The National Association for Retarded Citizens

1974 Avenues to Change: Book III: Effective Advocacy, Arlington, Texas: The Association.

Olshansky, S.

1966 "Parent Responses to a Mentally Defective Child," Mental Retardation, 4:21-23.

The President's Committee on Mental Retardation

1973 Silent Minority, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Richard, B.W.

1976 "Health and Longevity," Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities, 8:168-187.

Robertson, J.A.

1975 "Involuntary Euthanasia of Defective Newborns," Stanford Law Review, 27(2):215; 269.

Snyder, R.

1966 "Personality Adjustments, Self-Attitudes, and Anxiety Differences in Retarded Adolescents," American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 71:33-41.

Solnit, A.J. and M.H. Stark

1961 "Mourning and the Birth of a Defective Child," in The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child, 16:523-548.

Tringo, J.L.

1970 "The Hierarchy of Preference Toward Disability Groups,"
Journal of Special Education, 4:295-306.

Waskowitz, C.

1959 "The Parents of Retarded Children Speak for Themselves," Journal of Pediatrics, 54:319-329.

Watts, A.

1951 The Wisdom of Insecurity, New York: Random House.

White, W.D. and W. Wolfensberger

1969 "The Evolution of Dehumanization in Our Institutions," Mental Retardation, 7:5-9.

Wolfensberger, W.

1972 Normalization, Toronto: National Institute on Mental Retardation.

"The Extermination of Handicapped People in World War II German," Mental Retardation, 19(1):1-7.

Zaharia, E.S. and A.A. Baumeister

1978 "Technician Turnover and Absenteeism in Public Residential Facilities," American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 82:580-593

Zigler, E.

"Familial Mental Retardation: A Continuing Dilemma," Science 155:292-298, cited in N. Bernstein, Intellectual Deficit and Personality Development, in N. Bernstein, (ed.), Diminished People, Boston: Little, Brown, p. 178.

THE "INTRODUCTORY SOCIOLOGY SURVEY": AN INSTRUMENT TO FACILITATE TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

Clarence Parks Texas A&M University

Chester Ballard Longwood College

Elizabeth Maret Texas A&M University

Mid-American Review of Sociology, 1981, Vol. VI, No, 2:61-77

Many colleges and universities in recent years have shown an increased interest in the teaching effectiveness of their faculty. College-wide teacher rating instruments have become commonplace in many classrooms, including the introductory sociology classroom. Sociologists often express concern over these college-wide instruments for at least two reasons. First, sociologists have been rated generally by students as poor teachers (Linsky and Straus, 1973). Second, the applicability of these broad instruments to varied courses and circumstances is often questionable (Goldsmid and Wilson, 1980).

Many sociologists do not believe current instruments to be good measures of the effectiveness of sociology instruction. Nevertheless, demands for accountability exist, and may indeed increase as college budgets tighten and enrollments drop. Given both administrative demands and professional needs for assessment, the "Teaching Effectiveness Group" in the Sociology Department at Texas A&M University has developed an "Introductory Sociology Survey." This instrument is designed with two major objectives in mind:

 to provide information for the use of individual instructors in planning course materials relevant to the experiences of students; and 2. to provide a data base for testing research hypotheses regarding the effects of introductory sociology on student perceptions of the nature and importance of the discipline.

The survey is not another testing device to measure the acquisition of sociological concepts or skills. Rather, this instrument is intended to assess affective goals in teaching introductory sociology. These affective goals are defined as student perceptions of the nature and importance of the discipline of sociology, held at the conclusion of the introductory course.

Many academic sociologists develop hypotheses about their teaching effectiveness, for example, hypotheses concerning the influences of class size, instruction style, or student preconceptions on teaching goals. Such hypotheses are crucial for an informed policy on the part of both the individual instructor and administration. However, as important as such hypotheses are for a rational approach to classroom instruction, they are seldom tested. Hence, many instructors may feel a vague sense of disquietude as administration increases the size of their classes, but most cannot articulate the impact of such policy on their teaching goals. This instrument would provide the data necessary to allow systematic assessment of factors which influence teaching effectiveness, in particular, factors which influence the affective goals of teaching introductory sociology.

The Introductory Survey is administered in two parts. The "main survey" is administered on the first day of class. The "follow-up survey" is administered at the end of the semester. Questions contained in the main and follow-up surveys are delineated on the following pages. A schematic summary of the measured independent and dependent variables is provided in Table 1. Questionnaire items from the main and follow-up surveys are identified respectively by the letters S and F. In Table 1, indicators for three sets of independent variables, or classroom influences, are identified along with indicators of the two sets of dependent variables, or affective teaching goals.

Student background characteristics, identified as S1-S44, provide information on standard demographic variables and on student values, attitudes, and preconceptions of sociology as

TABLE 1

A Schematic of Independent and Dependent Variables and Their Location in the Introductory Sociology Survey (T1) and Follow-up (T2)

	Location in Intro- ductory Sociology Survey (T1)	Location in Follow-up Survey (T2)
Independent Variables		
Student Background Characteristics	S1-S44	
Structural Characteristics	S45-S47	F3, F5
Instruction Related Characteristics	S48	F4, F6
Dependent Variables		
Resulting Perceptions of the Discipline		F7-F14
Resulting Perceptions of Course Importance		F1, F2

a discipline. Such information should be useful to the individual instructor in adapting course materials to the needs and interests of a particular class. This information regarding student background characteristics can also be used to test hypotheses concerning the influence of characteristics such as student preconceptions on affective teaching goals.

Structural characteristics are identified as S45 through S47 (in the main survey) and F3, F5 (in the follow-up). The measured structural characteristics include: reasons for taking the class, expected and received grade, and actual and desired class size. It is hypothesized that class size is a structural variable that will be related to teaching effectiveness among introductory classes. It is also hypothesized that among students within a class, incongruence between expected and received grade will be related to resulting perceptions of course importance. Although additional structural variables can be identified, these measures provide some indication of structural circumstances which may influence affective teaching goals.

Similarly, the instruction related characteristics are not exhaustive, but should allow analysis of the importance of presentation styles and use of relevant examples for affective teaching goals. These instruction-related characteristics are measured by the items S48, F4, and F6. Knowledge of the student, structual, and instruction-related characteristics should provide a base for professional efforts to identify classroom elements which serve to facilitate or inhibit affective goals of teaching introductory sociology.

The affective teaching goals are conceptualized in Table 1 as the dependent variables of: (1) resulting perceptions of the discipline and (2) resulting perceptions of course importance. These two goals are analytically distinct in that one involves the nature of sociology as a discipline, while the other involves perceptions of course importance. Both of these variables may be central to life long opinions about the profession of sociology as well as to more immediate decisions about additional courses. These variables are operationally defined as questions F7-F14 and F1-F2.

By hypothesizing relationships between the sets of independent and dependent variables, the instructor as researcher may use this instrument to test a large number of postulates about the effectiveness of teaching. Our belief is that use of this instrument will provide systematic data to help sociologists evaluate and improve teaching effectiveness in Introductory Sociology.

FOOTNOTE

1. Data from these surveys can be analyzed at both the aggregate and individual levels. Individual level data can be obtained, while allowing anonymity, by the use of random numbers given to each student to identify the main and follow-up surveys. At A&M we simply ask students to record the last three digits of a seven digit ID number on both questionnaires.

REFERENCES

Linsky, Arnold S. and Murray A. Straus

1973 "Student Evaluations of Teaching: A Comparison of Sociology with other Disciplines." Teaching Sociology 1(October):103-18.

Goldsmid, Charles A. and Everett K. Wilson

Passing on Sociology: The Teaching of a Discipline. Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, California.

INTRODUCTORY SOCIOLOGY SURVEY

The purpose of this survey is to improve the effectiveness of undergraduate teaching. Please be candid in your responses.

I.

Information About You and Your Family.		
1.	Sex:Male	Female
2.	Age:	
3.	Marital Status:	MarriedUnmarried
4.	College Classification:	
	Freshman	Junior
	Sophomore	Senior
		Other
5.	Ethnic Group:	
	Oriental	White/Caucasian
	Black/Negro	Mexican-American/Chicano
		Other (Specify)
6.	Are you presently emp	loyed?
	No	Yes, for hours per week
7.	Major	
8.	How many credit hour for this semester?	s are you registered
9.	Do you plan to finish w	vork for a bachelor's degree?
	Yes1	NoDon't know
10.	What do you plan to do	when you graduate?
	Work/get a job _	Go to graduate school
	Other (Specify)	

11.	How many total years of school did your mother complete			
	Less than 5 years			
	Elementary school only (8 years)			
	Some high school (9-11 years)			
	Finished high school (12 years)			
	Some college (13-15 years)			
	A college degree (16 years)			
	An advanced professional degree such as Ph.D., M.D., D.V.M.			
12.	How many total years of school did you father complete?			
	Less than 5 years			
	Elementary school only (8 years)			
	Some high school (9-11 years)			
	Finished high school (12 years)			
	Some college (13-15 years)			
	A college degree (16 years)			
	An advanced professional degree such as Ph.D., M.D., D.V.M.			
13.	What is/was your mother's occupation?			
14.	What is/was your father's occupation?			
15.	Which social class would you say you belong to?			
	Lower classMiddle class			
	Working class Upper class			
	Don't know			
16.	What occupation do you intend to pursue?			
17.	Where did you live while attending high school?			
	(city or town/county) (state)			

18.	How many students were in your high school graduating class?	
19.	What is your religious preference?	
20.	Do you live:	
	On campusOf	f campus
21. What types of clubs/organizations do you belong to: (Che all that apply)?		nizations do you belong to: (Check
	Military	Academic/professional
	Sorority/Fraternity	Hobby
	Church-affiliated	Nationality Group
	Political	Farm organization
	Sports	Literary or Art Group
	Youth Groups	Other (Specify)
22.	On average, how many ho	ours of day do you spend:
	watching TV	
	reading non-text boo	ks
	listening to music	
	reading newspaper an	d periodicals
	sleeping	
	studying	
	hanging around with	friends

Some statements concerning attitudes are presented below. Please check the one response that best describes your attitude.

II.	Yo	ur General Attitudes and	Opinions
	23.	Getting money and mat	terial things out of life are very impor-
		strongly agree	undecided
		agree	disagree
		_	strongly disagree
	24.	Getting ahead is one of	the most important things in life.
		strongly agree	undecided
		agree	disagree
		_	strongly disagree
	25.	It is important to own clothing, which are at and friends.	material things, such as a house, car, or least as good as those of my neighbors
		strongly agree	undecided
		agree	disagree
		_	strongly disagree
	26.	In your opinion, do mo lives result from their o	st of the things that happen in people's own doing?
		strongly agree	undecided
		agree	disagree
		_	strongly disagree
	27.	How would you describe	e your <i>political</i> views?
		very liberal	middle of the road
		liberal	conservative
			very conservative

	28.	. In general, do you feel you have control over the things that happen in your life?	
		always sometimes	
		usually rarely	
		never	
		Briefly explain your response	
	29.	How important is religion to you in conducting your every-day life?	
		always important occasionally important	
		usually important seldom important	
		never important	
II.		ur Experiences, Attitudes, and Perceptions About se Social World.	
	30.	Please rank five of the following items which you value most: friends, family, money, religion, cars, clothes, education, helping people, entertainment, sports, job, health, happiness, freedom, love, looks.	
		1	
		2	
		3	
		4	
		5	
	31.	Are there other things you value which are not included in the above list?	
		NoYes (Specify)	

ing the (50 million) poor country?	d have the responsibility for h and disadvantaged people in
In your opinion, what happe	ens in society is the result of:
Using a scale of numbers (ficult to 1 for very easy, plo fields of study:	5, 4, 3, 2, 1) from 5 for very ease evaluate each of the follow
accounting	math
agricultural economics	military science
anthropology	physical education (PE
business management	physics
education	political science
engineering	poultry science
general studies	psychology
geology	sociology
history	wildlife/fisheries

36	Do you feel the materia in your life?	al of this course could be of importanc
	Yes, because	
	No, because	
	Do not know	
Some state the respons	ements concerning sociolo se that best describes your	ogy are presented below. Please check opinion of what sociology is.
37.	Sociology is scientific.	
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
38.	Sociology deals with dif	ficult subject matter.
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
39.	Sociology is useful.	
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
40.	Sociology is objective.	
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
41.	Sociology is different from	m common sense.
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
41.	agree somewhat Sociology is different fromstrongly agree	disagree somewhatstrongly disagree n common sensedon't knowdisagree somewhat

42.	Sociology can help me better understand my social world.	
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
43.	Sociology is not an acad	emic branch of social work.
	strongly agree	don't know
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
44.	Did you take a sociology	course in high school?
	YesN	0
45.	What are your reasons fo	or taking this introductory sociology
46.	What grade do you expe	ct to make in this course?
47.	What size class would y ology course?	ou prefer for your introductory soci-
	less than 15	51 - 80
	15 - 30	_more than 80
	31 - 50	_don't know

	. 0,
48.	Please rank the following styles of presentation of class material from 1 for the style you generally find most use ful to 7 for the style you generally find least useful.
	lecture by professor
	class discussion between professor and members of the class
	activities within the classroom (group discussion, group work, etc.)
	field trips
	films
	guest speakers
	student presentations

FOLLOW-UP INTRODUCTORY SOCIOLOGY SURVEY

This is a follow-up to the survey designed to improve the effectiveness of undergraduate teaching which you took at the beginning of the semester. Please be candid in your response.

1.	Do you plan to take additional sociology courses:
	Yes
	No
	Undecided
2.	Do you feel the material of this course has been or could be of of importance to you?
	Yes, because
	No, because
	Do not know
3.	What grade to you expect to make in this course?
4.	Does your instructor use examples which you find relevant to you life?
	oftenseldom
	sometimesnever
5.	What is the acutal size of your Introductory Sociology class?
	less than 1551 - 80
	15 - 30more than 80
	31 - 50don't know

6.	low from 1 for the mo	of presentation of class material listed be- est frequently employed by your instructor, ently employed in your class.
	lecture by professo	r
	class discussion bet	ween professor and members of the class
	activities within the etc.)	e classroom (group discussion, workgroups,
	field trips	
	films or simulation	/games
	guest speakers	
	student presentatio	ons
Some s	statements concerning so conse that best describes	ciology are presented below. Please check your opinion of what sociology is.
7.	Sociology is scientific:	
	strongly agree	undecided
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
8.	Sociology deals with dif	ficult subject matter:
	strongly agree	undecided
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree
9.	Sociology is useful:	
	strongly agree	undecided
	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat
		strongly disagree