71	

Contents

Subject Index	103
Index of Word Forms	105
About the Author	115

Foreword

Marc L. Greenberg

The volume before you is the English translation of Adnan Čirgić's handbook Dijalektologija crnogorskoga jezika, translated into English as Dialectology of the Montenegrin Language by Goran Drinčić. Čirgić holds a PhD from the Josip Juraj Strossmayer University in Osijek, Croatia, where he defended his dissertation on the dialect of Podgorica Muslims in 2007. He is the founding dean of the Faculty of Montenegrin Language and Literature (Fakultet za crnogorski jezik i književnost), established in 2010, in Cetinje, the old royal capital of Montenegro. Though a young scholar—born in 1980—Čirgić has produced a prodigious number of publications, already growing past some 600 texts, including articles, authored and edited books, and textbooks. Notably, he is the coauthor of a standard grammar (Čirgić, Pranjković, and Silić 2010) and of the contemporary orthography of standard Montenegrin (Perović, Silić, Vasiljeva, Čirgić, and Šušanj 2010) (see also Vujović 2018). He is the founding editor of Lingua Montenegrina, the journal of Montenegrin philology, published by the Faculty. He was the recipient of the prestigious Montenegrin 13 July Award (Trinaestojulska nagrada) in 2018 for his numerous contributions to research on and standardization of the Montenegrin language.

The Dialectology of the Montenegrin Language is the first attempt in a monograph to treat the Montenegrin dialects as a linguistic area, focusing on the structural characteristics of the Montenegrin portion of the larger Štokavian dialect, which is now spoken in four different successor states of the former Yugoslavia and corresponds to the four standard languages of those states: Bosnian, Croatian, Montenegrin, Serbian.

The English translation presents the nonspecialist and the Slavistic reader with an opportunity to learn about the dialect diversity of this small region that challenges received notions of the structural characteristics of language

Foreword

formerly known as "Serbo-Croatian." To raise but one example, every Slavist "knows" that voicing neutralization of final obstruents occurs everywhere in Slavic except in standard Ukrainian and Serbo-Croatian. In three recent overviews of issues in Slavic phonology we read:

Voicing assimilation is common to all Slavic languages, and word-final devoicing occurs in most Slavic languages, with the notable exception of Ukrainian (East Slavic) and Štokavian dialects of BCS (South Slavic). (Kavitskaya 2017, 400)

The potential word-final opposition of voiced vs. voiceless was not realized in most Slavic zones, such as Russian, due to the later devoicing of obstruents in word-final position. However, some Slavic languages, such as Ukrainian and Standard (Štokavian) Serbo-Croatian, do have the word-final voicing opposition, which arose as a result of *jer*-fall. (Feldstein in Jakobson 2018/1929, 90)

All the Slavic languages—with the exception of BCMS and Ukrainian—neutralize the opposition voiceless/voiced in favor of voiceless: b, d, g, v, z are thus realized as p, t, k, f, s. (Feuillet 2018, 97; translation MLG)

These authors' statements are not incorrect, so much as they are imprecise. They capture a top-level generalization and are based on selected dialects that form the basis for the respective standard languages, but they omit notable exceptions. This bit of generalized "fact" is repeated in the literature to the point where it is a catechism about the structures found in Slavic languagesany number of handbooks would have yielded similar statements. Yet, counterexamples can be found in dialect handbooks; for example, Ivić (1958, 36, 44, 118, 214, 219, 277) and Lisac (2003, 21, 101, 108, 144) note this fact about Štokavian final devoicing in various dialect areas, among which the dialects in Montenegro also figure, and, accordingly, this phenomenon is accounted for in Čirgić's handbook. The point is that structural facts, such as pervasive exceptions found in dialects, are either ignored or sifted out of the literature, presumably because what we "know" about Slavic languages is based on standard languages, which are by definition scrubbed of their dialect diversity. In this regard, Kavitskaya's and Feldstein's formulations are instructive, as they project back onto the relevant "Stokavian dialects," a fact that applies to an idealized dialect or set of dialects on which the currentnow four-standards are based. Jakobson himself noted in his "Remarks . . . ," originally published in 1929, that the neutralization of voicing is the problem that requires explanation. Writing about the consequences of the loss of weak jers, he writes: "Curiously, in the majority of Slavic dialects, particularly in the majority of Russian dialects, this opposition has been eliminated and the former relationship has been reestablished: voiced and voiceless are phonologically opposed only before vowels and sonorant consonants" (2018/1929,

78). The matter is not trivial, as it potentially reveals a fundamental difference in dialect systems in Common Slavic prior to the fall of weak jers. Andersen identifies the distinction as a matter of voicing versus protensity features (1986); Sawicka (2001) identifies the neutralization dialects as belonging to a northern Slavic archaic zone and the non-neutralizing dialects to an innovative zone and declares the matter still open. Moreover, Danylenko points out that the neutralization feature is in play concerning the contested question of contact features in Carpathian and Balkan *Sprachbünde* (2019: 361–362). In short, discoveries remain to be made and it is in the examination of rich variation data where we are likely to make them.

In the present handbook, Čirgić takes issue with what he discusses as traditional Serbo-Croatistics. The study of dialectology in the Western South Slavic area in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries has been tied to the ideological project of unifying as much of this territory into as large a linguistic community as possible. The South Slavic manifestation of the Pan-Slavic movement in the early nineteenth century of what was later to become the Yugoslav project intended to unify all Slavs in a roughly triangular region from Villach in Austria, to Varna in Bulgaria, to Lake Scutari in Montenegro by means of a single, artificially amalgamated language. named Illyrian (Greenberg 2011, 365). An alternative, compromise solution, formalized in 1850, narrowed the project to Croatian and Serbian as two variants of a single language based on the Štokavian dialect. This settlement on standardization informed not just the writing systems of Kingdom of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes that emerged from the disintegration of empires at the end of World War I, but it established the framework for all linguistic inquiry into the language in the region for the duration of Yugoslavia, until its dissolution in the early 1990s. The highly influential composite isogloss maps of the Western South Slavic area drawn by Serbian dialectologist Pavle Ivić (1924–1999) in his 1958 handbook (pp. 31, 32), of which the Štokavian dialect territory occupies the majority share, emphasize the gradual nature of the transition in dialect diversity from the bundle of isoglosses (the closest one gets to a border in a linguistically comprehensible sense) separating off Slovene in the west and the Macedo-Bulgarian "fan" in the east. This unificatory view of Štokavian dialects as an organic reflection of "Serbo-Croatian" corresponds to the reality behind the view-impressionistic as it may be-that all Štokavian is mutually intelligible. Yet mutual intelligibility may also obscure structural diversity. Moreover, legal reification of such unificatory concepts can also render ethnolinguistic identities "invisible" and, in effect, "erase" them (see Greenberg 2017-2018: 434). Along with such identities, the structural facts behind the regional differentiation of language varieties in Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro are blithely occluded by the reduction to "Serbo-Croatian." In this framework, Čirgić

Foreword

critically examines Ivić's contributions as the leading authority on dialectology during the period of "Serbo-Croatistics," pointing out that other scholars, such as the Bosnian dialectologist Asim Peco (1927–2011), had in the same period helped to better understand the full range of dialect diversity, focusing in particular on the features of the Eastern Herzegovinian dialect group, which straddles Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro. These and similar scholars' research, discussed in Čirgić's overview, were backgrounded against the prevailing and officially valorized unitaristic perspective during the Yugoslav period.

Language matters are always complicated and confusing, even to linguists, because language is a slippery concept-consider Max Weinreich's now hackneyed dictum about a dialect with an army and a navy-a concept viewed differently from different perspectives. Nakazawa (2015, 127) opened his essay with the question "What is Montenegrin language (crnogorski iezik)?" Such a question can be answered in different ways, depending on who is asking and who is answering and what the defining criteria are. In the conclusion to his article, Nakazawa noted that the Serbian linguist Ranko Bugarski "called the dissolution of Serbo-Croatian an 'administrative dissolution" but that "actually, there are no extreme changes in the practical language use-people speak as they have been speaking in the past. However, the administrative dissolution creates a symbolic difference" (Nakazawa 2015, 136). But symbolic differences are not just "out there"—they are accepted or rejected by people who use and internalize them in their cognitive frames for their perception of the world. Symbolic notions can answer the question "Who am I?" Language data and language structure, however, offer another perspective. In a real sense, they are "out there" and can be examined as things, as it were. Čirgić's handbook asks us to consider, in this sense, the "things" that make Montenegrin a language.

WORKS CITED

Andersen, Henning. "Sandhi and Prosody: Reconstruction and Typology" In Sandhi Phenomena in the Languages of Europe, 231–48. Edited by Henning Andersen. The Hague: Mouton de Gruyter, 1986.

Čirgić, Adnan. *Dijalektologija crnogorskoga jezika* (= Biblioteka Montenegrina 19). Cetinje: Fakultet za crnogorski jezik i književnost, 2017.

Čirgić, Adnan, Ivo Pranjković, and Josip Silić. 2010. *Gramatika crnogorskoga jezika*. Podgorica: Ministarstvo prosvjete i nauke Crne Gore.

Danylenko, Andrii. "Balkanism and Carpathianisms or, Carpathian Balkanisms?" In Slavic on the Language Map of Europe: Historical and Areal-Typological Dimensions (= Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 333), 347–83.

Edited by Andrii Danylenko and Motoki Nomachi. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2019.

Feuillet, Jack. Linguistique comparée des langues slaves (= Collection de manuels publiée par l'Institut d'études slaves XVI). Paris: Institut d'études slaves, 2018.

Greenberg, Marc L. "The Illyrian Movement: A Croatian Vision of South Slavic Unity." Handbook of Language and Ethnic Identity: The Success-Failure Continuum in Language Identity Efforts, vol. 2, 364–80. Edited by Joshua A. Fishman and Ofelia García. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.

Greenberg, Marc L. "Notes on a New Dialectology of Montenegrin." In And Thus You Are Everywhere Honored: Studies Dedicated to Brian D. Joseph, 135-45. Edited by James J. Pennington, Victor A. Friedman, and Lenore A. Grenoble. Bloomington, IN: Slavica Publishers, 2019.

Greenberg, Robert D. "When is Language a Language? The Case of Former Yugoslavia", *Harvard Ukrainian Studies*, vol. 35, no. 1/4 (2017–18), *The Battle for Ukrainian: A Comparative Perspective*, 431–42.

Ivić, Pavle. Die serbokroatische Dialekte: ihre Struktur und Entwicklung. 1. Band: Allgemeines und die štokavische Dialektgruppe. The Hague: Mouton, 1958.

Jakobson, Roman. Remarks on the Phonology Evolution of Russian in Comparison with the Other Slavic Languages, translated and annotated by Ronald F. Feldstein. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018/1929.

Kavitskaya, Darya. "Some Recent Developments in Slavic Phonology." *Journal of Slavic Linguistics* 25/2 (2017), 387–413.

Lisac, Josip. Hrvatska dijalektologija 1. Hrvatski dijalekti i govori štokavskog narječja i hrvatski govori torlačkog narječja, Zagreb: Golden Marketing, Tehnička knjiga, 2003.

Nakazawa, Takuya: "The Making of 'Montenegrin Language'. Nationalism, Language Planning, and Language Ideology after the Collapse of Yugoslavia (1992–2011)." Südosteuropäische Hefte 4/1 (2015), 127–41.

Perović, Milenko A., Josip Silić, Ljudmila Vasiljeva, Adnan Čirgić, and Jelena Šušanj. *Pravopis crnogorskoga jezika. Drugo, izmijenjeno izdanje*, Podgorica: Ministarstvo prosvjete i nauke Crne Gore, 2010.

Sawicka, Irena. An Outline of the Phonetic Typology of the Slavic Languages. Toruń: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Mikołaja Kopernika, 2010.

Vujović, Novica. "Prva dijalektologija crnogorskoga jezika (Adnan Čirgić, Dijalektologija crnogorskoga jezika, FCJK, 2017)." Slavia Centralis 1 (2018), 113–18.

Studies in Slavic, Baltic, and Eastern European Languages and Cultures

Series Editor: Andrii Danylenko, Pace University

Editorial Board: Jan Ivar Bjørnflaten (Department of Literature, Area Studies and European Languages, University of Oslo), Vít Boček (Institute of the Czech Language of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno), Pietro U. Dini (Department of Philology, Literature, and Linguistics, University of Pisa), Jan Fellerer (Wolfson College, University of Oxford), Marc L. Greenberg (Department of Slavic and Eurasian Languages & Literatures, University of Kansas), Johanna Laakso (Department of Finno-Ugric Studies, University of Vienna Institute of European and Comparative Linguistic and Literary Studies), Marek Stachowski (Institute of Slavic Philology, Jagiellonian University, Cracow), Paul Wexler (Tel-Aviv University), Björn Wiemer (Institute of Slavic, Turkic and Circum-Baltic Studies, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz)

The series Studies in Slavic, Baltic, and Eastern European Languages and Cultures focuses on Slavic and Baltic languages and cultures, as well as other Eastern European languages connected by common history and cultural processes. The series welcomes monographs, edited volumes and, where appropriate, doctoral dissertations devoted to the study of Slavic, Baltic, and Eastern European languages through multifarious prisms, including but not limited to areal-typological, historical, sociolinguistic, ethnolinguistic, comparative-philological, and cultural perspectives. Books in this series will bridge the linguistic and cultural interrelations in Old Rus'reaching out to the Middle East and even further—via the sociolinguistic diversity in the Grand Duchy of Lithuania with the convergent changes occurring today in the Circum-Baltic zone. The series is a multidisciplinary forum for furthering our knowledge about integrative processes that have been taking place in languages and cultures of Eastern Europe from the pre-modern times onward.

Dialectology of the Montenegrin Language, by Adnan Čirgić Urban Multilingualism in East-Central Europe: The Polish Dialect of Late-Habsburg Lviv, by Jan Fellerer

The Evolution of the Slavic Dual: A Biolinguistic Perspective, by Tatyana G. Slobodchikoff

Dialectology of the Montenegrin Language

Adnan Čirgić

Translated by Goran Drinčić

Contents

Published by Lexington Books
An imprint of The Rowman & Littlefield Publishing Group, Inc.
4501 Forbes Boulevard, Suite 200, Lanham, Maryland 20706
www.rowman.com

6 Tinworth Street, London SE11 5AL, United Kingdom

Copyright © 2020 by The Rowman & Littlefield Publishing Group, Inc.

This book was previously published as Čirgić, Adnan. 2017. *Dijalektologija crnogorskoga jezika. Cetinje: Fakultet za crnogorski jezik i književnost*. Translation published with permission.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without written permission from the publisher, except by a reviewer who may quote passages in a review.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Information Available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020945461

ISBN 978-1-7936-3636-2 (cloth: alk. paper) ISBN 978-1-7936-3637-9 (electronic)

[™] The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992.

Foreword	vi
Author's Preface	xii
List of Abbreviations	xvi
The History of Studying Montenegrin Dialects	1
On Previous Classifications of Montenegrin Dialects	11
The Issue of Classification of Montenegrin Dialects	29
Accentual System of Montenegrin Dialects	35
Jat Alternants in Montenegrin Dialects	43
The Issue of Semivowel in Montenegrin Dialects	47
The Issue of Vowel Group AO in Montenegrin Dialects	51
Main Features of Individual Speech Groups in Montenegro	55
Northwestern Montenegrin Dialects	57
Southeastern Montenegrin Dialects	61
Summary	77
Notes	81
Bibliography	93
Index of Names	101