



## Using Digital Elevation Data for Applications in Floodplain Mapping













### **Background Examples: Sink Filling**



### **Digital Elevation Model (DEM)**



### **Background Examples: Sink Filling**



### **DEM** with sinks filled (*depressionless DEM*)



30-m DEM from the National Elevation Database (NED)

An ~850 km segment of the Missouri River is shown in blue. DEM @ start: 329.4 m DEM @ end: 122.8 m

Matrix Size: (r,c) = (22948,27275)Over 625 million pixels!

### Background Examples: Big River





### Background Examples: Big River

8-digit hydrologic unit code (HUC8) boundaries are shown in red.

These quasiwatersheds depict local catchments.





The 16-m floodplain is shown in light blue.

This particular example was used to identify wetlands for research.

### Background Examples: Big River





90-m DEM from NED is shown for ~1700 km of the **Amazon River** in South America.

Matrix Size: (r,c) = (4676,14940)





Amazon subset: Filled DEM

 90-m NED data have one meter vertical resolution





Amazon subset: Flow Direction





Amazon subset: Flow Accumulation





**KANSAS** 

SURVEY

**BIOLOGICAL** 

**DEM-based** Amazon River arc

### BIOLOGICAL SURVEY Background E

### Background Examples: Really Big River



Amazon River arc overlaid on the filled DEM

### KANSAS **Background Examples: Really Big River BIOLOGICAL** SURVEY Kansas Applied Remote Sensing Program Equator Rio Negro Roraima **Depth to Flood** Para 25 m 0 m

Amazon River, the filled DEM, and the 25-m Floodplain

500 KM

125

250



Taking a closer look....





#### Subset 1: Filled DEM

Note that the river jumped out of the floodplain at some point





Subset 1: Filled DEM and 25-m Floodplain Acuity and precision are limited by the V & H resolution





### Subset 2: Filled DEM Business to the North, Party to the South





### Subset 2: Filled DEM and 25-m Floodplain Automation is a good thing





## Subset 3: Filled DEMFloodplain terracing: Nature's Flood Zones





Subset 3: Filled DEM and 25-m Floodplain Floodplain terracing: Nature's Flood Zones



### Background Examples: Drainage Network



Drainage network density depends on catchment size (flow accumulation) threshold.



## **Traditional Flood Event Modeling**

Traditional flood modeling methods are dynamic, based on Navier-Stokes equations applied in a free surface flow setting.

Specifically, the Saint-Venant "shallow water equations" are employed.

Examples are the Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) and the National Weather Service's FLDWAV model.





KANSAS BIOLOGICAL SURVEY KARARS Kansas Applied Remote Sensing Program

## **Flood Event Modeling**













## **TYPES OF ANALYSIS**

RVF - Conservation of Energy, Mass& Momentum and Empirical Equations

GVF - Solution of Energy Eq. By Standard Step Method

>Uniform Flow - Manning's Equation











## **Traditional Flood Event Modeling**

<u>The bottom line:</u> Traditional flood modeling methods are useful for simulating a wide variety of scenarios. However, implementing such models is a highly involved task.

The models require many inputs <u>AND</u> substantial professional training/experience for implementation.

Model outputs must be examined and the model re-calibrated until an acceptable, physically reasonable solution is obtained.

Recent developments have incorporated 2-D diffusion wave models (e.g., JFLOW, LISFLOOD). These approaches better utilize the detailed topographic information found in DEMs, and can help improve the 1-D models.



## **Floodplain Delineation**

The *Floodplain Algorithm* was developed at the Kansas Biological Survey (KBS) to permit <u>parametrically simple</u>, <u>automated</u> floodplain delineation.

The algorithm requires:

- DEM data
- DEM-derived flow direction data
- DEM-derived set of starting points, typically identifying a stream segment or network
- Two user inputs: one or more water surface elevation
  <u>or</u> maximum flood depth values, <u>and</u> a flood depth step size (for iteration)



## The Flood Zone Map\*

\*A replica of floodplain topography, but with the stream slope removed



## The Flood Zone Map:

- Specifies "<u>depth to flood</u>" required for inundation (the map)
- Links each floodplain pixel to its most immediate <u>"flood source pixel</u>" in the stream channel (in a separate database)



# z L y



## The Flood Zone Map



- L = landscape plane C = horizontal channel
- S = L + C = pitched channel

*channel pitch:* P = |∂z/∂y|/ |∂z/∂x|

Define the "pitched channel" to aid concept development.



## The Flood Zone Map



Must approximate the theoretical flood zone



## The Flood Zone Map



The gradient and contour map around the channel bottom of surface **S**


Conceptualize the flood zones for a single <u>flood origination</u> <u>point</u> (FOP) at the bottom of the channel:



- **FF** = forward flood zone
- **BF** = backfill flood zone
- **appx. BF** = approximate backfill flood zone
- The boundary of the 'appx. BF' is determined by
- 1) contours from the DEM and
- 2) trajectories through the FOP





Evaluate the appx. BF for a series of flood origination points and *merge the results* to obtain the BF flood zone



The *flood zone map* is created using different flood depths, ranging from h = 0 to  $h = h_0$ .



Colors indicate minimum *h* values required for appx. BF inundation, increasing from blue (h = 0) to red (h=  $h_0$ , for some  $h_0$ ).

Ideally, the colors should be continuous across the FOP-specific, appx. BF boundaries. <u>Constrained</u> <u>forward flooding</u> is used to remedy this problem, as well as the underestimation problem.





**Concept development:** constrained forward flooding





(a) theoretical (*P*-independent); (b) *P* = 1/4; (c) *P* = 1; (d)-(f) *P* = 1/2;
(e) near-optimal forward flooding; (f) too much forward flooding



- Every pixel in the floodplain is assigned a "<u>depth to flood</u>" estimate
- Every pixel in the floodplain is assigned a "<u>flood source pixel</u>" in the stream channel

Typically, these linkages are made using backfill flooding. However, reassignments are made when corrections for forward flooding are indicated.

Need to estimate the gradient with the *flow direction map*, a required input for estimating the flood zone map.



## Approximate the local gradient for each pixel in the study area:



Using the depressionless DEM, difference quotients are calculated between each pixel **P** and its 8 neighbors.

The neighbor exhibiting the largest distance-weighted elevation drop from *P* determines the flow direction at *P*.





This DEM was created by DASC using LIDAR data.

Shown is a portion of the river valley for Mud Creek, Kansas.

#### Sample Filled DEM







Each pixel is colored based on its flow direction.

Navigating by flow direction, every pixel has a single path (trajectory) out of the image.

#### *Flow direction map* (gradient approximation)





#### **Filled DEM**





Filled DEM with Mud Creek stream segment, identified using the flow direction and flow accumulation maps



10-m BF

flood zone

map

#### The Flood Zone Map



Flood zone map BEFORE forward flood correction. Note the undesirable discontinuities.





Flood zone map AFTER forward flood correction. Most of the discontinuities have been fixed.

*h* = 10 m *dh* = 1 m (10 iterations)



#### The Floodplain Algorithm--Initialization

<u>Data requirements:</u> Filled DEM, flow direction map, and a set S of FOPs (typically a stream segment or network)

Let Z denote the current flood zone, initialized to Z = S.

Two parameters {*h*,*dh*} are required. *h* is the maximum flood depth, and *dh* is the depth step size. Initialize  $h_0 = dh$ .

Let  $BF(h_0)$  denote the backfill flood zone with maximum depth  $h_0$ . Let  $\partial_i(Z)$  denote the set of interior boundary pixels for *Z*. Let  $\partial_e(Z)$  denote the set of exterior boundary pixels for *Z*.



#### The Floodplain Algorithm

(required ~700 lines of non-comment MATLAB code)

- 1. Determine  $BF(h_0)$  for  $\partial_i(Z)$
- 2. Update Z by assimilating  $BF(h_0)$  into Z
- 3. Identify  $\partial_i(Z)$  and  $\partial_e(Z)$
- 4. Determine "spillover" points  $\{Y_k\}$  in  $\partial_e(Z)$
- 5. Determine the maximum available flood depth and corresponding flood source pixel in  $\partial_i(Z)$  for each  $Y_k$
- 6. For each "spillover" point  $Y_k$ :
  - a) Determine flow path  $T(Y_k)$ , halting growth appropriately
  - b) Determine appropriate BF flood zone for  $T(Y_k)$
  - c) Assimilate new flood zone pixels into *Z*, overwriting existing flood zone pixels in Z as necessary
- 7. Update  $h_0 \rightarrow h_0 + dh$
- 8. If  $h_0 < h$ , identify  $\partial_i(Z)$  and go back to step (1).



## Some Examples Using 30-m DEM Data from the National Elevation Database (NED)





#### Example:

Backfill flood zones (max height = 20 m) for the Kansas River segment located in HUC 10270102 (Middle Kansas River), roughly spanning the stream reach between Manhattan and Lawrence, Kansas.

Black line work depicts FEMA Q3 100-year floodplain boundary for Shawnee County, Kansas.





Cross-hatched area indicates FEMA Q3 100-year floodplain extent for Shawnee County, Kansas.



20-m Backfill Flood Zone map



Near-normal conditions (July 2000)



Flooded conditions (July 1993)



## **Channel Width Estimation**



results depicted in the graph to the left ( $R^2 = 0.47$ ).



# KANSAS

Between June 26th and 30th, 2007, southeast Kansas counties received nearly 20 inches of rain, causing extensive flooding.

Advance floodplain delineation can help focus emergency response, damage assessment, and recovery efforts.

### **Floodplain Delineation**







Comparison of modeled flood extents from three different methods





Comparison between USGS Hydrologic Model and KARS Floodplain





Accuracy of actual flood extent capture is comparable between the two methods.





Flooding crested along the Marais des Cygnes, Little Osage, and Osage Rivers in early July 2007. (2006 NAIP 1-m image)





False-color composite of the three, segment-specific flood zone extents (bands coincide with RGB stream segment colors). Each extent was generated using the crest mean daily gage height measured at its respective gaging station (9.58 m (#1), 5.05 m (#2), 9.02 m (#3)).





Landsat-5, color infrared post-flood image (30-m). The exterior perimeter of the merged flood zone extent is shown in yellow.

By USGS calculations, this could be a 4000-year flood event





Areas of interest





#### Human Modification: Big Channel (~30 km)





#### Big Channel Start Landsat 5 image from July 7, 2007





#### Big Channel Start 1-m NAIP imagery from 2006





Pinch Point: An abrupt change in the floodplain



#### A New Technique for Dam Breach Inundation Estimation Using Digital Elevation Models



## Two constructs are required:

## 1) The Flood Zone Map

• DTF and FSP values

#### 2) A traveling wave model



## The Traveling Wave Model

- Must be a function of time
- Must be physically constrained



## The Traveling Wave Model



# Start with a *theoretical flood zone* for a simple horizontal channel.



## The Traveling Wave Model



Pinch it off to form a wave front characterized by (1) a maximum depth, and (2) a fixed volume


# The Traveling Wave Model



### Draw the wave downstream, *preserving the contained volume* at each step



# The Traveling Wave Model







Merge the four time steps (time 0 – 3) to generate the *breach inundation map* 





Breach inundation map, with 600 time steps



### Lake Dabinawa

Study Area: Mud Creek floodplain below Lake Dabinawa, 15 km (9 mi) north of Lawrence, KS

### Source Data: 2-m LIDAR bare Earth DEM



dam





#### **DEM** subset





#### Flood zone map



## The Breach Inundation Map (initial)

<u>**Time 0:</u>** The instant when the released volume has completely exited the reservoir.</u>

Using DTF and FSP values obtained during calculation of the flood zone map (shown in the background), the initial breach inundation map is completely determined by the <u>maximum flood depth</u> (e.g., a function of the dam height or breach depth) and

the *released volume*.





## The Breach Inundation Map (final)

<u>Time final:</u> The instant when the flood wave front has exited the study area.

- The flood zone map appears in the background.
- Shown is the final breach inundation map, generated by merging the inundation zones created by propagating the wave front downstream one stream pixel at a time, *preserving the volume* at each step.





### **Quantifying Dam Breach Flood Risk**

Increasing reservoir volume increases the flood risk in the event of a dam breach.

For Mission Lake, the proposed 60% increase in volume produces a 20% increase in inundation extent.

(The breach inundation extent is shown using the postdredging volume, or 1655 ac.ft.)





### MOSUL DAM:

- Impounds the Tigris River ~45 miles north of Mosul
- Key component in Iraq's national power grid (320 Mw/day)
- Normal capacity is >11 billion m<sup>3</sup>
- Dubbed "<u>the most dangerous dam</u> <u>in the world</u>" by the US Army Corps of Engineers in 2006
- Upon failure, could flood Mosul (pop: 1.7 million) with 20 m, Baghdad with 5 m

### On Deck: Mosul Dam in Iraq





### On Deck: Mosul Dam in Iraq

The 5-m flood zone map is shown at right, developed using 90-m NED data.

Much of Baghdad is included.









### **Final Thoughts: Resolution Matters**



Smaller streams require higher resolution DEMs.

(The Mud Creek floodplain below Lake Dabinawa, KS, is shown using three different data resolutions.)







# THANKS FOR LISTENING



# **Any Questions?**







