Title
Alternative Title

Alternative Title

Creator

Subject

Subject

Subject

Description

Description

Coverage

Date

Striking a Balance:

Metadata Creation in Digital Library Projects

Format

Medium: 35mm

Identifier

Slide/Speciment

Relation

Is Part Of: Asclusive Part O

Is Part Of: Asol Spatial: Russell Hills Holly Mercer University of Kansas Libraries

> October 10, 2003 Brick and Click Libraries

Rights Copyright held b

110 5314

Striking a Balance:

Metadata Creation in Digital Library Projects

- ·Digital library environment
- ·Metadata
- Strategies
- ·Library's role

What are Digital Libraries?

- Networked resources
- Related files with a structure & user interface
- Services
- Institutional Repositories

- Licensed electronic resources
- Archival/manuscript collections
- Departmental/research center collections

Digital Library Growth

- ·Desire for digital
- ·Everything can be located with a single query
- ·Changing publishing models
- · Access to hidden resources
- ·MANY emerging standards

What is metadata?

"Metadata describes various attributes of information objects and gives them meaning, context, and organization."

Moving Theory into Practice
Digital Imaging Tutorial
http://www.library.cornell.edu/preservation/tutorial/

Types of Metadata

- Administrative
 - -Preservation
 - Technical
 - Rights Management
- Structural
- Descriptive

Descriptive Metadata

- Discovery
- Identification
- · Selection
- Collocation
- Acquisition
- Surrogate

- Evaluation
- Linkage
- Usability
- Organization & structure
- · Context

Quality Metadata

- · Complete
- · Accurate
- · Meaningful to the user

Tozer (1999)

Quality Assurance

- Metadata
 schemes
- Encoding schemes
- Controlled vocabularies
- Subject analysis



Metadata Soup

- · MARC
- · Dublin Core
- · VRA Core
- · EAD
- · FGDC CSDGM

- · IMS / IEEE LOM
- · DDI
- · OLAC
- Darwin Core
- Local schemes/ application profiles

Who is going to do all that?

Not you.

Not without help!

Greenberg's classes of metadata creators

Experts

Subject Enthusiasts

Technical Creators

Authors

Auto-generation tools

Reasons NOT to Create Metadata

- No buy-in from content creators
- · Perceived increased workload
- Poor understanding of the purpose of descriptive metadata
- Subject analysis, authority control issues
- · Comfortable with libraries doing it

Author-generated metadata

- Many authors are already creating metadata.
- Authors may not know they are creating it!

Greenberg's Findings

- · Authors see value of metadata.
- · They want some assistance.
- Feel they can create quality metadata.
- They want notification if metadata is altered.
- Overall, author created metadata is as good as expert created metadata, and is better for some elements

Barton's Findings

- Author-generated metadata lacks consistency.
- Non-experts lack an understanding of element definitions and misuse them.
- There's a need for collaboration between experts (librarians) and other metadata creators.

Experts

Subject Enthusiasts

Technical Creators

Authors

Auto-generation tools

Collaborative Metadata Generation

Roles in Stanford GSB Content Management System

| Producers | Template design & site publishing |
|-------------|--|
| Web Authors | Metadata & content creation |
| Indexers | Thesaurus construction, subject assignment & spot checking |

Strategies for Success

- Develop good, working definition of metadata.
- Then never refer to it again! (Avoid library lingo.)
- Be optimistic authors and technical creators can (and usually do) produce quality metadata...

More Strategies

- Not too optimistic Expect delays, false starts, etc.
- Quality over quantity
- · Project management experience
- Auto-generated metadata whenever possible
- Tools and training

Librarian as consultant: Train

- Assess level of proficiency
- Training may take different forms for different stakeholders
- Keep technical information behind the scenes as much as possible
- Simple user interface(s)
- · Lightweight documentation/ user guides

Librarian as Consultant: Coordinate

- Act as "glue" federate repositories, provide a single interface for end users
- Advocate for content and metadata standards
- Standards for digitization: Preservation, migration, long-term access
- Provide the technical infrastructure to accomplish

Librarian as consultant: Lead

- Give direction, experience to institutional digital library initiatives (metadata best practices)
- Project management
- Resident expert digital library developments, metadata standards, etc.

Librarian as consultant: coordinate

- Value-added services
 - Spot checking
 - Record enhancement
 - Federating distributed repositories
- Get on board!