Study of gluon versus quark fragmentation in $Y \rightarrow gg \gamma$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q} \gamma$ events at $\sqrt{s}=10$ GeV
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Using data collected with the CLEO II detector at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring, we determine the ratio \( R_{chq} \) for the mean charged multiplicity observed in \( Y(1S) \rightarrow gg \gamma \) events, \( \langle n^\gamma_{chq} \rangle \), to the mean charged multiplicity observed in \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma \) events, \( \langle n^\gamma_{quark} \rangle \). We find \( R_{chq} = \langle n^\gamma_{chq} \rangle / \langle n^\gamma_{quark} \rangle = 1.04 \pm 0.02 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.05 \text{(syst)} \) for jet-mass less than 7 GeV. [S0556-2821(97)04713-9]

PACS number(s): 13.87.Fh, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Qk, 13.60.Hb

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding hadronization, or the process by which elementary partons (gluons and quarks) evolve into mesons and baryons, is complicated by its intrinsically nonperturbative nature. Because of the fact that gluons carry two color indices whereas quarks carry only one, the intrinsic gluon-gluon coupling strength \( (C_A=3) \) is larger than the intrinsic quark-gluon coupling strength \( (C_F=4/3) \). Radiation of secondary and tertiary gluons is, therefore, expected to be more likely when hadronization is initiated by a gluon rather than by a quark. This results in a greater number of final state hadrons as well as a larger average opening angle between the hadrons in the former case compared to the latter case. In the limit \( Q^2 \rightarrow \infty \), the ratio of the number of hadrons produced in gluon-initiated jets to the number of hadrons produced in quark-initiated jets is expected, in lowest order, to approach the ratio \( C_A/C_F=9/4 \) [1].

Many experiments have searched for, and found, multiplicity and jet shape differences between quark and gluon fragmentation [2–23]. At \( Z^0 \) energies, \( q\bar{q}g \) events are readily distinguished by their three-jet topology. Within such events, quark and gluon jets can be separated by a variety of techniques including vertex tagging. Because gluons rarely fragment into heavy quarks, they will produce jets that form a vertex at the \( e^+e^- \) interaction point. Quark jets, on the contrary, tend to form a detached vertex when the jet contains a long-lived bottom or charm quark. Unfortunately, the assignment of final state hadrons to the initial state partons is rarely unambiguous and relies on Monte Carlo simulations to determine the fraction of times that an observed hadron is correctly traced to a primary parton.

The 10 GeV center of mass energy range offers a unique opportunity to probe quark and gluon fragmentation effects, without relying on Monte Carlo simulation to associate the final state hadrons with an initial state parton. The decay \( Y(1S) \rightarrow gg \gamma \) allows one to compare the \( gg \) system in a \( gg \gamma \) event with the \( q\bar{q} \) system in an \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \gamma \) event. In both cases, the system recoiling against the photon consists (to lowest order) of hadrons that have evolved from either a two-gluon or a quark-antiquark system. The properties of the recoil systems can then be compared directly.

II. DETECTOR AND DATA SAMPLE

The CLEO II detector [24] is a general purpose solenoidal magnet spectrometer and calorimeter. The detector was designed for efficient triggering and reconstruction of two-photon, \( \tau \)-pair, and hadronic events. Measurements of charged particle momenta are made with three nested coaxial drift chambers consisting of 6, 10, and 51 layers, respectively. These chambers fill the volume from \( r=3 \text{ cm} \) to \( r=1 \text{ m} \), with \( r \) the radial coordinate relative to the beam (\( z \) axis). This system is very efficient (\( e\%>98\% \)) for detecting tracks that have transverse momenta (\( p_T \)) relative to the beam axis greater than 200 MeV/c, and that are contained within the fixed fiducial volume of the drift chamber (\( |\cos \theta|<0.94 \), with \( \theta \) defined as the polar angle relative to the beam axis). Below this threshold, the charged particle detection efficiency in the fiducial volume decreases to approximately 90% at \( p_T \approx 100 \text{ MeV/c} \). For \( p_T<100 \text{ MeV/c} \), the efficiency decreases roughly linearly to zero at a threshold of \( p_T \approx 30 \text{ MeV/c} \).

Beyond the time of flight system is the electromagnetic calorimeter, consisting of 7800 thallium-doped CsI crystals. The central “barrel” region of the calorimeter covers about 75% of the solid angle and has an energy resolution of

\[
\sigma_E/E(\%) = 0.35/\sqrt{E} + 1.9 - 0.1E; \tag{1}
\]

\( E \) is the shower energy in GeV. This parametrization translates to an energy resolution of about 4% at 100 MeV and 1.2% at 5 GeV. Two end-cap regions of the crystal calorimeter extend solid angle coverage to about 95% of \( 4\pi \), although energy resolution is not as good as that of the barrel region. The tracking system, time of flight counters, and calorimeter are all contained within a 1.5 Tesla superconducting coil. Flux return and tracking chambers used for muon detection are located immediately outside the coil and in the two end-cap regions.

We use 63 pb\(^{-1}\) of data collected at the \( Y(1S) \) resonance (\( \sqrt{s}=9.46 \text{ GeV} \)) as a source of \( gg \gamma \) events and 198 pb\(^{-1}\) at \( \sqrt{s}=10.52 \text{ GeV} \) on the continuum just below the \( Y(4S) \) resonance as a source of \( q\bar{q} \gamma \) events. The \( \gamma \) in our \( q\bar{q} \gamma \) sample results primarily from initial state radiation (ISR) [25]. We compare events for which the invariant masses of the \( gg \) and \( q\bar{q} \) systems recoiling against the hard photon \( [M_{\text{recoil}}] \) defined by \( M_{\text{recoil}}^2 = 4E_{\text{beam}}^2(1 - E_{\gamma}/E_{\text{beam}}) \) are the same.

To suppress low-multiplicity QED events, we require that the thrust of the event (calculated using all the photon candidates and good quality charged tracks) be less than 0.97, and that there be at least three good charged tracks in the

---

1 Although there may be gluon radiation from the initial partons, we do not distinguish such radiation explicitly in this analysis. Thus, the states that we are comparing are, strictly speaking, \( gg \gamma \) and \( q\bar{q} \gamma \) to lowest order only; additional gluon radiation, to which we are not experimentally sensitive, may be present in many of the events in our sample.
event. Photon candidates are selected from showers with widths and patterns of energy deposition consistent with that of a photon, as opposed to neutral hadrons (e.g., merged \( \pi^0 \)'s, \( K_L^0 \)'s, neutrons, etc.). Owing to the excellent ability of the CLEO II detector to distinguish high-energy \( \pi^0 \)'s from photons, approximately 75% of the potential \( \pi^0 \) background is removed at this stage by shower topology cuts. Additional \( \pi^0 \) suppression is achieved with an explicit \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) mass cut, to be discussed later. To ensure that the events are well contained within the CLEO detector, we require \( |\cos \theta| < 0.75 \) (\( \theta \) is defined as before as the polar angle between the beam axis and the direct photon).

In addition to the \( gg \gamma \) and \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) samples, we search for \( Y(1S) \rightarrow gg \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q} \) events containing a \( \pi^0 \) of energy comparable to the photon in the \( \gamma \) tagged sample. These samples, referred to as \( gg(\pi^0) \) and \( q \bar{q}(\pi^0) \), are used to quantify the background levels from \( \pi^0 \) decays.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

One of the most basic parameters used to characterize any event is the mean charged track multiplicity, \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \). We plot \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \) as a function of the mass recoiling against the direct photon, covering the recoil mass range from 4 to 7 GeV. This mass interval corresponds to \( 0.45 < E_\gamma/E_{\text{beam}} < 0.82 \) for the \( gg \gamma \) sample. We require the recoil mass to be greater than 4 GeV to ensure that we are significantly above the \( cc\gamma \) threshold; we expect that our \( q \bar{q} \) jet, sample therefore, includes \( u,d,s,c \) quarks approximately uniformly, apart from small phase space effects.

To determine the characteristics of \( gg \gamma \) events, we must subtract the background from nonresonant \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) events produced in \( e^+e^- \) annihilations at \( \sqrt{s}=M_{Y(1S)} \). This can be done by direct scaling of the event sample collected at the continuum of the \( Y(4S) \) resonance. In addition to \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) events, this latter sample of events also includes \( \tau \tau \gamma \) events, as well as any other continuum backgrounds which may be present in our resonant sample. By subtracting this "raw" sample from our \( Y(1S) \) sample, we therefore account for all of the nonresonant backgrounds to \( gg \gamma \) at the \( Y(1S) \) energy. We find that before subtraction, this background to \( gg \gamma \) comprises about 10% of the \( \gamma \)-tagged sample taken at the \( Y(1S) \) energy.

Similarly, in order to isolate \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) events at 10.52 GeV, we must quantify \( \tau \tau \gamma \) contamination. This is done using a Monte Carlo simulation of \( \tau \)-pair events. We find that \( \tau \tau \gamma \) events comprise about 10% of the \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) data sample passing the other event selection cuts specified above. Beam gas and two-photon backgrounds were investigated and found to be negligibly small.

To determine the level of \( gg(\pi^0) \) contamination to \( gg \gamma \) and \( q \bar{q}(\pi^0) \) contamination to \( q \bar{q} \gamma \), we first determine how often a single high-energy photon can be matched with other photons in the same event to form a \( \pi^0 \). Such photons are explicitly vetoed as likely \( \pi^0 \) daughters. The \( \pi^0 \) contamination, of course, results from cases for which we find only one of the two \( \pi^0 \) daughter photons in the detector [26]. From Monte Carlo simulations, we determine the probability that the second \( \pi^0 \) daughter photon will be found. The likelihood of detecting the second photon varies from 50% at \( M_{\text{recoil}}=6.5 \) GeV to 77% at \( M_{\text{recoil}}=4 \) GeV. Knowing the fraction of times that the second daughter photon goes undetected, and the total number of \( \pi^0 \)'s that we reconstruct in our sample, we thereby determine the fraction of our direct photon candidates which are actually \( \pi^0 \) daughters, but are not vetoed as such. This is shown in Fig. 1 as a function of recoil mass.

Our backgrounds are summarized below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} )</th>
<th>Background (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( gg \gamma )</td>
<td>9.46 GeV</td>
<td>Sum of all non-Y(1S) events (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( gg \gamma )</td>
<td>10.52 GeV</td>
<td>( Y(1S) \rightarrow gg(\pi^0) ) contamination to ( Y(1S) \rightarrow gg \gamma ) (( \sim 5% ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q \bar{q} \gamma )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \tau \tau \gamma ) (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q \bar{q} \gamma )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( e^+e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q}(\pi^0) ) contamination to ( q \bar{q} \gamma ) (( \sim 8% ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q \bar{q} \gamma )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \gamma \gamma ) (( &lt; 1% ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q \bar{q} \gamma )</td>
<td></td>
<td>beam gas (( &lt; 1% ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine how our measured charged multiplicity values are biased by the \( \pi^0 \) background, we make a comparison plot of the charged multiplicity distribution for \( gg \gamma \) vs \( gg(\pi^0) \) events, and a similar plot for \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) vs \( q \bar{q}(\pi^0) \) events. From Fig. 2, we see that the charged multiplicity distributions for the \( \gamma \)-tagged and the \( \pi^0 \)-tagged samples are similar. Quantitatively, the effect of \( \pi^0 \) backgrounds is to reduce the measured value of \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) by about 1% relative to the true value. We statistically correct for this effect in subsequent plots, and include the uncertainty due to \( \pi^0 \) backgrounds in our overall systematic error.

---

2We note that the \( q \bar{q} \) mixture in our \( q \bar{q} \gamma \) sample may be different for cases in which the photon is emitted in the final state as opposed to the initial state due to the different diagrams responsible for these processes. We expect our sample to be dominated by ISR events, as is evidenced by the photon polar angle distribution.

3It is of some interest to note the similarity in multiplicity between the \( gg \gamma \) and the \( gg(\pi^0) \) samples. Although the first process contains two primary gluons, whereas the second contains three primary gluons, this plot qualitatively suggests that at these energy scales the available energy for fragmentation is the main factor in determining the final state charged multiplicity.
In Fig. 3, we show the multiplicity distributions in bins of recoil mass for our background-corrected \( gg\gamma \) and \( q\bar{q}\gamma \) samples. From the distributions in this figure, we extract the mean multiplicities as a function of \( gg \) or \( q\bar{q} \) mass, for pure \( gg\gamma \) and \( q\bar{q}\gamma \) samples. As shown in Fig. 4, the ratio of \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \) resulting from gluon fragmentation to \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \) from quark fragmentation is \( R_{\text{chrg}} = 1.04 \pm 0.02 \), after all the aforementioned background corrections.

### IV. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS

In order to investigate the sensitivity of the result to the \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 3 \) requirement and to suppress \( \pi\pi\gamma \) backgrounds, we compare the ratios obtained from \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 3 \) with ratios obtained using the tightened multiplicity interval \( 5 \leq N_{\text{chrg}} \leq 11 \). This comparison also partially addresses possible biases in our measured ratio due to acceptance effects as well as the effect of the minimum charged multiplicity cut. If the shapes of the true charged multiplicity distributions were much different for quarks vs gluons at low multiplicities (for which our acceptance is worse than at high multiplicity, due to the effect of our hadronic event selection cuts as well as trigger effects), then our derived average will be artificially “pulled” by our \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 3 \) requirement. A complete Monte Carlo simulation study of possible differences between the detector level multiplicities and the true multiplicities, including unfolding effects, indicates negligible bias. The value of the ratio using \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 5 \) is found to be statistically consistent with that for \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 3 \). The effect of this comparison of the minimum \( N_{\text{chrg}} \) cut has also been checked by repeating the entire analysis, but using the neutral multiplicity \( N_{\text{neutral}} \) rather than the charged multiplicity as the comparison variable. For this cross-check, we retain the cut \( N_{\text{chrg}} = 3 \), but make no cut on the minimum neutral multiplicity. Before any consideration of backgrounds, this cross-check yields \( R_{\text{neutral}} = 1.06 \pm 0.03 \) (statistical error only). This value is in good agreement with our measured value of \( R_{\text{chrg}} \).

Although we compare systems of equivalent mass, the energies of the systems recoiling against the photon are higher for \( q\bar{q} \) events than those for \( gg \) events at an equivalent invariant mass. On an average, the \( q\bar{q}\gamma \) system will, therefore, be more collimated. We investigate possible energy-dependent effects with Monte Carlo simulation, by looking at systems of equal recoil mass, but generated at different energies (\( \sqrt{s} = 10.52 \text{ GeV} \) vs \( \sqrt{s} = 9.46 \text{ GeV} \)). We find a systematic shift of 0.006 in the ratios. We can also evaluate energy effects by direct inspection of Fig. 4. Although the \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) values measured for the lowest recoil mass are statistically consistent with the \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) values measured for the highest recoil mass considered, our results are also consistent with \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) increasing slightly with recoil mass. We conservatively include a systematic error of 2% to account for any possible systematic variation.

We also compare the \( gg\gamma/q\bar{q}\gamma \) multiplicity ratios from data taken during three distinct running periods, covering different running conditions and triggers. The separate data sets give ratios which are all statistically consistent with one another. Nevertheless, we conservatively include the maximum variation of 2% observed between the three data sets in the overall systematic error.

Finally, we consider the dependence of the mean multiplicity on the dip angle, \( |\cos\theta_{\gamma}| \), of the direct photon. We expect that initial state radiation \( q\bar{q}\gamma \) events tend to produce photons that are more forward peaked (have larger values of \( |\cos\theta_{\gamma}| \)) than those for \( gg\gamma \). For \( q\bar{q}\gamma \) events, we, therefore, expect that the particles emerging on the other side of the event, opposite the high energy \( \gamma \), are more likely to be lost down the beam pipe. We have, therefore, explicitly considered any possible dependence of \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) on \( |\cos\theta_{\gamma}| \) by plotting \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) in different bins of \( |\cos\theta_{\gamma}| \). At our level of statistical precision, we do not observe any such systematic effect and conclude that the measured \( R_{\text{chrg}} \) value is not measurably biased by such acceptance effects.

### V. SUMMARY

The ratio of \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \) for gluons to \( \langle N_{\text{chrg}} \rangle \) for quarks measured here is smaller than those found by the OPAL, ALEPH, SLDF, and DELPHI experiments, at \( \sqrt{s} \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \). The ratios compare as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaboration</th>
<th>( \langle N \rangle_q / \langle N \rangle_{\bar{q}} )</th>
<th>Kinematic regime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLEO 96</td>
<td>( 1.04 \pm 0.05 ) ( 21 \pm 0.4 )</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELPHI [4]</td>
<td>( 1.24 \pm 0.015 ) (</td>
<td>E_{\text{jet}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELPHI [4]</td>
<td>( 1.06 \pm 0.18 ) (</td>
<td>E_{\text{jet}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLD [17]</td>
<td>( 1.36 \pm 0.24 ) (</td>
<td>E_{\text{jet}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPAL 93 [19]</td>
<td>( 1.27 \pm 0.04 ) (</td>
<td>E_{\text{jet}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEPH [21]</td>
<td>( 1.19 \pm 0.04 ) (</td>
<td>E_{\text{jet}}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \)This OPAL result is for \( \langle N \rangle_q - 1/\langle N \rangle_{\bar{q}} - 1 \), considering only \( uds \) quarks.
Gary [15], Fuster and Martí [2], DELPHI [4], and Fodor [5] have recently considered how the ratio of charged multiplicity for gluon to quark jets depends on the hadronic center-of-mass energy. To lowest order, the ratio of partons produced in a gluon jet to that in a quark jet is expected to be 9/4, as obtained in the original calculation [1]. Next-to-leading order and next-to-next-to-leading order calculations have since been carried out [27], with the additional requirement that the fragmentation process obeys energy conservation. All such higher order calculations qualitatively predict a decrease in this ratio as the energy scale decreases. Phenomenologically, as the energy scale decreases, mass-dependent effects become increasingly important in satisfying energy conservation, and the radiation of successive partons is correspondingly truncated earlier. Such mass-dependent effects can be estimated by Monte Carlo simulations of various fragmentation schemes. Thus, as the center-of-mass energy is reduced, the multiplicity of gluon-initiated jets decreases faster than the multiplicity of quark-initiated jets. Our result for $R_{\text{chrg}}$ is, indeed, smaller than the from the CERN $e^+e^-$ collider LEP results for $R_{\text{chrg}}$, consistent with the expected energy dependence [28]. We are in qualitative agreement with an earlier CLEO study of $R_{\text{chrg}}$ based on $\chi_b$ decays [3], which compared the multiplicities observed in

FIG. 1. $\pi^0$ contamination, as a function of recoil mass, in $gg\gamma$ events (squares), and in $qq\bar{q}\gamma$ events (circles); the $y$ axis gives the fraction of our apparent $gg\gamma$ (or $qq\bar{q}\gamma$) event sample which is actually $gg(\pi^0)$ (or $qq\bar{q}(\pi^0)$) events.

FIG. 2. A comparison of the charged multiplicity distributions for $gg\gamma$ and $gg(\pi^0)$ (top) and $qq\bar{q}\gamma$ and $qq\bar{q}(\pi^0)$ (bottom).

FIG. 3. Observed multiplicity distributions for background subtracted $gg\gamma$ and $qq\bar{q}\gamma$ data for different recoil mass ranges.

FIG. 4. Charged multiplicity ratio for background subtracted $gg\gamma/qq\bar{q}\gamma$ data.
numerically consistent with the measurement by DELPHI at note that the $q \bar{q} \bar{g}$ $x$ $\Lambda E$PH $@$ $b$ $x$ ($R$ $a$ limit $@$ $b$ $x$ $R$ due to the $1.08 \pm 0.02$ (statistical errors only), where the central value 1.08 obtains when the gluon emitted in $\chi_b \to q \bar{q} g$ events carries away zero energy. Our result is also numerically consistent with the measurement by DELPHI at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10$ GeV, albeit with substantially better precision. We note that the $q \bar{q}$ mixture in this experiment is likely to be different than the quark mixture for the high-energy experiments mentioned above. Recent analyses by OPAL [23] and ALEPH [21], in fact, have explicitly measured the difference in ratio values when comparing gluon jets to $b$-quark jets versus gluon jets to $uds$-quark jets.
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