{1/2}\text{SNR})[1 + 2\text{SNR} + \text{SNR}^2/(2\sigma_{\text{sw}}\pi^{1/2})]^{1/2} \text{ dBZ} \), where \( \sigma_{\text{sw}} = \sigma_{\nu}/(2\nu_{\text{a}}) \) and \( \nu_{\text{a}} \) is the unambiguous velocity (Doviak and Zrnić 2006; section 6.3.1.2). For an SNR = 0.2 \((-7 \) dB), \( \sigma_{\nu} = 1 \) m s\(^{-1} \), and \( M = 768 \), (i.e., 128 time samples, multiplied by the 4 angular and 1.5 range samples), we obtain \( \text{SD}(Z) = 1.0 \) dBZ.

Several of the significant parameters of some cloud radars and the WSR-88D are listed in Table 1. The 8-mm-wavelength radars are capable of resolving many of the structures found within clouds of varying thickness (Moran et al. 1998). Although the MMCR-3 radars are well suited for cloud observations, attenuation becomes an issue. As can be seen in Table 1, the minimum measurable reflectivity that will be available from the upgraded polarimetric WSR-88D is about the same as that for the 3-mm MMCRs, and about 5 dB worse than that for the MMCR-8 in the general mode. Thus, the detectability of clouds by the WSR-88D is comparable to that of the cloud radars. But the ground-base cloud radars are superior by a factor of 3–5 to the WSR-88D in spatial resolution (Table 1).

Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate the capability of the KOUN radar to detect optically thin clouds. Sky pictures to the west and east of KOUN are presented in Figs. 1a and 1b, the composite reflectivity (i.e., maximum reflectivities for all elevations) from the NWS WSR-88D 11 km northeast of KOUN near Oklahoma City (KTLX) is shown in Fig. 1c, and a visible satellite image over Oklahoma is presented in Fig. 1d. Cirrus clouds in central Oklahoma are barely seen in Fig. 1d, and it is impossible to discern the presence of cirrus clouds in Fig. 1c.

### Table 1. Parameters of radars selected for cloud measurement; GSM and CM stand for the general and stratus and cirrus modes of operations, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ARM’s MMCR-8</th>
<th>ARM’s MMCR-3</th>
<th>NASA’s CPR</th>
<th>NOAA’s WSR-88D (short-pulse mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelength (mm)</strong></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse power (kW)</strong></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse width (μs)</strong></td>
<td>0.3/0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antenna size (m)</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beamwidth (one-way half-power width,</strong> )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radial resolution (m)</strong></td>
<td>45/90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Two-way transversal resolution (m)</strong></td>
<td>10 at 10 km</td>
<td>17 at 10 km</td>
<td>1400 (cross track), 2500 (along track)</td>
<td>49 at 10 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_{10} ) (dBZ)</td>
<td>-30 (GSM)</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-21.5 (single polarization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-48 (CM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-18.5 (dual polarization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-25.5 (with enhanced processing in dual-polarization mode)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scanning capability</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doppler capability</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unambiguous velocity (m s(^{-1}))/range of measurements (km)</strong></td>
<td>3.2/16 (GSM), 20.3/16 (CM)</td>
<td>7.9/15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27/150–35/117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dual polarization</strong></td>
<td>Yes, for the Southern Great Plains site</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, for KOUN; yes, for upgraded WSR-88Ds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attenuation</strong></td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of systems</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the same time, the KOUN data from vertical cross sections at azimuth angles of 270° and 90° are presented in Figs. 2a and 2b. Cirrus clouds, at a height of about 8 km, are clearly detected to 50 km. The minimal reflectivity seen in the cirrus layer is -22.5 dBZ. Ground clutter filter residuals contaminate data to 5 km, and beyond that they are sporadic and do not pose significant problems to cloud mapping. Comparing Figs. 1c and 2, it is concluded that the signal processing and data collection procedures employed with the network WSR-88D cannot consistently show, as does the KOUN, the presence of nonprecipitating clouds.

There can be two sources of scattering of 10-cm-wavelength radiation in clouds: 1) particulate scattering and 2) Bragg scatter from turbulent fluctuations of humid air. The latter mechanism produces 0 differential reflectivity, $Z_{DR}$, expressed in decibels. In Fig. 2d, the field of $Z_{DR}$ is shown. We can see that the values of $Z_{DR}$ are positive and exceed 3 dB in the cirrus cloud bottom. High $Z_{DR}$ values signify that at least a part of the reflected signal comes from cloud particles. Observations of positive $Z_{DR}$ in cirrus clouds are a strong indication that ice crystals are strong sources of radar echoes at 10-cm wavelengths. In contrast to the cirrus clouds, note the convective plumes in Fig. 2b with strong reflectivities (i.e., $> -5$ dBZ) at their 1.5-km tops, and much weaker reflectivities in their interior regions. The plume-top differential reflectivities (Fig. 2d) are about 0 dBZ,
suggesting Bragg scatter from these regions. Detection of low-altitude clouds can be problematic in the sense of distinguishing cloud echoes from Bragg scattering in the boundary layer.

Figure 3a presents a reflectivity field with areas at the limit of KOUN’s detectability. In the area shown with the arrow, at ranges of about 10 km, Z goes from $-23.8$ to $-24.3$ dBZ. Because of insertion loss from polarimetric hardware installed on research KOUN (flexible waveguides, additional length of the waveguides, insertion losses of new elements, and two rotary joints), the radar is 1.3–1.5 dB worse in terms of sensitivity when compared with the “legacy” WSR-88D. These losses will be eliminated in the dual-polarization prototype radar.

To estimate the reduction of solar radiation on the ground caused by the clouds in Figs. 1 and 2, we have...
compared measured solar radiation with model results. The Bird model (Bird and Hulstrom 1981) has been used to estimate the solar flux on the ground in the absence of clouds. The Oklahoma Mesonet site in Norman measures solar radiation every 5 min. For the time represented in Fig. 2, the measured and modeled solar radiation amounts in the absence of clouds were 751 and 797 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. That is, the radiation deficit due to clouds was less than 6%. So we conclude that the WSR-88Ds can observe radiatively significant clouds. But to address radiation problems, it is necessary to deploy other instruments near the WSR-88D or/and satellite information should be used.

Miller et al. (1998) compared cloud detection using a WSR-88D and The Pennsylvania State University’s (PSU) 3-mm-wavelength radar MMCR-3 located 18.5 km from the State College, Pennsylvania, WSR-88D (KCCX); data from the MMCR-3 were collected along a vertically directed beam to be compared with the KCCX data at various elevation angles along the same vertical. They used the WSR-88D’s standard level II data collected with VCPs 11, 21, 32 (all in precipitation mode), and 31 (in clear-air mode). The level II data are an array of three meteorological variables (i.e., reflectivity factor $Z$, Doppler velocity $u$, and spectrum width $c_v$) for SNR $\geq 2$ dB or higher depending on the threshold settings selected by the radar operator. Miller et al. (1998), using KCCX data for SNR $\geq 6$ dB, reported that the coincident detection of echoes with these radars depends on height and varies from 30% to 60%. At some times, the radars showed consistency in their detections, while at other times they did not. The discrepancies were explained by the lower sensitivity of the KCCX when this radar did not detect echoes that were recognized by PSU’s MMCR-3, and by the presence of insects aloft and/or the presence of Bragg scatter to KCCX if it detected echoes that the PSU’s MMCR-3 did not. On the other hand, we show that cloud observations with the WSR-88D can be carried out for SNR $\geq -7$ dB, 13 dB lower than the level used in the experiments of Miller et al. (1998); so the number of cases lacking simultaneous detection could be reduced significantly.

Two RHIs shown in Figs. 3b and 3c demonstrate differences between Miller et al.’s (1998) and our experiments. Figure 3b is for the data displayed at an SNR $\geq -7$ dB. Figure 3c was generated from the same data but thresholded at SNR $\geq 2$ dB, the same as that for most networking WSR-88Ds, but still 4 dB lower than for the data from the experiments of Miller et al. (1998). Because of the higher SNR threshold and the use of a maximal antenna elevation of 20°, clouds would not be observed if procedures standard to the NWS operations were used. We conclude that the WSR-88D can observe many more nonprecipitating clouds than are seen routinely, but the parameters of existing VCPs are not optimal for such observations.

3. Base radar data from nonprecipitating clouds

The reflectivity factor $Z$, the Doppler velocity $u$, and the velocity spectrum width $c_v$ are the base radar variables measured with the WSR-88Ds. The goal of this section is to demonstrate the capability of the WSR-88D to measure and map over vast regions $Z$, $u$, and $c_v$ for clouds, as well as to demonstrate the need for a large unambiguous velocity for accurate measurements of $u$ and $c_v$. Often, vertical cross sections reveal important details of clouds, so herein we present vertical cross sections of the base data. Because the spectrum width cannot be accurately measured at SNR $= -7$ dB, $c_v$ fields are presented for an SNR $\geq -3$ dB.

The detectability of clouds depends on its water–ice content and range. Often clouds are detected to ranges
beyond 100 km (Fig. 4, left column; Figs. 5 and 6). The two-way transversal resolution for KOUN (i.e., the square root of the second central moment of the angular weighting function) is 245 m at 50 km. Although fine features of clouds are smeared at long ranges, large-scale cloud structures are well characterized even beyond 100 km.

The left column of panels in Fig. 4 shows two layers of clouds, and the right column presents clouds above precipitation. Because 10-cm-wavelength radiation experiences negligible attenuation, the tops and bottoms of the clouds can be measured over large distances, although corrections need to be made for beam smearing at long ranges. MMCRs experience strong attenuation in dense clouds and precipitation, causing errors in defining the cloud structure. For example, in clouds with water contents of 1 g m$^{-3}$ at 0°C, the 8- and 3-mm-wavelength
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**Fig. 4.** Vertical cross sections of (top) reflectivity, (top middle) the Doppler velocity, and (bottom middle) spectrum width on (left) 24 Dec 2006 with two-layer nonprecipitating clouds, and (right) 15 Dec 2001 with non-precipitating clouds above precipitation. (bottom) Temperature, wind speed, and RH profiles from rawinsondes at (left) 1200 UTC 24 Dec 2006 and (right) 0000 UTC 16 Dec 2001 at Norman.
radiation will be attenuated by 1 and 4.8 dB km\(^{-2}\) (Meneghini and Kozu 1990, p. 152). Consider the data presented in the right column of Fig. 4 for clouds above snow falling at a rate of about 1 mm h\(^{-1}\). Using Eq. (6.23) of Battan (1973) for 8-mm wavelength, we obtain one-way attenuation of 0.09 dB km\(^{-2}\). At high elevation angles where the one-way path in snow is about 2 km, the total reflectivity bias of the clouds would be 0.36 dB and for a slant path of 5 km it would be 0.9 dB. But at the 3-mm wavelength, these biases would be 16 and 43 dB, considerably reducing the MMCR capability to make continuous and accurate measurements. Millimeter-wavelength radiation experiences even stronger attenuation rates in melting layers (e.g., Fig. 6h at the height of 2.7 km and Fig. 6n at 2.5 km). Thus, the WSR-88D could provide complementary information on the vertical structure of clouds and precipitation to improve the information extracted from satellite observations (e.g., Stephens and Kummerow 2007). Cloud radars are not expected to perform well in precipitation, so observations at 10-cm wavelengths are especially advantageous for studies of water accumulation in clouds and the transition to rain (e.g., thin rain shafts in Fig. 6j).

Because useful polarimetric data on cloud particles require slant soundings, and because slant soundings result in broader spectra due to the vertical shear of the horizontal wind, a large unambiguous velocity is required to accurately measure the Doppler velocity and spectrum width \(v\). One can see velocity aliasing in Fig. 4 (right, \(V\) panel) and Fig. 5 (left, \(V\) panel). At 8-mm wavelength and with a pulse repetition frequency of 3000 Hz (an unambiguous range of 50 km), the velocity would be...
aliased four times, making it difficult to interpret. A higher repetition frequency would provide a larger Nyquist interval but would shorten the unambiguous range, making it even more difficult to unravel the range aliasing.

Spectrum width is related to turbulence, which is generally thought to influence the drop growth by stochastic coalescence (e.g., Jonas 1996; Shaw 2003). Waves in clouds (seen in spectrum width fields in the right $\sigma_v$ panels of Figs. 4 and 5) are also significant features controlling cloud properties. To accurately measure $\sigma_v$, the unambiguous velocity must be at least 1.7 $\sigma_v$ (Melnikov and Zrnić 2004). For example, the maximal measured $\sigma_v$ in the cloud shown in the left $\sigma_v$ panel of
Fig. 5 is 10 m s\(^{-1}\); thus, the unambiguous velocity should be larger than 17 m s\(^{-1}\). But wind shear, not turbulence, can be the dominant contributor to \(\sigma_v\), and the narrower beams of the MMCRs can significantly reduce the shear contributions. To separate turbulence and shear contributions, a technique used by Melnikov and Doviak (2009), or that used by Hocking (2003), can be employed.

Vertical velocities in clouds are important. The WSR-88D is not capable of sounding vertically. To estimate the vertical velocities, the vertical azimuth display (VAD) technique could be used at high elevations (e.g., Doviak and Zrnić 2006, section 9.3.3). Stretching and shearing of the wind can also be obtained from the VAD data. Applications of these techniques to cloud sounding are beyond the scope of this paper. The scanning and Doppler capabilities of the WSR-88Ds allow us to determine the advection of hydrometeors, which is one of the important parameters in global cloud models. This capability could be advantageous for atmospheric radiation problems as well.

The WSR-88D network works around the clock. The mission of the network is precipitation measurements and severe weather monitoring. To not compromise that mission, cloud observations could be conducted at times without precipitation. Cloud observations can be performed with a set of vertical cross sections (i.e., RHIs). The number of RHIs that can be used to create a “cloud” VCP depends on the allowed time. In 5 min, 12 RHIs can be completed using the enhanced data acquisition and processing mode described in section 2.

4. Polarimetric radar observations of clouds

The NWS is planning to upgrade the WSR-88D network with polarimetric capabilities in the near future. The first prototype of the polarimetric WSR-88D is scheduled for deployment in 2011. The proof-of-concept WSR-88D KOUN employs a polarimetric mode with simultaneous transmission and reception of horizontally (H) and vertically (V) polarized waves (Zrnić et al. 2006). Polarimetric radar can measure more meteorological variables that can aid in retrieving cloud properties.

Simultaneously with the base radar variables, KOUN routinely measures the following polarimetric variables: 1) differential reflectivity \(Z_{\text{DR}}\), 2) total differential phase \(\phi_{\text{DR}}\), and 3) the copolar correlation coefficient \(\rho_{hv}\) (e.g., Doviak and Zrnić 2006, section 6.8). KOUN also has the capability of switching from transmitting H only to also measuring the cross-polar coefficients. Signals from clouds are often weak, and thus the influence of noise on polarimetric variables can be strong. To mitigate the noise impacts at low SNR, the covariance estimators of polarimetric variables are used in the KOUN (Melnikov and Zrnić 2007). The polarimetric radar variables are measured during the same dwell time as that for the base radar variables.

Observations of nonprecipitating clouds with KOUN show a tremendous amount of information in the fields of polarimetric variables. In this paper, we do not analyze the polarimetric fields in detail but rather show their main features to suggest the wealth of information that could be derived from these variables. There is no correlation between reflectivity and differential reflectivity in clouds (see, e.g., Figs. 6g and 6h, 6j and 6k, and 6m and 6n) in contrast to rain wherein \(Z\) and \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) are positively correlated (e.g., Doviak and Zrnić 2006, section 8.5.3). The correlation for rain occurs because more intense rain typically has larger drops that are oblate and thus correspondingly larger \(Z_{\text{DR}}\). Figure 7a presents a scatterplot of \(Z\) and \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) in clouds shown in Figs. 6g and 6h wherein the lack of correlation between the variables for clouds is apparent. In Fig. 7b, a scatterplot of \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) and \(\rho_{hv}\) also shows weak negative correlation, and \(\rho_{hv}\) as low as 0.9, which is again in contrast to rain wherein \(\rho_{hv}\) is typically larger than 0.98. The lack of correlation between \(Z\), \(Z_{\text{DR}}\), and \(\rho_{hv}\) in clouds is due to the presence of ice particles, which can have random orientation. Furthermore, \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) could decrease with increasing \(Z\) due to the aggregation of ice particles; that is, the aggregates are more spherical (i.e., have lower \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) but larger \(Z\)) than the particles they consist of.

In some clouds, fields of differential reflectivity are uniform with values lower than 1 dB; the \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) field in Fig. 2d is nearly uniform but has values substantially exceeding 1 dB, which suggests scatter from ice particles. Often, \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) exhibits a wide range of values (i.e., 0–8 dB; e.g., the maximal \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) in Fig. 6a is 8.3 dB), and exhibits patterns with “pockets” of high and low \(Z_{\text{DR}}\), as in Figs. 6a, 6d, 6h, and 6k), suggesting differing processes of formation and evolution of hydrometeors. The observed positive \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) in clouds also indicates that particles make a strong contribution to echoes although a contribution from Bragg scatter could exist.

Observations from KOUN show high temporal variability of the polarimetric fields. Rapid changes in the polarimetric variables could be linked to crucial microphysical processes. Thus, having a rapid-scan polarimetric Doppler radar could prove valuable for microphysical analyses. Polarimetric radar at 10-cm wavelengths can be a powerful tool to complement MMCR radar studies of clouds with mixed phases, which are challenges for remote sensors (e.g., Shupe et al. 2008).

Polarimetric information is successfully used in classifying various types of scatterers in the atmosphere. For example, the polarimetric variables \(Z_{\text{DR}}\) and \(\rho_{hv}\) can be
used to distinguish hydrometeor and biological scatterers (e.g., insects and birds; Zrnić and Ryzhkov 1999). Measurement of $Z_{DR}$ and $\rho_{hv}$ is most suitable for slant soundings of the atmosphere because polarimetric measurements lose much of their value for vertical soundings. Furthermore, it is important to have low attenuation of radiation, as it is for 10-cm wavelengths, to be sure that differential attenuation does not modify $Z_{DR}$. Thus, the WSR-88D is well suited for polarimetric observations in clouds and should complement measurements with MMCRs.

5. Resolving scatter mechanisms in clouds

Many visual cloud images from the ground and satellites exhibit good correspondence with radar-derived images. But these observations do not prove that echoes come exclusively from cloud particles. Knight and Miller (1993, 1998) studied dual-wavelength (i.e., 10 and 3 cm) reflectivity data from the early stages of convective clouds, and deduced that there are at least two sources of backscatter signals: Rayleigh scatter from cloud droplets and coherent or Bragg scatter from refractive index variations (see also Gossard 1979). They found that Bragg scatter from developing cumulus clouds can dominate Rayleigh scatter at 10-cm wavelengths. Resolving Bragg and particulate scatter in precipitation was considered by Gage et al. (1999). The purpose of this section is to show how polarimetric radar can be helpful in resolving these two types of scattering mechanisms.

To differentiate classical Bragg scatter associated with a fixed arrangement of scatters from the Bragg scatter associated with random changes in density perturbations, Bragg scatter from refractive index perturbations has been defined as stochastic Bragg scatter (Doviak 1999) or as stochastically coherent scatter (Zhang et al. 1990; Erkelens et al. 2001). Comparing radar returns at 10- and 0.9-cm wavelengths, Kropfli and Kelly (1996) also suggested that fluctuations of refractivity caused by developing cumulus clouds can contribute significantly to backscatter at 10-cm wavelengths. Whereas these studies showed significant Bragg scatter from cumulus clouds at 10-cm wavelength, Gossard and Strauch (1981), using 3-cm-wavelength radar, found no evidence of Bragg scatter from stratiform clouds.

The Bragg scatter from air and its corresponding reflectivity $\eta_n$ is (e.g., Ottersten 1969; Doviak and Zrnić 2006, section 11.4.3.1)

$$\eta_n = \frac{0.38 C_n^2}{\lambda^{1/3}},$$

where $\lambda$ is the radar’s wavelength and $C_n^2$ is the atmosphere’s refractive index structure parameter. The received voltage $V$ associated with backscatter from cloud particles is proportional to $V = \sum a_n \exp(2jkr_n)$, where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the propagation wavenumber; $a_n$ is the contribution from, and $r_n$ the range to, the $n$th particle; and the summation includes all particles having significant return. The ensemble average power is [Doviak and Zrnić 2006, Eq. (4.2); Siegert and Goldstein 1951]
\[ P = E[|V|^2] = E \left[ \sum_n |a_n|^2 \right] + E \left[ \sum_{n \neq m} a_n a_m^* \exp[2jkr(n - r_m)] \right] = \frac{A}{r^4} (\eta_{pi} + \eta_{pc}), \tag{2} \]

where \( E[x] \) represents an ensemble or time average, \( A \) is a radar constant, the asterisk denotes a complex conjugate, \( r \) is the range to the scattering volume, and the total reflectivity (i.e., the scatter cross section per unit volume), \( \eta_p = \eta_{pi} + \eta_{pc} \), is composed of reflectivities \( \eta_{pi} \) and \( \eta_{pc} \) due to incoherent and coherent scatter from particles (i.e., hydrometeors). If the scatterer’s locations are uncorrelated, the ensemble average of the second summation is zero (i.e., \( \eta_{pc} = 0 \)), and there remains only the commonly observed incoherent hydrometeor backscatter.

But if there is spatial correlation of scatterer locations (i.e., random density perturbations at two locations are correlated), then the ensemble average of the second term is not 0. If the density perturbations have strong spatial variations at \( \lambda/2 \) scales, there will be correspondingly strong coherent scatter from the hydrometeor’s density fluctuations; note in (2) that there are \( \lambda^2 \) terms contributing to the coherent term compared to \( N \) terms for incoherent scatter. Although reflectivity associated with stochastic Bragg scatter can be large compared to that associated with incoherent scatter, the evidence for strong Bragg scatter from perturbations in hydrometeor number density is spotty (e.g., Erkelens et al. 2001).

The incoherent component of reflectivity \( \eta_{pi}^{hv} \) for horizontally or vertically polarized waves is (Doviak and Zrnić 2006, section 8.5.3.1)

\[ \eta_{pi}^{hv} = \frac{\pi^5 |K|^2}{\lambda^4} Z_{h, v}, \tag{3} \]

where \( K \) is the dielectric factor of the hydrometeor and \( Z_{h, v} \) are the reflectivity factors of the hydrometeors for horizontal or vertical polarization. Erkelens et al. (2001) and Gossard and Strauch (1983, section 2.5) hypothesized that turbulence, mixing mean gradients of particulate density \( N_p \), creates stochastic Bragg scatter reflectivity expressed as

\[ \eta_{pc} = \frac{0.38 C_p^2}{\lambda^{1/3}}, \tag{4} \]

where \( C_p^2 \) is the structure parameter of the hydrometeor density perturbations. Using simplifying assumptions (i.e., all particles are spherical and have the same diameter, the inertial subrange of turbulence extends to scales at least as small as \( \lambda/2 \), and ignoring evaporation and condensation), Erkelens et al. (2001) show that \( C_p^2 = 3.39 |K|^2 L_0^{-2/3} \beta^2 E[N_p^2] D^6 \), where \( L_0 \) is the outer scale of turbulence, \( D \) is the particles’ diameter, and \( \beta^2 \) is a proportionality parameter relating the variance of \( \eta_p \) to \( E[N_p^2] \). Parameter \( C_p^2 \) can be expressed in terms of \( Z \) by noting that \( Z = E[N_p^2] D^6 \). Therefore, \( C_p^2 = 3.39 |K|^2 q Z \), where \( q = L_0^{-2} \beta^2 E[N_p^2]/E[N_p] \) is a combined unknown.

The total reflectivity \( \eta \) is simply the sum of the reflectivities (i.e., \( \eta_{pi} + \eta_{pc} \)) for each of the three scattering mechanisms. That is,

\[ \eta = \frac{\pi^5 |K|^2}{\lambda^4} Z + \frac{0.38 C_p^2}{\lambda^{1/3}} + \frac{3.39 |K|^2 q Z}{\lambda^{1/3}}. \tag{5} \]

Because Bragg scatter from hydrometeors has the same wavelength dependence as that from the refractive index, we can use data from radars operating at different wavelengths (e.g., 3 and 10 cm) to calculate \( Z \), the reflectivity factor associated with the hydrometeors.

Equation (5) also applies to nonspherical particles such as ice crystals for which \( Z_{h} \neq Z_{v} \). In this case there are four unknowns: \( Z_{h} \), \( Z_{v} \), \( C_p^2 \) and \( q \). To solve for them, we can apply polarimetric measurements at two wavelengths. Because Bragg scatter from refractive index perturbations has no differential reflectivity, we deduce

\[ \eta_{h} = \frac{\pi^5 |K|^2 Z_{h}}{\lambda^4} + \frac{0.38 C_p^2}{\lambda^{1/3}} + \frac{3.39 |K|^2 q Z_{h}}{\lambda^{1/3}} \quad \text{and} \tag{6a} \]

\[ \eta_{v} = \frac{\pi^5 |K|^2 Z_{v}}{\lambda^4} + \frac{0.38 C_p^2}{\lambda^{1/3}} + \frac{3.39 |K|^2 q Z_{v}}{\lambda^{1/3}}. \tag{6b} \]

At centimeter wavelengths, cloud particles can be considered to be Rayleigh scatterers. Therefore, the measured reflectivity factors \( Z_{h} \) and \( Z_{v} \) are equal at different wavelengths, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) [e.g., \( Z_{h} (\lambda_1) = Z_{h} (\lambda_2) \)]. Measurements at two wavelengths and two polarizations are sufficient to calculate all four unknowns. But (6) assumes that inertial subrange turbulence, responsible for Bragg scatter, is present at both wavelengths.

The purpose of suggesting a dual-wavelength, dual-polarization experiment is to establish whether Bragg scatter from stratiform clouds is significant. Gossard and Strauch (1981) found no evidence of Bragg scatter from stratiform clouds at 3-cm wavelengths, but this has not been established for 10-cm wavelengths. If Bragg scatter from stratiform clouds is insignificant, then the dual-polarization WSR-88D can provide reliable and accurate data on cloud particles.

Polarimetric radar observations with KOUN show that at the same height in a cloud, differential reflectivity can vary by few decibels (Fig. 6). Hydrometeor scatter must dominate in regions with high \( Z_{DR} \) because scatter...
from refractive index perturbations has $Z_{DR} = 0$ dB. The observed positive $Z_{DR}$ in stratiform clouds strongly suggests particles are one of the main sources of radar echoes from such clouds.

6. Conclusions

Our observations with the KOUN WSR-88D using enhanced signal processing show sufficient sensitivity of the radar for measurements of various cloud parameters. We have observed, in a dual-polarization mode, reflectivities as low as $-23$ dBZ at 10-km ranges; the total reflectivity span is about 58 dB in nonprecipitating clouds. The ground-based cloud radars have 3–5 times superior spatial resolution. Our cloud observations with the KOUN radar show that within 50 km the spatial resolution of KOUN is satisfactory to measure the spatial structure of most clouds. Smearing of radar fields is seen at longer ranges but the main features of radar fields are still preserved. Observations with the KOUN radar showed the great advantages of scanning radars—“instant” fields of cloud properties are obtained and patterns of the time evolution of the fields are observed.

Wind and turbulence strongly affect microphysical processes in clouds, so Doppler measurements are important. To measure wind shears and turbulence with scanning radars (i.e., beams not vertically directed), a wide unambiguous Doppler velocity interval is required. The WSR-88D is well suited for Doppler measurements and could supplement data collected with MMCRs.

Additional information on the microphysics of cloud particles can be obtained with radar polarimetry, especially at elevation angles far from zenith. The planned polarimetric upgrades to the WSR-88D will provide the capability to measure reflectivity factors as low as $-25.5$ dBZ. Thus, the WSR-88D could be a valuable supplement to cloud measurements with MMCRs. The WSR-88D is well suited for polarimetric observations: 10-cm-wavelength radiation does not experience attenuation in clouds and light precipitation, so measured parameters are not biased by attenuation. At millimeter wavelengths, attenuation is an issue.

Polarimetric observations of nonprecipitating clouds conducted with the KOUN WSR-88D show a tremendous amount of information on polarimetric variables. In clouds, the differential reflectivity $Z_{DR}$ spreads over a wide interval from 0 to over 8 dB, which is much wider than in rain. Because of the ice phase, there is no clear $Z-Z_{DR}$ relation as there is for rain. In some clouds, $Z_{DR}$ fields are uniform but more frequently they exhibit patterns with “pockets” of high and low $Z_{DR}$, this likely points to intense processes of transformation of hydrometeors.

Our observations of positive $Z_{DR}$ in stratiform clouds suggest that particles are one of the main sources of radar echoes at 10-cm wavelengths. It is suggested that simultaneous observations with 3- and 10-cm polarimetric radars would be capable of relating the measured power to the reflectivity factor $Z$ of cloud particles. Furthermore, the addition of dual-wavelength observations could quantify the relative importance of Bragg scatter from the fluctuations in hydrometeor density and the fluctuations in air density (i.e., in refractive index). Bragg scatter from air could bias $Z_{DR}$ toward 0 dB. Thus, the presence of cloud areas with large positive $Z_{DR}$ in clouds points to the absence of significant Bragg scatter at least in those areas.

Cloud data from WSR-88Ds can be used in studies of the development and evolution of clouds and precipitation, cloud model parameterization, radiation transfer in cloudy atmospheres, and as an instrument in climatic cloud studies. The radar is not capable of sampling all of the radar-radiatively significant clouds but it is possible to collect radar data from cirrus clouds that reduce the solar flux reduction on the ground by up to 6%. The radar is also capable of estimating the advection of hydrometeors, which is an important parameter in radiation transfer problems.

The NWS WSR-88Ds work around the clock. Because many days in a year are cloudy without precipitation, these WSR-88Ds can be used for cloud observations without compromising their primary mission. Cloud observations with the WSR-88Ds can be implemented into the existing radar network and could make the network an instrument for climate studies.
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