Charmonium Decays of $Y(4260)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4040)$


Using data collected with the CLEO detector operating at the CESR $e^+e^-$ collider at $\sqrt{s} = 3.97-4.26$ GeV, we investigate 15 charmonium decay modes of the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $Y(4260)$ resonances. We confirm, at $11\sigma$ significance, the BABAR $Y(4260) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ discovery, make the first observation of $Y(4260) \to n^0 p^0 J/\psi$ ($5.1\sigma$), and find the first evidence for $Y(4260) \to K^+ K^- J/\psi$ ($3.7\sigma$). We measure $e^+e^-$ cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26$ GeV as $\sigma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = 58^{+13}_{-10} \pm 4$ pb, $\sigma(n^0 p^0 J/\psi) = 23^{+12}_{-11} \pm 1$ pb, and $\sigma(K^+ K^- J/\psi) = 9^{+3}_{-4} \pm 1$ pb, in which the uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively. Upper limits are placed on other decay rates from all three resonances.
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The region at center-of-mass energies above the charmonium open-flavor production threshold is of great interest to theory due to its richness of cc̄ states, the properties of which are not well understood. Prominent structures in the hadronic cross section are the ψ(3770), the ψ(4040), and the ψ(4160) [1]. Their main characteristics are large total widths, 2 orders of magnitude larger than for the lower-lying cc̄ states of JPC = 1−−, and weaker couplings to leptons than the J/ψ and ψ(2S). Decays to closed-charm final states are not favored due to the availability of open-charm channels.

Recently, observations of new charmoniumlike states in the same energy region have been reported [2]. Most of these have been observed to decay through open charm. However, an enhancement in the invariant mass spectrum of the closed-charm π+π−J/ψ final state has also been observed by BABAR in initial state radiation (ISR), e+e− → γ(π+π−J/ψ) [3]. A weaker signal was observed in the decay B → K(π+π−J/ψ) [4]. The observed line shape can be described by a single resonance, termed Y(4260), of mass M = 4259 ± 8^{+2}_{-6} MeV, width Γtot = 88 ± 23^{+4}_{-4} MeV, and coupling Γee × B(Y(4260) → π+π−J/ψ) = 5.5 ± 1.0^{+0.8}_{-0.7} eV. It is located quite unexpectedly at a local minimum of the hadronic cross section. Since it is observed in ISR, the new state must have JPC = 1−−, and therefore it can be studied directly in e+e− collisions at threshold. No other evidence for a resonance at this mass has been identified [5], leaving the existence and possible charmoniumlike nature of this state uncertain. Many interpretations have been suggested; to be compatible with the absence of a corresponding enhancement in open-charm production, most favor an unconventional explanation of Y(4260), such as hybrid charmonium [6,7], tetraquarks [8–10], or hadronic molecules [11–13]. One proposal [14] argues that Y(4260) is conventional: it identifies Y(4260) with the ψ(4S) vector cc̄ state, and relies upon interference effects to produce the dip in open-charm cross section and a hypothesized large coupling to π+π−J/ψ of the ψ(3S), commonly associated with the ψ(4040).

To further clarify the nature of Y(4260), investigation of both open and closed charm decays is necessary. Here, we report production cross sections measurements of 16 final states containing a J/ψ, ψ(2S), χcJ, or φ, in the ψ(4040), ψ(4160), and Y(4260) energy regions, motivated by the range of experimental tests suggested so far [6–14]. We use data taken at center-of-mass energies √s = 3.97–4.26 GeV, roughly half of it taken during a scan, complemented by a sample of an integrated luminosity ∫Ldt = 281 pb−1 at √s = 3.773 GeV previously acquired. Collisions were registered with the CLEO detector [15] at the CESR e+e− collider [16]. The scan data naturally separate into three regions: the ψ(4040) (√s = 3.97–4.06 GeV, ∫Ldt = 20.7 pb−1), the ψ(4160) (4.12–4.20 GeV, 81.4 pb−1), and √s = 4.26 GeV (13.2 pb−1).

Figure 1(a) shows a profile of ∫Ldt versus √s and Fig. 1(b) the Born-level Breit-Wigner line shapes [1] for the four resonances between √s = 3.7 and 4.4 GeV, also indicating the grouping of scan points. We estimate the number of resonances produced by folding together the luminosities with the resonance Breit-Wigner cross sections [17], including radiative corrections [18,19], and arrive at (93 ± 11) × 10^6(317 ± 41) × 10^6π+π−J/ψ(4040)/ψ(4160) mesons, where the dominant errors are the uncertainties on resonance parameters.

The CLEO detector [15] features a solid angle coverage of 93% for charged and neutral particles. The charged particle tracking system operates in a 1.0 T magnetic field parallel to the beam axis and achieves a momentum resolution of ∼0.6% at momenta of 1 GeV/c. The CsI crystal...
calorimeter attains photon energy resolutions of 2.2% for \( E_\gamma = 1 \) GeV and 5% at 100 MeV. Particle identification is performed with the specific ionization loss \( (dE/dx) \) and the Ring Imaging Cherenkov detector (RICH). Muons of momentum \( p > 1 \) GeV are separated from pions by their penetration of the calorimeter, solenoid coil, and up to three 36-cm-thick slabs of magnet iron for subsequent detection by wire chambers behind each slab. The integrated luminosity was measured using \( e^+e^- \), \( \gamma \gamma \), and \( \mu^+\mu^- \) events [20,21].

The final states analyzed here are listed in Table I. We require all particles in each final state to be reconstructed, and four-momentum conservation is enforced. Mass windows for the following light hadron decays are set, based on Monte Carlo (MC) studies: \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) (110–150 MeV); \( \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \), \( \eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \) (450–650 MeV); \( \eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta \), \( \eta' \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \) (930–980 MeV); \( \omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \) (730–830 MeV); and \( \phi \rightarrow K^+K^- \) (1.00–1.04 GeV).

We identify a \( J/\psi \) or \( \psi(2S) \) through its decay into \( \ell^+\ell^- \), \( \ell^+\ell^- = e^+e^- \), or \( \mu^+\mu^- \). A lepton candidate is identified by the ratio of the energy deposited in the calorimeter, \( E \), to the measured momentum, \( p \). Muon pair candidates must satisfy \( E/p < 0.25 \) for at least one of the tracks and \( E/p < 0.5 \) for the other; both electrons must have \( E/p > 0.85 \) and a \( dE/dx \) consistent with the value expected for an electron. Bremsstrahlung photons, defined as calorimeter showers found within a 100 mrad cone about the initial charged track direction, are added to the corresponding Lorentz vector for the \( M(\ell^+\ell^-) \) computation for each event. Pions faking muons are additionally suppressed by requiring at least one muon candidate per muon pair to leave a signature in the muon system. A lepton pair is classified as a \( J/\psi \) \( [\psi(2S)] \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \) candidate if the invariant mass of the decay products lies within 3.04–3.14 \([3.64–3.73]\) GeV. We also use the decay \( \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \), where we require \( M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = 3.64–3.73 \) GeV.

A \( \gamma \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi \) decay is tagged by the highest energy photon in the event having an energy within \((-60,+40)\) MeV of \( E_\gamma = \sqrt{s}/2 \). Events with \( M(\gamma \gamma) \) in the \( \pi^0 \) or \( \eta \) mass ranges are excluded. Any calorimeter shower other than the two radiative transition photon candidates must have \( E < 50 \) MeV. We select \( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \chi_{cJ} \), \( \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \) events \( (J = 1 \text{ or } 2) \) by demanding that \( M(\gamma J/\psi) \) as well as the mass recoiling against the \( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \) match \( \chi_{cJ} \) [1] within \( \pm 20 \) MeV.

For \( K^+K^- J/\psi \), the kaons have momenta that are too low for use of the RICH detector, so \( \pi^\pm \) rejection is achieved by requiring that at least one of the \( K^\pm \) candidates has momentum in the range 0.2–0.5 GeV/c and has \( dE/dx \) within 3 standard deviations of the expected value for \( K^\pm \). For \( \pi^+\pi^- \phi \) and \( \chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-) \) or \( \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^- \), \( K^\pm \) candidates must be positively identified as a kaon using a likelihood based upon both \( dE/dx \) and RICH responses, but none of the \( \pi^\pm \) candidates in either decay can be so identified as kaons. For the \( \omega \chi_{c0} \) mode, both the mass of the \( \chi_{c0} \) decay products and the mass recoiling against the \( \omega \) must lie within 50 MeV of \( M(\chi_{c0}) \) [1]. Similarly, for \( \pi^+\pi^- \phi \), the mass

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>( B_L ) (%)</th>
<th>( \epsilon ) (%)</th>
<th>( N_s )</th>
<th>( N_b )</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (pb)</th>
<th>( B ) (10(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} ) = 3970–4060 MeV</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} ) = 4120–4200 MeV</th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} ) = 4260 MeV</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (pb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>( 9.5_{-2}^{+3} )</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>8 ( \pm 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5 ( \pm 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K^+K^- J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>&lt;29</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>15(^{+8}_{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta^0 J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta' J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>&lt;17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^- J(2S) )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta(2S) )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega \chi_{c0} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>&lt;234</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma \chi_{c1} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>&lt;29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma \chi_{c2} )</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;76</td>
<td>&lt;17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \chi_{c1} )</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;47</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;9</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \chi_{c2} )</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;141</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;29</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^- \phi )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$ must lie in the range 0.94–1.10 GeV.

For $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and $\pi^+\pi^- \psi(2S)$, we require that $M(\pi^+\pi^-) > 350$ MeV and that neither pion candidate be identified as an electron via $E/p$ and $dE/dx$ as above. These cuts suppress $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$, $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events in which the $e^+e^-$ pair from the photon conversion is mistaken for the $\pi^+\pi^-$. For $\pi^+0 J/\psi$ as well as the $\eta J/\psi$ and $\gamma X_{cJ}$ modes that end in $\gamma\gamma e^+e^-$, background from Bhabha events is diminished by requiring $\cos\theta_{e^+} < 0.3$, where the polar angle is measured with respect to the incoming positron direction.

For modes with a $J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$ we restrict the missing momentum $k$, computed from the measured event momenta according to Eq. (6) of Ref. [22], to further reduce background. Signal events will have $k = 0$; selection windows are set separately for each mode according to the measurement resolution predicted by MC simulation, and range from $\pm 10$ MeV ($K^+K^- J/\psi$) and $\pm 15$ MeV ($\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$) to ($-35, +75$) MeV ($\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$).

Backgrounds in the $J/\psi$ and $\psi(2S)$ modes from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow$ hadrons are estimated from the yields seen in the data with the restrictions on $M(\ell^+\ell^-)$ and $k$ adjusted to correspond to masses either below or above $M_{J/\psi}$. The sideband windows, $|k| < 150$ MeV and either $M(\ell^+\ell^-) = 2.90 \pm 0.15$ GeV or $3.30 \pm 0.15$ GeV, are considerably wider than the signal regions, allowing for the accumulation of more statistics in the background estimates, and therefore are scaled down by the sideband/signal window width ratios prior to subtraction from the signal yields. The sideband scale factors are verified in MC simulations of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow$ hadrons. We perform a mass sideband subtraction for the $X_{c0}$, as a result of which all observed events can be attributed to background, and for $\phi$ and $\eta'\gamma$, also reducing the yield substantially; photon energy sidebands are used to estimate background in $\gamma X_{cJ}$. Background in $\pi\pi J/\psi$, $\eta J/\psi$, and $\gamma X_{cJ}$ modes produced in $\gamma\psi(2S)$ decay (with $M(\psi(2S)) = \sqrt{s} > 3686$ MeV) is summed along with that from the sidebands in Table I, and comprises 10%–40% of the total background in those modes. Further background related to particle misidentification is negligible. Other modes could be subjected to similar subtractions, but due to the absence of significant event populations, we forgo this option, and use uncorrected yields to compute (conservative) upper limits.

The EVTGEN event generator [23], which includes final state radiation [24], and a GEANT-based [25] detector simulation, are used to model the physics processes. The generator implements a relative $S$-wave ($P$-wave) configuration between the $\pi\pi$ ($\eta$ or $\pi^0$) and the $J/\psi$ or $\phi(2S)$. Detection efficiencies (Table I) range from 4%–38%, which incorporates the light meson branching fractions [1,26]. Already included are the effects of ISR, which reduce efficiencies by relative fractions of 8%–21%.

The radiative return process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S) \rightarrow XJ/\psi$ results in final states which are nearly identical to some of our signal modes, and thereby affords an opportunity to verify our understanding of efficiencies, background, and luminosity. To gather such events, we alter only the $k$ windows of the event selections, as such events will congregate not near $k = 0$ but rather around $k_0 = (s - M^2_{\psi(2S)})/2\sqrt{s}$. The cross section for this process can be calculated by integrating the convolution of a Breit-Wigner line shape (approximated by a $\delta$ function) with the ISR kernel $W$ from Eq. (28) of Ref. [18]:

$$
\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)) = \frac{12\pi^2\Gamma_{ee}}{sM_{\psi(2S)}} W(s, 2k_0/\sqrt{s}).
$$

Figure 1(c) shows this curve as well as the cross section measurement using $\psi(2S) \rightarrow XJ/\psi$, $X = \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, and $\eta$ [26], are shown in Fig. 1(c). The CLEO value for $\Gamma_{ee}[\psi(2S)]$ [22] sets the scale of the theoretical curve in the figure. The predicted number of observed radiative return events for the three channels together in all the scan data is $1462 \pm 37$, which compares favorably to 1462 events seen.

Table I lists cross section results for the $k = 0$ region. Cross section central values and errors are shown when the statistical significance (the likelihood that the observed event yield is due entirely to background) exceeds $2.5\sigma$; otherwise upper limits at 90% confidence level (C.L.) are shown. Poisson fluctuations of the background are taken into account in the computation of statistical uncertainties. Cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi J/\psi$ versus $\sqrt{s}$ are shown in Fig. 1(d); selected missing momentum and dipion mass distributions appear in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively.
yields for $\pi^+\pi^-\phi$ are consistent with the rate observed [27] from $e^+e^-\rightarrow \gamma^\ast$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.67$ GeV.

Systematic uncertainties arise from the following sources: luminosity (2%), charged particle tracking (1% per track), particle identification (1% per high momentum $e$, $\pi$, or $K$; 5% per K in $K^+K^0\phi$), 3% per $\mu$ pair for muon chamber modeling, and a mode-dependent contribution amounting to 50% of the total estimated background. Statistical uncertainties dominate. The total systematic error excluding the background uncertainty amounts to approximately 10% for most channels (up to 30% for $\chi_{cJ}$ modes and $\pi^+\pi^-\phi$). The only charmonium channels with more than 2.5$\sigma$ statistical significance are, at $\sqrt{s} = 4.260$ GeV, $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ (11$\sigma$), $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ (5.1$\sigma$), and $K^+K^-J/\psi$ (3.7$\sigma$); in the $\psi(4160)$ data set, $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ (7.0$\sigma$), $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ (3.8$\sigma$), and $\eta J/\psi$ (4.0$\sigma$); and in the $\psi(4040)$ data set, $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ (3.3$\sigma$). The $\psi(4160)$-region yields of $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ are consistent with being due entirely to the $Y(4260)$ low-side tail [3]. No compelling evidence is found for any other decays in the three resonance regions, and corresponding upper limits on cross sections [and, for $\psi(4040)$ and $\psi(4160)$ data sets, on branching fractions] are set. In particular, we find $\mathcal{B}(\psi(4040)\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi) < 0.4\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(\psi(4160)\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi) < 0.4\%$. These correspond to partial widths of less than 0.4 MeV in both cases, to be compared with the central values for $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ of 0.094 MeV and 0.045 MeV [1,22,26], respectively. While statistics are low, no prominent narrow features emerge in $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$, and the distribution is somewhat softer than the $\psi(2S)$-like MC prediction.

This analysis provides a high-significance confirmation of the BABAR signal of $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$. The observation of the $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ mode favors the $\chi_{cJ}\rho^0$ molecular model [11]. The fact that the $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ rate is about half that of $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ disagrees with the prediction of the baryonium model [12]. Our evidence of significant $K^+K^-J/\psi$ production is not compatible with these two models either. No evidence of a large $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ signal from the $\psi(4040)$ is observed, making the conventional $Y(4260) = \psi(4S)$ assignment [14] less attractive. The results are compatible with hybrid charmonium interpretations [6,7], but open-charm studies will be required to make more definitive conclusions. A large coupling to $D_s(2430)^0D^0$ and a small one to $D_s\bar{D}_s$ signals hybrid charmonium [6], whereas a dominant $D_s\bar{D}_s$ ($D\bar{D}$) could favor a $c\bar{s}s\bar{c}$ [6,9] $c\bar{q}q\bar{c}$ [8] tetraquark model.
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