Observation of $B \to \phi K$ and $B \to \phi K^*$
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We have studied two-body charmless hadronic decays of $B$ mesons into the final states $\phi K$ and $\phi K^*$. Using 9.7 million $B\bar{B}$ pairs collected with the CLEO II detector, we observe the decays $B^+ \to \phi K^-$ and $B^0 \to \phi K^{(*)0}$ with the following branching fractions: $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \phi K^-) = (5.5^{+3.2}_{-2.1} \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \phi K^{(*)0}) = (11.5^{+3.5}_{-2.4} \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6}$. We also see evidence for the decays $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ and $B^+ \to \phi K^{*-}$. However, since the statistical significance is not overwhelming for these modes, we determine upper limits of $<12.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $<22.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (90% confidence level), respectively.

The phenomenon of $C P$ violation can be accommodated in the standard model (SM) by a complex phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [1]. Whether this phase is the only source of $C P$ violation in nature remains an open experimental question. Studies of charmless $B$ meson decays will certainly play an important role in constraining the CKM matrix and testing the SM picture of $C P$ violation.

Flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC) are forbidden to first order in the SM. Second order loop diagrams, known as penguin and box diagrams, can generate effective FCNC which lead to $b \to s$ transitions. These processes are of considerable interest because they are sensitive to $V_{ts}$, the CKM matrix element which will be very difficult to measure in direct decays of the top quark. They are also sensitive to nonstandard model physics [2], since charged Higgs bosons, new gauge bosons, or supersymmetric particles can contribute via additional loop diagrams.

Among final states produced by the gluonic penguin, $b \to sg$, decay modes in which a gluon splits into two strange quarks, $g \to ss$, play a special role since they cannot be produced by any other $b$ decay with comparable rate, thus providing an unambiguous signature for the gluonic penguin. As illustrated in Fig. 1, a particularly clean final state is produced when the kaon includes the spectator quark and no pions are emitted.

In this Letter we present the first significant measurements of exclusive charmless hadronic decays $B \to \phi K$ and $B \to \phi K^*$. Measurements of other charmless hadronic decay modes by CLEO are discussed elsewhere [3].

The data set used in this analysis was collected with the CLEO detector at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring (CESR). It consists of 9.13 fb$^{-1}$ taken at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ (on-resonance), corresponding to 9.66M $B\bar{B}$ pairs, and 4.35 fb$^{-1}$ taken below $B\bar{B}$ threshold, used for continuum background studies.

CLEO II is a general purpose solenoidal magnet detector [4]. Cylindrical drift chambers in a 1.5 T solenoidal magnetic field measure momentum and specific ionization $(dE/dx)$ of charged particles. Photons are detected using a 7800-crystal CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter. In the CLEO II.0 detector configuration, the innermost chamber was replaced by a three-layer, double-sided silicon vertex detector, and the gas in the main drift chamber was changed from an argon-ethane to a helium-propane mixture. As a result of these modifications, the CLEO II.V portion of the data (2/3 of the total) has improved particle identification and momentum resolution.

In the analysis presented here we search for $B$ meson decays by selecting $\phi$ and $K$ ($K^*$) decay candidates using specific criteria. Track quality requirements are imposed on charged tracks, and pions and kaons are identified by $(dE/dx)$ and the ratio of the track momentum to the associated shower energy in the CsI calorimeter; muons are rejected based on their penetration depth in the instrumented steel flux return. The $K_S^0$ candidates are selected from $\pi^+ \pi^-$ pairs forming well-measured secondary vertices with invariant mass within 3 standard deviations (6) of the nominal $K_S^0$ mass and a decay path significance of at least 3$\sigma$. The neutral pion candidates are formed from pairs of isolated photolinear energy clusters in the CsI calorimeter with invariant mass within $-3.5$ and $+3.0$ standard deviations of the $\pi^0$ mass. The $\phi$ meson candidates have $K^+K^-$ mass within $\pm20$ MeV$/c^2$ ($\pm4.5\Gamma$, $\Gamma =$ natural width) of the known $\phi$ mass, and the specific ionization of the tracks is consistent with the $K^+K^-$ hypothesis. The $K^+$ candidates are reconstructed in four modes: $K^{(*)0} \to K^-\pi^+$, $K^{(*)0} \to K^0\pi^0$, $K^{*-} \to K^-\pi^0$, and $K^{*-} \to K^0\pi^-$. Their masses lie within $\pm75$ MeV$/c^2$ ($\pm1.5\Gamma$) of the respective known masses. Charmless two-body $B$ decays produce the fastest secondary particles among all $B$ decays. Therefore, to reduce combinatoric backgrounds only the fastest $\phi$ and the fastest $K$ ($K^*$) are used in these events with multiple combinations.

The $B$ decay candidate is identified via its invariant mass and its total energy. We calculate a beam-constrained $B$ mass $M_B = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - p_B^2}$, where $p_B$ is the $B$ candidate momentum and $E_{\text{beam}}$ is the beam energy. The resolution in $M_B$ is dominated by the beam energy spread. We define $\Delta E = E_1 + E_2 - E_{\text{beam}}$, where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the
energies of the daughters of the $B$ meson candidate. We accept events with $M_B$ above 5.2 GeV/$c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 200$ MeV.

We have studied backgrounds from $b \to c$ decays and other $b \to u$ and $b \to s$ decays and find that all are negligible for the analyses presented here. The main background arises from events with $b$ and other final states that are both linear functions, and such events typically exhibit a two-jet structure and can produce high momentum back-to-back tracks in the fiducial region, while $b\bar{b}$ events tend to have more spherical structure, since the $B$ mesons are produced nearly at rest.

To reduce contamination from these background events, we require the event to have $H_2/H_0 < 0.6$, where $H_i$ are Fox-Wolfram moments [5].

We extract the signal yields from unbinned, extended maximum-likelihood fits of the preselected on-resonance data separately for each topology ($\phi K^-$, $\phi K^0$, $\phi K^{*0} K^-$, $\phi K^{*0}K^0\pi^+$, $\phi K^{0*}K^-$, $\phi K^{0*}K^0\pi^+$). For all modes, we distinguish signal from background using $M_B$, $\Delta E$, $|\cos\theta_B|$, $m_K$ (the angle between the thrust axes of the $B$ candidate and that of the rest of the event), $|\cos\theta_f|$ (the angle between the $B$ candidate momentum and beam axis), and $m_2$ (the mass of the $\phi$ candidate). In addition, we include $|\cos\phi_f|$ (the $\phi$ helicity angle, defined as the kaon direction in the $\phi$ rest frame) for $\phi K^-$ and $\phi K^0$ modes, $m_{K^*}$ (the mass of the $K^*$ candidate) for $\phi K^*$ modes, and $S_K$ (the number of standard deviations from the predicted $dE/dx$ value) of $K^-$ when applicable.

In each of these fits, the likelihood of the event is the sum of probabilities for the signal and background hypotheses, with relative weights determined by maximizing the likelihood function $\mathcal{L}$. The probability of a particular hypothesis is calculated as a product of the probability density functions (PDFs) for each of the input variables. The signal PDFs are represented by a double Gaussian for $M_B$ and $\Delta E$, by a Breit-Wigner function on top of a linear polynomial for $m_\phi$ and $m_{K^*}$, by $1 - |\cos\phi_f|^2$ for $|\cos\theta_f|$, by a third order polynomial for $|\cos\theta_B|$, and by $|\cos\phi_f|^2$ for $|\cos\theta_f|$. The background distributions for the intermediate resonance masses are parametrized by the sum of a Breit-Wigner and a low-order polynomial. For $M_B$, we use an empirical shape $f(M_B) \propto M_B \sqrt{1 - x^2} \exp[-\gamma(1 - x^2)]$; $x = M_B/E_{\text{beam}}$ [6]. The background $\Delta E$ and $|\cos\theta_f|$ PDFs are both linear functions, and $|\cos\theta_f|$ is parametrized by the sum of two terms $|\cos\theta_f|^{a_1}$ with different exponents. The signal and background dependences of $S_K$ are bifurcated Gaussian functions.

The parameters for the PDFs are determined from off-resonance data (background) and from high-statistics Monte Carlo (MC) samples (signal). In the signal MC data, we model $B \to \phi K^{*0}$ as a two-body decay, where for $\phi K^{*0}$ we assume equal amplitudes for longitudinal and transverse polarizations. Dependence on the unknown decay polarization is included in the systematic uncertainty. We use a Geant [7] based simulation to model the detector response in detail. Further details about the likelihood fit method can be found in Ref. [8].

To illustrate the fits, we show in Fig. 2 $M_B$ and $\Delta E$ projections for the modes with significant signals.

Events entering these plots must satisfy a requirement on the signal-to-background likelihood ratios $R \equiv \log(P_{\text{sig}}/P_{\text{bg}})$, where $P_{\text{sig}}$ ($P_{\text{bg}}$) are signal (background) likelihoods for each event $i$, computed without $M_B$ and $\Delta E$, respectively. This additional cut accepts about 2/3 of the preselected signal events in the MC sample.

We summarize the results for all $B$ decay modes, corresponding submodes, and the combined modes in Table I, where we assume equal branching fractions for charged and neutral $B$ meson decays [9].

We combine the samples from multiple secondary decay channels by adding the $-2 \log \mathcal{L}$ functions of the branching fraction. The statistical significance of a given signal yield is determined from the change in $-2 \log \mathcal{L}$ when refit with the signal yield fixed to zero. The largest contributions to the systematic uncertainties come, with about equal weight, from uncertainties in the parametrization of the PDFs, decay polarization dependence, and possible background from other $B$ decays. [The $B \to \phi K^*$ decay may be longitudinally or transversely polarized. Assuming 100% transverse polarization we obtain $B(B \to \phi K^*) = (13.6^{+5.3}_{-4.9}) \times 10^{-6}$ (statistical errors only) and $B(B^0 \to \phi K^{*0}) = (12.8^{+7.0}_{-5.6}) \times 10^{-6}$. Assuming 100% transverse polarization the two decay modes of $K^{*0}$ were weighted according to the statistical errors of the fits.
longitudinal polarization we measure $\mathcal{B}(B \to \phi K^-) = (9.9^{+4.2}_{-3.4} \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \phi K^-) = (9.9^{+6.0}_{-4.6}) \times 10^{-6}$. To estimate the uncertainty due to the unknown polarization we assumed that any value between 100% longitudinal and 100% transverse polarization is equally likely.

We observe a significant signal (above 5$\sigma$) for the decays $B^- \to \phi K^-$ and $B^0 \to \phi K^0$. Since the statistical significances for the $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ and $B^- \to \phi K^-$ modes are not large (2.9$\sigma$ and 3.1$\sigma$, respectively), we calculate 90% C.L. upper limits by integrating the likelihood curve to 90% of its total area and increasing it by one unit of the systematic error.

In summary, we have measured $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \phi K^-) = (5.5^{+2.1}_{-1.8} \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \phi K^0) = (11.5^{+4.5}_{-3.7} \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-6}$ each with statistical significance above 5$\sigma$. The statistical significance of the $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ and $B^- \to \phi K^-$ signals are 2.9$\sigma$ and 3.1$\sigma$, respectively. The measured rates are $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \phi K^0) = (5.4^{+3.7}_{-2.3} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \phi K^-) = (10.6^{+7.6}_{-4.9} \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-6}$. Since the statistical significance in these modes is limited we set upper limits of $<12.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $<22.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (at 90% C.L.), respectively. Averaging over $B^0$ and $B^-$ we obtain $\mathcal{B}(B \to \phi K) = (5.5^{+2.1}_{-1.8} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ (6.1$\sigma$) and $\mathcal{B}(B \to \phi K^-) = (11.2^{+3.6}_{-3.1} \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-6}$ (5.9$\sigma$). The measured branching fractions lie in the range of theoretical predictions (see Table I). Since there is a considerable spread in theoretical predictions among various calculations, our results will help constrain model parameters.
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